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Abstract

Background: Fatigue is a common symptom of depression, especially the bipolar type. Modafinil is a wake-promoting agent that can alleviate fatigue in depressed patients. Many stimulants used to treat fatigue carry the risk of a switch into mania or hypomania in bipolar patients as well as the risk for tolerance or abuse.

Method: A retrospective chart review was performed on all patients currently being seen in a large outpatient practice who received modafinil at some point during their treatment. Data collected included patient demographics, MiniSCID diagnoses, clinical diagnoses including history of substance abuse, and length and dosage of treatment with modafinil.

Results: Of the 191 patients who were given modafinil at some point during their treatment, 105 patients remained on it for 2 months or more and 37% of these patients were bipolar (18 BPI and 21 BPII). In addition, 86 patients were on modafinil for less than 2 months and 31% of these patients were bipolar (16% BPI and 15% BPII). No patients in any group demonstrated a switch into mania or hypomania while on modafinil. There was also no significant difference in final modafinil dosage between patients who had a positive history of chemical abuse/dependence (290 mg/day) and those who did not (258 mg/day).

Limitations: Retrospective chart review.

Conclusions: Adult affective disorder patients, whether unipolar or bipolar, can use modafinil to relieve symptoms of depression, including fatigue and sleepiness, without risking a switch in their mood or developing tolerance or abuse of this medication.

© 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

Bipolar patients experience depression more often than mania (Judd et al., 2003). Fatigue has been reported as a possible clue for bipolarity when patients present with depression (Mitchell et al., 2001). Many stimulants or activating antidepressants used to address fatigue and depressed mood carry the risk of a switch into mania or hypomania in bipolar patients (Boerlin et al., 1998; Goldberg and Truman, 2003; Ghaemi et al., 2004).

Modafinil is a wake-promoting agent that is used to treat many conditions associated with fatigue, including depression (DeBattista et al., 2001; Nasr, 2004). Modafinil’s mechanism of action is possibly mediated through the histamine receptors in the anterior hypothalamus without affecting the dopamine pathways associated with dependence and reward usually targeted by the stimulants (Lin et al., 1996). Modafinil, therefore, may be associated with a lower risk of mood switching in depressed patients.
Substance abuse is an additional contributing factor to mood switching. It is frequently comorbid with affective disorders raising additional concern for abuse of stimulants by bipolar patients. Modafinil is a class IV drug with the potential for risk of tolerance or abuse. However in previous studies of modafinil for depression augmentation, there were no reports of modafinil-induced mania in participants except for 4 single case reports of modafinil-induced recurrence of psychosis (Menza et al., 2000; DeBattista et al., 2001; Carlson et al., 2004; Nasr, 2004; Fava et al., 2005; Vorspan et al., 2005). There is also lack of evidence for its abuse potential even among cocaine dependent individuals (Warot et al., 1993).

The following study examines modafinil’s effect on mood switching, dose stability, and abuse liability in a large series of affective disorder outpatients.

2. Method

A retrospective chart review was performed on all patients currently being seen in a private, rural, outpatient psychiatric office who received modafinil at some point during their treatment, usually as an adjunct to other medications. Data collected included patient demographics, MiniSCID diagnoses, clinical diagnoses including history of substance abuse, length and dosage of modafinil treatment, and concomitant use of mood stabilizers. Patients were monitored for manic or hypomanic symptoms (euphoria, dysphoria or irritability with increased activity and insomnia) during their regularly scheduled office appointments, and all changes in modafinil dosage were recorded to monitor for the development of tolerance to the medication. Abuse of modafinil was monitored through a log of prescribed amounts compared to office visit interval.

Because many patients did not take modafinil beyond 2 months, and because mood switching could have occurred in the beginning of treatment the total sample was divided into more or less than 2 months use. St Anthony Memorial Health Center’s Institutional Review Board gave permission to conduct a nonidentifying review of records.

3. Results

Of approximately 1500 patient charts reviewed, 191 patients were given modafinil at some point during their treatment. There were 134 female patients, and 57 male patients. Over 95% of patients were Caucasian. The average age of all patients given modafinil was 49.3 (±12.5, range: 20–82); 48.6 (±13.4) for patients who quit within 2 months, and 49.8 (±12.0) for patients who remained on at least 2 months. 31 patients had a clinical diagnosis of Bipolar I, 33 patients had a clinical diagnosis of Bipolar II, and 118 patients had a clinical diagnosis of unipolar depression. The remaining 9 patients were diagnosed with other mood disorders.

105 patients remained on it for 2 months or longer (18 BP I, 21 BP II), 60 patients remained on for one year or longer (11 BP I, 16 BP II) and 45 patients remained on for 2 or more years (7 BP I, 9 BPII). 86 patients were on modafinil for less than 2 months and 29% of these patients were bipolar (13 bipolar I, 12 bipolar II). The reasons for stopping the medicine within the first two months were for lack of efficacy (N=34), cost (N=32), or adverse events, mostly sleep related (N=20). Patients who remained on modafinil for more than 2 months stayed on modafinil for an average of 21.9 months (±16). The longest observation was for 55 continuous months of modafinil use. There was no difference in the frequency of use of mood stabilizers between the patients who were on modafinil for less than 2 months (42%), more than 2 months (45%), more than one year (50%) or longer than 2 years (51%). As expected there were more bipolar patients on mood stabilizers (69% BPI, 59% BPII) than unipolar patients (33%).

No patients in any group demonstrated a switch into mania/hypomania while on modafinil. There was a statistically significant trend (p<0.02) for bipolar patients to have lower final doses of modafinil (230 mg/day ±88) than unipolar patients (287 mg ±128). There was no significant difference in final modafinil dosage between patients who had a positive history of chemical abuse/dependence (290 mg/day ±114) and those who did not (258 mg/day ±123). Only 15% of the patients required a dosage adjustment in either direction in the first few months with no further changes past the first 10 months. No patients requested earlier refills than needed or reported an increase of dosage on their own beyond the first 1 month of dosage titration.

4. Discussion

The present findings indicated that modafinil did not induce manic/hypomanic switches or either tolerance or abuse in either unipolar or bipolar patients whether or not they had a positive history of chemical abuse/dependence.

Zis and Goodwin (1979) reported that cycle frequency increases with advancing age. This puts patients in this study at higher risk for mood switching yet 45 patients observed for 2 or more years did not show any mood cycling on modafinil (Zis and Goodwin, 1979).

Several studies looked at stimulants for depression augmentation. A study by Feighner et al. of 13 Major
Depressive Disorder and Bipolar, MAOI or TCA-resistant patients found that patients receiving stimulants for depression augmentation improved by 2.9 points on their CGI scores. During the course of treatment, however, one patient experienced hypomania (Feighner et al., 1985). Fawcett et al. studied 32 Major Depressive Disorder and Bipolar patients who switched from TCA’s to MAOI’s augmented by a stimulant (either pemoline or dextroamphetamine). They found that 78% of patients responded (scored 1–2 on the CGI) to at least one combination of MAOI and stimulant after 6 months or more. However, 5 of these patients developed hypomania (Fawcett et al., 1991).

El-Mallakh studied methylphenidate for depression augmentation in 14 bipolar depressed patients. By week twelve of the study, participants showed improvement on the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale and Psychiatric Symptom Assessment Scale. Three patients dropped out of the study after experiencing side effects, including one with hypomania (El-Mallakh, 2000).

Soutullo et al. compared 80 adolescents hospitalized with bipolar disorder who received current/past stimulant or antidepressant treatment. They reported that previous stimulant treatment was associated with a worse hospitalization course in bipolar patients (Soutullo et al., 2002). There was no effect of duration of treatment with modafinil on the frequency of use of mood stabilizers. It is of note that a third of the bipolar patients did not switch moods despite the absence of mood stabilizers from their medication regimen. This further supports the absence of an inherent mood switching effect of modafinil in affective disorder patients.

Several studies reported the use of modafinil for augmentation in unipolar depression. None has reported an onset of manic or hypomanic symptoms during the observation period of up to 12 weeks (Menza et al., 2000; DeBattista et al., 2001; Doghramji et al., 2002; Fava et al., 2005). Bransfield (2004) reported a naturalistic review of 237 patients in his office practice that 2 patients developed overstimulation on modafinil. A longer study of two years by Carlson et al. (2004) of 8 bipolar patients treated with modafinil for depression augmentation also found no cases of modafinil-induced mania or hypomania. It is interesting to note that, similar to the present study, these 8 bipolar patients improved on a lower dosage of modafinil than the unipolar patients. The difference in dosage between the two groups in the current study is not of sufficient magnitude to have a clinical significance and to explain the absence of mood switch in bipolar patients.

Previous studies have compared modafinil to other stimulants. Warot et al. compared the subjective effects of modafinil, caffeine, and amphetamine in a placebo-controlled, double blind study of 16 participants with no history of drug abuse. Participant responses on the Addiction Research Center Inventory (ACI), Profile of Mood States, and Visual Analog Scales reported that modafinil’s effects were similar to that of caffeine, but different from amphetamine (Warot et al., 1993). Jasinski (2000) studied the abuse potential of modafinil compared to methylphenidate in 24 male participants with a history of cocaine abuse. Ratings on the Amphetamine scale showed that modafinil had minimal amphetamine-like effects compared to methylphenidate, which was shown to have euphoric effects. Conversely, a similar study by Jasinski of 12 females with a history of polysubstance abuse found statistically significant differences between modafinil and placebo groups on the ACI amphetamine scale and the BMG scale. The studies cited above suggest that modafinil had minimal euphoric effects at best compared with other stimulants such as amphetamines and methylphenidate. In a study of 9 cocaine abusers, Rush et al. (2002) reported an absence of addictive behavior or willingness to pay for modafinil compared to cocaine. Myrick et al. (2004) reviewed the abuse liability of modafinil and concluded that it has very low abuse potential. In the present study, once patients achieved a stable dose of modafinil in the first 10 months they maintained the same dosage for the duration of treatment.

This study confirms previous results of generally well-tolerated use of modafinil in affective disorder patients with little abuse, tolerance or mood switch in either unipolar or bipolar patients. Compared to other studies, this study has the advantage of a large sample size of patients who were observed over a longer period of time with documentation of the effect of substance abuse history on the dosage stability and absence of either tolerance or abuse of modafinil.

5. Conclusions

Modafinil can play an important role in the treatment of treatment-resistant depression and bipolar depression. This study demonstrates that adult affective disorder patients, whether unipolar or bipolar, can use modafinil to relieve symptoms of depression, including fatigue and sleepiness, without risking a switch in their mood or risk developing tolerance of this medication.
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