Contrasting the effects of natural selection, genetic drift and gene flow on urban evolution in white clover (Trifolium repens)
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Urbanization is a global phenomenon with profound effects on the ecology and evolution of organisms. We examined the relative roles of natural selection, genetic drift and gene flow in influencing the evolution of white clover (Trifolium repens), which thrives in urban and rural areas. Trifolium repens exhibits a Mendelian polymorphism for the production of hydrogen cyanide (HCN), a potent antitherbivore defence. We quantified the relative frequency of HCN in 490 populations sampled along urban–rural transects in 20 cities. We also characterized genetic variation within 120 populations in eight cities using 16 microsatellite loci. HCN frequency increased by 0.6% for every kilometre from an urban centre, and the strength of this relationship did not significantly vary between cities. Populations did not exhibit changes in genetic diversity with increasing urbanization, indicating that genetic drift is unlikely to explain urban–rural clines in HCN frequency. Populations frequently exhibited isolation-by-distance and extensive gene flow along most urban–rural transects, with the exception of a single city that exhibited genetic differentiation between urban and rural populations. Our results show that urbanization repeatedly drives parallel evolution of an ecologically important trait across many cities that vary in size, and this evolution is best explained by urban–rural gradients in natural selection.

1. Introduction

Urbanization is among the most important anthropogenic disturbances affecting biotic and abiotic environments [1–3]. Approximately 3% of Earth’s land surface is covered in urban development [4,5], and cities are continuing to expand throughout the world [6]. Recent evidence suggests that urbanization may be the dominant anthropogenic factor affecting the evolution of populations [7]. For example, changes in the environment caused by urbanization can alter natural selection and adaptive evolution [8]. Urban populations may also experience greater genetic drift because they are often smaller and more isolated than non-urban populations [9,10]. Finally, urban development can restrict dispersal and the movement of alleles across a landscape (i.e. gene flow), leading to greater genetic differentiation between populations [11,12]. Although the effects of urbanization on selection, genetic drift and gene flow have been studied individually in different systems, how these mechanisms interact to affect evolution across multiple cities of a single species has not been examined.

Environmental changes associated with urbanization can drive the evolution of novel adaptations within populations. Urban development leads to changes in multiple biotic and abiotic environmental factors, including...
increased pollution, warmer temperatures, more impervious surface and homogenization of communities [13]. These changes can alter natural selection on populations [8]. For example, coastal waters surrounding urban areas frequently have greater PCB concentrations, which can be lethal to fish [14]. This PCB contamination has imposed strong selection on at least two fish species (Microgadus tomcod and Fundulus heteroclitus), which has resulted in the evolution of resistance in aryl-hydrocarbon receptor genes [14,15]. Similarly, the abundance of buildings in Puerto Rico’s cities has caused urban populations of the crested anole (Anolis cristatellus) to evolve longer limbs and stickier toe pads, which increases the ability of these lizards to adhere to and travel along smooth artificial surfaces in urban environments [16,17]. However, whether these effects of urbanization on natural selection and adaptive evolution are consistent across cities is poorly understood.

Urbanization can also have large effects on non-adaptive evolutionary processes, such as genetic drift. The construction of buildings and roads fragments natural habitats [18], which can isolate and reduce the size of existing populations, causing a population bottleneck [2,19]. Urban fragmentation and environmental change can also lead to local extirpation of urban populations and subsequent recolonization from non-urban populations. Such recolonization events are frequently associated with founder events in which new urban populations are established by a small number of individuals (e.g. [20]). Under both scenarios, population bottlenecks and founder events are expected to make populations more sensitive to stochastic changes in allele frequencies [7]. Recent simulations show that such neutral processes can lead to the evolution of phenotypic and genetic clines, which are typically and potentially erroneously attributed to adaptive evolution [21,22].

The effects of urbanization on fragmentation are also expected to affect gene flow between populations. Numerous studies suggest that major roads [23,24], buildings [10] and natural barriers [11] restrict gene flow within cities, whereas corridors of natural or restored habitat can facilitate gene flow in some organisms [25,26]. For example, red-backed salamander populations that persist in forest fragments within the oldest parts of Montreal, Canada, have genetically diverged following 300+ years of isolation with limited gene flow. By contrast, populations in, more recently, fragmented urban forests and contiguous non-urban forests show less genetic divergence. Most previous research on the effects of urbanization on gene flow has focused on patterns of genetic variation within and between populations in a single city [7]. It is therefore unclear whether the effects of urbanization on non-adaptive evolution (i.e. genetic drift and gene flow) generalize across cities, especially when cities vary in area, human population size and other features such as road and building density. If certain urban features restrict or facilitate gene flow, this may have important consequences for the ability of populations to genetically diverge and adapt to urban environments [27]. Thus, the study of population genetic structure across multiple cities can greatly advance our understanding of the effects of urbanization on evolution.

This paper expands on our recent research on urban evolution in white clover, *Trifolium repens* (Fabaceae). *Trifolium repens* is a perennial herbaceous plant, native to Eurasia and introduced globally to temperate environments [28]. This species exhibits a Mendelian polymorphism for the production of hydrogen cyanide (HCN), in which plants either produce HCN (cyanogenic) or lack the ability to produce HCN (acyanogenic) [29]. HCN is a potent ant herbivore chemical defence that reduces damage by many invertebrate and mammalian herbivores [30–32]. HCN also imposes an ecological cost on plants by reducing freezing tolerance [33–35]. We previously showed that the frequency of cyanogenic genotypes within populations decreased with urbanization across three large cities (Toronto, Boston and New York), whereas there was no change in a fourth city (Montreal) [36]. Experiments, temperature gradients and GIS implicated a role of reduced snow depth and colder minimum winter temperatures within city centres, ultimately selecting against HCN in favour of acyanogenic genotypes. The city that lacked a cline consistently had more snow in both urban and rural environments than the cities that showed clines. Our previous work did not resolve whether urban–rural clines in HCN are a phenomenon restricted to large metropolitan areas, or whether they may also occur in smaller cities and towns. The study by Thompson et al. [36] also did not provide any insights into the potential roles that genetic drift and gene flow may play in constraining or facilitating the evolution of HCN in response to urbanization. This latter gap is important because recent simulations show that neutral processes can independently lead to the evolution of lower HCN within cities, whereas high gene flow may prevent the evolution of such clines [21].

Here, we examine how natural selection, genetic drift and gene flow may affect HCN evolution in *T. repens* in response to urbanization. The first two questions we asked were: (1) does *T. repens* evolve parallel clines in HCN in response to urbanization, and (2) does the strength of urban–rural clines vary with city size? Answering question 1 allowed us to understand whether our earlier results [36] generalize to smaller cities and towns, such that *T. repens* populations consistently evolve lower HCN in urban than rural populations. In answering question 2, we expected that urban–rural clines would be strongest in large cities, either because environmental gradients are longer and stronger, and thus associated with a greater change in selection, or because the opportunity for population bottlenecks and reduced gene flow might be greater in large cities [27,37]. After testing the generality of urban–rural clines in HCN, we used 16 microsatellite molecular markers to characterize the population genetic structure of *T. repens* along urban–rural clines. These analyses answered two additional questions: (3) do urban populations have less genetic diversity than rural populations, and (4) are urban populations genetically differentiated from rural populations, after accounting for the effects of dispersal limitation (i.e. isolation-by-distance (IBD))? A loss of genetic diversity (Q3) would be expected if colonization of urban areas from rural populations was associated with population bottlenecks. We expected that genetic differentiation would be weakest between urban and rural populations of small cities (Q4) if gene flow between urban and rural populations was negatively related to city size [27]. Finally, recent reviews claim that native species frequently show greater genetic differentiation among urban populations because of habitat fragmentation leading to increased drift and decreased gene flow [7]. Whether this prediction applies to introduced species like *T. repens*, which thrive in urban environments, is unclear. This is the first study we are aware of that examines how selection, genetic drift and gene flow affect evolution across multiple urban environments.
2. Material and methods

(a) Study system

Our study focused on the evolution of *T. repens* along urban–rural transects across cities in south-central Ontario, Canada. *Trifolium repens* is introduced to North America, where it thrives in areas with regular grazing or mowing, including pastures, lawns and roadides in urban and rural environments. Plants are self-incompatible, producing clusters of flowers that are packed into dense inflorescences pollinated by bees [38]. Plants also reproduce clonally through stems (i.e. stolons) that grow horizontally along the soil surface, and a single clone can occupy an area up to 1 m across [38].

The cyanogenesis polymorphism is controlled by two unlinked Mendelian inherited loci, *CYP79D15* and *Li*. *CYP79D15* is involved in the production of the cyanogenic glycosides linamarin and lotaustralin [29,39]. *Li* encodes the enzyme linamarase, which hydrolyses the cyanogenic glycoside to produce HCN [40,41]. The cyanogenic glycoside and linamarase are stored in different parts of the cell and come into contact to produce HCN when the tissue is damaged [29]. A plant requires a functional copy of both *CYP79D15* and *Li* to produce HCN, and these alleles have dominant expression. Non-functional alleles occur at both loci and are caused by a partial or complete deletion of the exon [39,40,42]. Plants that are homozygous for a non-functional allele at either locus are acyanogenic. Multiple studies show that HCN is an effective defence against generalist herbivores [30–32]. Independent work also shows that HCN decreases tolerance to freezing, putatively through autotoxicity because HCN interferes with cellular respiration [33–35]. As a result of the joint effects of HCN in defence and reduced freezing tolerance, Daday [28,43] showed that the frequency of cyanogenic genotypes decreased at higher latitudes in Europe, North America and Japan.

South-central Ontario is a temperate climate dominated by agricultural land and interspersed cities and towns (hereafter referred to as ‘cities’). We restricted sampling to a single geographical area to minimize large-scale climatic variation (e.g. snow fall and temperature), while selecting cities to vary in characteristics that might influence selection, drift and gene flow [27]. We selected 20 cities that varied by two orders of magnitude in area and human population size, and by one order of magnitude in human population density (figure 1; electronic supplementary material, table S1). All city statistics were taken from the 2016 Canadian census data [44].

(b) Population sampling and hydrogen cyanide assays

In each city, we identified a linear transect that captured a gradient in urbanization, including urban (high percentage of area covered in buildings and roads), suburban (high density residential areas) and rural areas. Our transects were designed so that half of the distance of each transect passed from the city centre to the outer edge of the suburbs (hereafter referred to as ‘urban’), and an equal distance passed through rural areas. Since cities varied in size, the length of transects varied accordingly. We sampled between 20 and 40 sites within each city (mean 24.5), recording the latitude and longitude of sampling locations, with roughly half of the sites located in urban areas and the balance located in rural areas. Distances between adjacent sampling sites were adjusted based on the length of the transect, ranging from 150 to 500 m apart depending on city size. Collection sites included road boulevards, public parks, church yards, roadside ditches and residential lawns. In total, we sampled 490 sites across the 20 cities, and we treated individual sites as our unit of replication. We hereafter refer to sampling sites as ‘populations’. We sampled, on average, 20 plants per population (range 9–26) for a total of 9905 plants. To avoid
sampling the same clone twice, plant samples were collected at a minimum of 3 m apart.

Each plant was assayed for the presence or absence of HCN using the Feigl–Anger assay method of Gleadow et al. [45]. Detailed methods for the assay are provided in the electronic supplementary material, text S1. The Feigl–Anger assay for HCN provides virtually identical results to PCR assays for the presence of dominant alleles at CYP79D15 and Li [30,39,40] and thus was an accurate method for screening cyanogenic genotypes. The data from the Feigl–Anger assay were used to calculate the relative frequency of cyanogenic plants (hereafter ‘HCN frequency’) within populations.

(c) City characteristics
We quantified several characteristics from each city as potential explanatory factors for the evolution of HCN and population genetic variation. To understand how urbanization along the transect was associated with evolution of HCN and population genetic variation, we calculated the distance of each population from the urban centre. Since equal halves of each transect were in urban and rural areas, yet transects varied in length, we standardized the length of each city’s transect to a minimum value of 0 (city centre) and a maximum of 1 (furthest rural population). This ensured that the most urban part of each transect (value = 0), the suburban–rural transition area (value = 0.5) and the furthest rural part of the transect (value = 1), were compared on an identical scale across all cities. Qualitatively identical results and conclusions were found when we used non-standardized distance (electronic supplementary material, table S2). Importantly, standardized distance was a strong predictor of % impervious surface ($F_{1,111} = 225.03$, $p = 1.68 \times 10^{-28}$, $R^2 = 0.64$, $N = 120$) and the number of buildings ($F_{1,113} = 91.58$, $p = 4.44 \times 10^{-16}$, $R^2 = 0.42$, $N = 120$), after accounting for variation among cities. From every population, we measured the density of T. repens plants from five randomly located positions within the population. Density was estimated using a 0.5 m quadrat divided into 25 equal area cells. Within each quadrat, we counted the number of cells containing T. repens and averaged the number of occupied cells across the five quadrats as our measure of plant density. Using the 2016 Statistics Canada Census data [44], we collected data on the total area, human population size and human population density of each city sampled. We also recorded the number of dwellings and dwelling density, but these metrics were nearly perfectly correlated with human population size and density, respectively, so they were removed from analyses. Finally, we determined the % impervious surface and the number of buildings within a 100 m radius of each population sampled for population genetic structure using the polygon area tool in Google Earth Pro 7.3.0.3832 (Google, Inc., Mountain View, CA, USA). These measurements were made on the most recent image available for each population as of November 2017. Per cent impervious surface and the number of buildings were positively correlated with each other ($r = 0.61$, $p < 0.001$).

(d) Extraction of DNA and microsatellite genotyping
We studied the population genetic structure of T. repens across eight cities (figure 1; electronic supplementary material, table S1), which were selected to capture variation in city size. The populations and individuals used were a subset of those used in the HCN assay. In each city, 150 individuals (15 populations with 10 individuals per population) were selected for DNA extraction and genotyping, for a total of 1200 individuals. The 15 populations within each city included urban and rural populations interspersed along each transect. Populations and individuals were selected without knowledge of how they varied in HCN frequency.

(e) Statistical analyses
All methods for statistical analyses are provided in electronic supplementary material, text S1. Briefly, questions 1 and 3 were addressed using linear mixed-effects models, and question 2 used multiple linear regression. Question 4 implemented a combination of standard population genetic statistics, redundancy analysis [46], Mantel correlograms [46] and estimates of population genetic structure using discriminant analysis of principal components (DAPC) [47] and the program STRUCTURE [48]. Analyses were performed using R v. 3.4.0 statistical software [49] unless otherwise noted.

3. Results

(a) Does Trifolium repens evolve parallel clines in hydrogen cyanide in response to urbanization?

The frequency of HCN within populations of T. repens consistently evolved in response to urbanization. Across the 20 cities, the main effect of distance from the urban centre...
was positively related to the proportion of plants that produced HCN within populations (figure 2; distance: $F_{1,476} = 6.57, p = 0.011$), with an average increase in HCN frequency of 0.6% for every additional kilometre from the urban centre. The slope of HCN frequency versus distance did not significantly vary between cities, and the maximum-likelihood estimate of variance among slopes was 0 (95% CI: 0–0.013) (distance × city: $\chi^2 < 0.001, p = 0.5$). Cities significantly varied 2.2-fold in the average frequency of HCN, from 20.6 to 44.7% of plants producing HCN ($\chi^2 = 26.1, p < 0.001$).

(b) Does the strength of urban–rural clines vary with city size?

The strength of urban–rural clines in HCN frequency was unrelated to city size. The area of a city was not significantly related to the slope of the relationship between HCN frequency and distance (slope = $-0.001, t_{118} = -0.256, p = 0.801$). Multiple linear regression analysis that included human population size, population density, city area and average plant density did not identify any significant predictors of variation in the strength of urban–rural clines using a backward model selection procedure, which was expected given the non-significant interaction between city and distance.

The average density of T. repens plants strongly predicted the average HCN frequency within a city. Using multiple linear regression, the density of plants and the area of the city size significantly related to the slope of the relationship between HCN frequency and distance (slope × city: $F_{1,112} = 4.53, p = 0.035$), whereas the number of buildings was not ($F_{1,112} = 0.35, p = 0.557$), suggesting the effects of urbanization on $A_R$ are largely associated with factors correlated with impervious surface.

Cities significantly varied in every measure of genetic diversity (electronic supplementary material, table S4). For example, mean observed heterozygosity varied between 0.535 in Port Hope and 0.618 in Waterloo (electronic supplementary material, table S5). Similarly, mean $A_R$ per locus within populations varied between 3.25 in Acton and 5.33 in Fergus (electronic supplementary material, table S5). Distance and city interacted to affect only $H_O$ ($p = 0.035$; electronic supplementary material, table S4), indicating that in some cases genetic diversity was lower in urban areas, and in other cases the opposite was true (figure 3). Using pairwise Pearson correlations, we did not find any effects of $T. repens$ plant density, HCN frequency, the strength of urban–rural clines or the characteristics of cities (city area, % impervious surface, number of buildings, human population size and population density) on mean levels of genetic diversity within cities (electronic supplementary material, table S6).

(d) Are urban populations genetically differentiated from rural populations, after accounting for isolation-by-distance?

We frequently found evidence for IBD, but infrequently detected significant genetic differentiation between urban and rural environments. Genetic differentiation between populations within cities was relatively low (mean $F_{ST} = 0.054$), yet we detected significant genetic differentiation ($F_{ST} > 0$ at $p < 0.05$) in 73% of all pair-wise population comparisons (electronic supplementary material, table S7). Geographical distance significantly ($p < 0.05$) predicted the strength of genetic differentiation (i.e. IBD) between populations in three of eight cities (electronic supplementary material, table S8), and populations were significantly less genetically differentiated at distances less than 2 km in five of eight cities (electronic supplementary material, table S9). Genetic differentiation between urban and rural populations (urban–rural comparisons: mean $F_{ST} = 0.056$) was slightly greater than differentiation among urban populations (urban–urban comparisons: mean $F_{ST} = 0.051$) or among rural populations (rural–rural comparisons: mean $F_{ST} = 0.053$) (electronic supplementary material, table S10).
these differences, differentiation between urban and rural populations was only significantly greater in the cities of Fergus and Port Hope, after accounting for IBD (electronic supplementary material, table S8). When we implemented a Bonferroni correction based on eight tests (critical p-value = 0.0063), Port Hope was non-significant (\( p_{\text{uncorrected}} = 0.033 \)), and Fergus nearly met the conservative Bonferroni threshold (\( p_{\text{uncorrected}} = 0.0097 \)). We found similar results when we tested the effects of % impervious surface or the number of buildings on genetic differentiation. After accounting for IBD, % impervious surface (RDA: \( p < 0.001, R^2 = 0.15 \)) and the number of buildings (RDA: \( p = 0.011, R^2 = 0.11 \)) were negatively related to differentiation among populations in Fergus, indicative of the most urbanized populations being the least differentiated from one another. These predictors were not significant in any other city (\( p > 0.05 \)).

We found that populations were genetically structured within and between cities. DAPC resulted in 10 distinct genetic clusters when all plants were included from all cities, with Guelph being the most genetically distinct (electronic supplementary material, figure S2). The DAPC ordination also showed that the urban and rural populations in Fergus were genetically distinct from one another, whereas there was broad overlap in the genetic structure of urban and rural populations in all other cities (electronic supplementary material, figure S2). When we examined population genetic structure within cities using STRUCTURE, we found that the optimal number of genetic clusters (K) within cities ranged from 2 to 10 (mean ± s.d. = 4.25 ± 2.96) (figure 4). Acton and Fergus both had an optimal number of two clusters that were geographically separated along the urban–rural transect, and RDA (see above) showed that this differentiation was only significantly related to urbanization in the case of Fergus, consistent with the results from the DAPC. The remaining six cities showed evidence of extensive admixture within and between populations along urban–rural transects (figure 4).

4. Discussion

Our results show that \( T. \ repens \) has consistently evolved decreased HCN in response to urbanization across 20 cities, and that this parallel evolution is best explained by changes in natural selection and not genetic drift or gene flow. These conclusions follow from four key results. First, \( T. \ repens \) populations evolved clines in HCN frequency regardless of city size, with an increase in the frequency of HCN genotypes from urban to rural populations (Q1 and Q2). Second, we did not find a consistent decrease in genetic diversity with increasing urbanization, as expected if the effects of genetic drift were greater in cities (Q3). To the contrary, % impervious surface was associated with greater allelic richness (\( A_k \)), consistent with urbanization supporting more genetic diversity within urban \( T. \ repens \) populations.

Third, urbanization was only unequivocally associated with greater genetic differentiation between populations in one city (Fergus) (Q4). Moreover, \( F_{ST} \) values were generally low and most cities exhibited a population genetic structure that was consistent with frequent gene flow between urban and non-urban populations. Here, we discuss how these results provide insights into the relative roles of natural selection, genetic drift and gene flow in shaping the evolution of \( T. \ repens \) in response to urbanization.

(a) Parallel evolution in response to urbanization

Our study provides clear and compelling evidence that urbanization causes parallel evolution in genes that control an ecologically important trait. We found surprising consistency in the evolution of HCN despite large variation in the characteristics of cities. For example, two of the steepest clines in HCN were found in one of the smallest cities (Fergus) and one of the larger cities (Guelph) (figure 2). Examination of the individual regression lines in figure 2, and from simple linear regression performed on each city (electronic supplementary material, table S11), might lead one to conclude that the effects of urbanization on HCN differed between cities. However, these slopes were, on average, positive and not significantly different from one another, both when distance was standardized and not standardized. This result indicates that urbanization consistently leads to the evolution of a decreased frequency of cyanogenic genotypes, and multiple linear regression showed that variation in the characteristics of cities (e.g. city size) do not alter this evolutionary outcome. These findings extend our earlier work by showing that evolution of HCN in response to urbanization is not restricted to large metropolitan areas [36]. Remarkably, the average change in % HCN along the 20 urban–rural gradients (0.60% km\(^{-1}\)) was nearly identical to the rate of change identified in the nearby megacity of Toronto (0.65% km\(^{-1}\)) and approximately twice the rate observed in New York (0.34% km\(^{-1}\)) and Boston (0.27% km\(^{-1}\)). If this parallel evolution is caused by natural selection, it implies that the functional CYP79D15 and Li alleles controlling the production of HCN are repeatedly selected against in cities as large as New York (NY, USA) and as small as Fergus (ON, Canada).

The results from the \( T. \ repens \) system add to a small but growing body of literature, suggesting that urbanization may frequently cause parallel evolution at genetic and phenotypic levels across a wide diversity of organisms [8,14,51,52]. For example, blackbirds (\( Turdus merula \)) have independently colonized cities from forest populations throughout Europe. Urban \( T. \ merula \) populations show increased wariness to foreign objects [53], less migratory behaviour [54,55] and exhibit repeated evolution in the same allele of the SERT gene, which is associated with harm avoidance behaviours [56]. The plant Virginia pepperweed (\( Lepidium virginicum \)) has evolved to grow faster, bolt earlier and to be more fecund in urban populations compared to non-urban populations across four cities [57]. This consistent evolutionary change in \( L. \ virginicum \) appears to result from the repeated invasion of the same haplotype into urban environments in at least three of the four cities examined. Although natural selection is typically invoked as the causal evolutionary mechanism to explain these examples of parallel evolution, we are unaware of any study that has examined whether genetic drift and gene flow could contribute to these patterns.

(b) Effects of urbanization on genetic drift and genetic diversity within populations

It has been claimed that urbanization leads to smaller, more fragmented populations that are subject to stronger genetic drift [7,27]. If true, then we expect urban populations to exhibit less genetic diversity than non-urban populations. This pattern has been reported from a diverse array of native species, including mammals [9], birds [58], amphibians [12],
reptiles [59], mollusks [60], insects [61], and plants [62]. The effects of urbanization on genetic drift in introduced species that thrive in urban environments is less clear [63,64].

Understanding how urbanization affects patterns of genetic diversity within populations can be important for discerning whether parallel clines in allele frequencies are likely to have arisen due to natural selection or genetic drift. This is especially relevant in a plant like T. repens, in which the production of HCN results from the epistatic interaction between two loci, and the fixation of a non-functional
allele at either locus causes the population to be fixed for the acyanogenic phenotype. Using simulation modelling, Santangelo et al. [21] showed that population bottlenecks and founder events preferentially result in the fixation of acyanogenic genotypes and can lead to urban–rural clines in HCN frequency as strong as those reported here. Thus, if *T. repens* invades cities from rural areas, which is expected given the agricultural history of this plant [65], populations may evolve a decreased frequency of HCN in cities due to the effects of genetic drift alone [21]. Although we cannot examine this process directly, we can test whether urban populations show the expected pattern of decreased genetic diversity at neutral loci, which should occur if urban populations experienced population bottlenecks or founder events.

Our results show that urbanization did not lead to a consistent loss of genetic diversity in *T. repens*, and some measures of urbanization were associated with increased genetic diversity. There was no consistent effect of distance from the urban centre on any measure of genetic diversity (electronic supplementary material, table S4). Contrary to the pattern predicted for native species [27], % impervious surface was associated with increased allelic richness, indicating that urban populations contained a greater number of alleles per locus than non-urban populations (electronic supplementary material, figure S1). Greater impervious surface itself is unlikely to be the causal factor underlying this relationship, but instead urban features that covary with impervious surface. For example, most urban and suburban habitats in Ontario have an abundance of mowed grass in which *T. repens* thrives. By contrast, *T. repens* is often difficult to find in rural areas because it is restricted to mowed areas and grazed pastures, which are not always abundant in rural Ontario. It does poorly in crop lands, roadsides with tall grass and abandoned agricultural land undergoing succession [38]. Although the density of populations did not change as a function of distance from the urban centre ($F_{1,478} = 0.11, p = 0.739$), our qualitative observations suggest that the total abundance of *T. repens* is greater in cities than equivalently sized non-urban areas. Thus, contemporary urban *T. repens* populations could support a greater diversity of alleles than non-urban populations that tend to be more fragmented. Other measures of genetic diversity ($H_e$, $H_g$ and $F_{ST}$) may have been less affected by urbanization because the large number of alleles at each locus could have allowed for the maintenance of higher heterozygosity and low divergence between populations (electronic supplementary material, table S5).

Our results for the effects of urbanization on patterns of spatial variation in genetic diversity suggest that while urbanization may frequently reduce genetic diversity in native species, the effects of urbanization on exotic species that are abundant in urban environments may be reversed [27]. This hypothesis requires further investigation before firm conclusions can be made, but it is clear that urbanization can have diverse effects on patterns of genetic diversity depending on a species’ natural history.

(c) Effects of urbanization on genetic divergence and gene flow between populations

Many artificial and natural features of urban environments can impede the movement of alleles across a landscape due to reduced dispersal, and these barriers can facilitate the genetic divergence of populations [7]. Consistent with this prediction, there is evidence for elevated differentiation among urban populations (i.e. urban–urban comparisons) [10,12,58] and between urban and non-urban populations (i.e. urban–non-urban comparisons) [57,66]. When such divergence occurs, it may facilitate adaptive divergence between populations.

Urbanization did not have consistent effects on genetic differentiation or gene flow among *T. repens* populations. Populations frequently exhibited greater relatedness at small spatial scales (less than 2 km; electronic supplementary material, table S9), whereas IBD was not detected at larger distances. Urbanization only increased genetic differentiation over and above the effects of IBD unequivocally in one city (Fergus), and differentiation among urban populations within cities was, on average, lower than urban–rural and rural–rural comparisons (electronic supplementary material, table S10). The genetic structure of populations showed evidence of widespread admixture across urban–rural transects in six of the eight cities. For example, the clear cline in HCN frequency in Guelph (figure 2) was associated with an optimal number of four genetic clusters based on STRUCTURE (figure 4). These clusters were admixed in both urban and rural populations. Together, these results suggest that there was extensive gene flow among populations along urban–rural transects, potentially caused by human-mediated movement of seeds and stolons, and parallel clines in *T. repens* evolved despite this gene flow. These results also show that increasing city size does not cause increased differentiation between urban and non-urban *T. repens* populations.

(d) Relative importance of natural selection, genetic drift and gene flow

The evolution of parallel clines in response to repeated environmental gradients is often viewed as the hallmark of evolution by natural selection. It is not widely appreciated that repeated population bottlenecks or founder events can also lead to clines at genetic and phenotypic levels [21]. Restricted gene flow can facilitate adaptive genetic divergence along environmental gradients, whereas high gene flow may prevent adaptation to environmental gradients. We are unaware of any study that has compared the relative roles of natural selection, genetic drift and gene flow in shaping the evolution of populations in response to replicated urbanization gradients. However, the individual components of these processes have been examined over the course of multiple separate studies in the white-footed mouse [9,25,67,68] and blackbird [56,58]. Examining the effects of these processes in a single study would provide a strong test of the relative roles of natural selection, drift and gene flow in shaping the evolution of populations in response to urbanization. Our study took such an approach and provides compelling evidence that natural selection is the primary evolutionary mechanism responsible for increasing HCN frequency with decreasing urbanization. High plant abundance, high levels of genetic diversity in populations and the lack of a consistent reduction in genetic diversity in urban centres rule out genetic drift as an explanatory factor for the evolution of clines in HCN. We found significant genetic differentiation and little evidence of admixture between urban and rural populations of Fergus, which may have facilitated the evolution of a cline in this city. The lack of clear differentiation and evidence of gene flow between urban and rural populations in other
cities might be expected to prevent the evolution of clines, yet clines still evolved (figure 2). This finding implies that natural selection between urban and rural populations is sufficiently strong to show a clear pattern of adaptation despite the homogenizing effects of gene flow.
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