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Summary — The 'constructal theory' of formation of structure in nature is extended to fluid-flow systems. The fluid flow path between one point and a finite-size volume (an infinite number of points) is optimized by minimizing the overall flow resistance when the flow rate and the duct volume are fixed. The solution is constructed as a sequence of optimization and organization steps. The sequence has a definite time direction: it begins with the smallest building block (elemental system, with flow by volumetric diffusion), and proceeds toward larger building blocks (assemblies, with flow collected in ducts). Optimized at each level are the shape of the assembly, the number of constituents (i.e., smaller assemblies), and the distribution of the duct volume. It is shown that the ducts of the optimized assemblies form a tree-like structure, in which every architectural detail is deterministic. It is also shown that the structure cannot be determined when the time direction is reversed, from large elements toward smaller elements. The general importance of the constructal law (access-optimization principle) in physics, biology and economics is discussed.

Resume — Réseau ramifié constructif d'un écoulement de fluide entre un volume de dimension finie et un point. La théorie constructale (ou constructive) relative à la formation de structures dans la nature est appliquée à des systèmes fluides ouverts. Le trajet de l'écoulement d'un fluide à partir d'un point vers un volume de dimension finie (un nombre infini de points) est optimisé par la minimisation de la résistance globale d'écoulement, dans des conditions de débit du fluide et de volume du tube imposées. La solution résulte d'une séquence de paliers d'optimisation et d'organisation. La séquence a une direction du temps bien précise : elle a comme origine le plus petit bloc (système élémentaire où l'écoulement se fait par diffusion volumique) et évolue vers des blocs de plus en plus grands (groupes de composants) dont l'écoulement est collecté dans des tubes. À chaque niveau on optimise la configuration du groupe, le nombre de composants (par exemple les groupes plus petits) et la distribution du volume du tube. On montre que les tubes des groupes de composants optimisés présentent une structure ramifiée (ou de type arborescent), dont chaque détail architectural est déterministe. Il en résulte aussi que la structure ne peut pas être déterminée quand la direction du temps est inversée, c'est-à-dire en allant des plus grands éléments vers les plus petits. L'importance générale de la théorie constructale en physique, biologie et économie politique est discutée.

Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A_i</td>
<td>area</td>
<td>m^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_i</td>
<td>tube diameter</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>mass diffusivity</td>
<td>m^2.s^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_i</td>
<td>volume height</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>permeability</td>
<td>m^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L_i</td>
<td>duct length</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L_v</td>
<td>volume length</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m_i</td>
<td>mass flow rate</td>
<td>kg.m^{-1}.s^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m_i</td>
<td>volumetric mass flow rate</td>
<td>kg.m^{-1}.s^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n_i</td>
<td>number of constituents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N_j</td>
<td>number of branches</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>pressure</td>
<td>N.m^{-2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>volume thickness</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t_b</td>
<td>breathing time</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u</td>
<td>mean velocity in duct flow</td>
<td>m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\bar{v}</td>
<td>volume averaged velocity in Darcy flow</td>
<td>m.s^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_i</td>
<td>volume</td>
<td>m^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_p</td>
<td>pore volume</td>
<td>m^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\bar{x}, \bar{y}</td>
<td>cartesian coordinates</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Greek symbols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>\delta</td>
<td>factor (equation (16))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\Delta P</td>
<td>pressure drop (equation (15))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\lambda</td>
<td>factor (equation (15))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\lambda_P</td>
<td>Lagrange multiplier (equation (44))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\nu</td>
<td>kinematic viscosity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\rho</td>
<td>fluid density</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. CONSTRUCTAL THEORY OF ORGANIZATION IN NATURE

Constructal theory (Bejan, 1996a, 1997a, 1997b & 1998) is extended in this paper to fluid flow systems that exhibit natural shape and structure. Constructal theory is a new development in thermodynamics. It is, first, a theory – a principle from which geometric shape and structure are deduced – and, second, an engineering method of optimizing the paths for flows (heat, fluid, electricity, species) through finite-size open systems. In both engineering and physics, the constructal method is the most recent addition to the explosive growth of the research on thermodynamic optimization (Feidt, 1987; Stecco and Moran, 1990 & 1992; Valero and Tsatsaronis, 1992; Bejan et al., 1996; Bejan, 1996b).

Natural structure (self-organization) is often exhibited by a flow that connects a point to a finite-size volume (an infinity of points). A tree-shaped, loopless network is the most visible part of the natural structure. Tree networks abound in nature, in both living and nonliving systems, e.g., lungs, botanical trees, vascularized tissues, river basins and deltas, lightning, turbulent jets, neural dendrites, dendritic crystals, street patterns – urban growth – (Bejan, 1996a), and other patterns of transportation and telecommunication.

The currently accepted doctrine is that tree networks and other natural structures (animate and inanimate) are nondeterministic, i.e., the results of chance and necessity. In fractal geometry, any tree network can be generated by repeating a suitably designed algorithm and interrupting it at a smallest scale (inner cutoff) have to be postulated. Fractal geometry is descriptive, not predictive.

This doctrine was challenged by Bejan (1996a, 1997a, 1997b & 1998), which showed that all the geometric details of the tree and the rest of the volume-to-point flow path can be predicted in purely deterministic fashion. For example, the flow problem proposed by Bejan (1996a) was heat conduction in a heterogeneous medium: 'Consider a finite-size volume of low conductivity \( k_l \) in which heat is being generated at every point and which is cooled through a small patch (heat sink) located on its boundary. A finite amount of high-conductivity \( k_h \) material is available. Determine the optimal distribution of \( k_h \) material through the given volume such that the highest (hot-spot) temperature is minimized.' The solution to this problem reveals two important characteristics of natural organization: the high-conductivity paths form a tree network, and the low conductivity material fills the space between the tree branches. This geometric result is of the same class as the existence of optimal internal spacings for volumes that are swept by natural or forced convection (Bejan and Scubba, 1992).

Bejan's new proposal (1996a) was to minimize through geometric optimization the time of travel between a finite-size area and one point. Travelers have access to several speeds, starting with the lowest \( v_0 \), e.g., walking and proceeding toward higher speeds (faster vehicles). The geometric solution to this problem is shape and structure: a tree of streets, where the interstitial areas (e.g., city blocks) are covered by the slowest mode of travel.

The discovery made by Bejan (1996a & 1997a) is purely geometric: every portion of the given volume can have its shape optimized, such that its resistance to flow is minimal. This unique design principle applies at any volume scale, and to other forms of transport (fluid, electric, mass species). The volume-to-point path was determined in a sequence of steps consisting of shape optimization and subsequent construction (assembly, grouping).

Very important is the time arrow of this construction, which points from small to large. It starts from the smallest building block (elemental system), and proceeds toward larger building blocks (assemblies, constructs). It was shown (Bejan, 1996a & 1997a) that determinism vanishes if the direction is reversed, from large to small. To emphasize the link between determinism and the direction from small to large, and as a reminder that theory (determinism) runs against fractal thinking, the geometric optimization approach developed by Bejan (1996a and 1997a) was named constructal theory.

The solution determined by Bejan (1997a) is the structure and the optimized architecture of the composite material \((k_0, k_p)\). The infinity of points of the given volume is connected to the sink point because, at the smallest volume scale, the transport is volumetric, by diffusion through the low-conductivity material. At the larger scales, the transport is via channels (streams) of high conductivity. In time, slow flow and shapelessness (diffusion, disorganization) come first, and fast flow and structure (channels, organization) come later.

The completely deterministic construction of the volume-to-point flow path is an important development, with wide ranging implications in physics, biology, and engineering (§ 8). The objective of the present paper is to extend the constructal method to the flow of a fluid between one point and a finite-size volume, or vice versa. Unlike in the heat flow problem (Bejan, 1997a), where for simplicity the geometry was assumed two-dimensional, in this paper the fluid-flow resistance is optimized in the three-dimensional space.

There are two important reasons why this optimization method deserves to be extended to fluid flow. First, the volume-to-point flow is a fundamental configuration in many technologies in which working fluids must bathe a three-dimensional solid at every point, i.e., volumetrically. Examples are the...
porous beds used for energy storage, matrices of periodic-flow heat or mass exchangers, gas cooled electric windings, and convection cooled electronic packages. This optimization is generally important in engineering, because fluid networks (eg, piping) are one of the most basic aspects of power-system engineering (Padet, 1991; Falempie, 1995).

The second reason is purely theoretical. The volume-to-point fluid flow has received considerable attention in biophysics and geophysics because of its numerous manifestations in natural systems. Most of this work has been devoted to understanding the origin of the architecture of air passages in the lungs and capillaries in vascularized tissues. As shown in the concluding part of this paper (§ 7 and 8), previous studies of the minimal resistance problem used as starting point the observations that tree networks exist, and that ducts bifurcate. To predict these properties – the tree, and the integer 2 (bifurcation) – are the essential objectives of the analysis developed in this paper.

2. THE FLOW RATE CONSTRAINT

To construct an analysis that is both effective and transparent, it is advisable to rely on the simplest, most general possible model that still retains the most essential feature of the flow path: its function. Such a model begins with figure 1, which shows that the function of the path is to distribute the stream \( \dot{m} \) from the point M to every elemental volume \( \Delta V \).

We focus on this function and optimization problem in a general way, without reference to a specific application (natural occurrence) of the flow pattern.

An important consequence of the function of the flow path is that in some cases two superimposed paths are needed. For example, in the bronchial application (natural occurrence) of the flow pattern, the function of the path is to distribute the stream in a general way, without reference to a specific

\[ \dot{m} = \dot{m}'' V \]  

(2)

We focus on only one of the flow paths of figure 1, namely the dark one, and recognize that \( \Delta \dot{m} \) is driven from the elemental volume to the origin by the pressure difference \( (P - P_m) \). This difference varies with the position of the \( \Delta V \) element relative to M; of special interest is the maximum pressure difference, \( \Delta P = (P_{max} - P_M) \), which is needed by the elemental volumes that are situated the farthest from the end of the network. In the lungs and the circulatory system, for example, \( \Delta P \) is the pressure level that must be maintained by the thorax and heart muscles. The time-averaged mechanical power consumed by these pumps, or the entropy generation rate of the entire network is proportional to the product \( \dot{m} \Delta P \). The total flowrate \( \dot{m} \) is fixed, because \( V \) and \( \dot{m}'' \) are fixed. In conclusion, the thermodynamic optimization of the flow path is equivalent to minimizing the maximum pressure difference.

3. THE FIRST VOLUME ELEMENT

Two features distinguish the methodology of this paper from approaches tried in the past (§ 7). First, the optimization process has a definite direction: from small volume elements toward larger volumes (assemblies). Second, the smallest scale of the network is finite and known (predictable): this scale serves as starting point in the step-by-step optimization and organization procedure.

3.1. Optimization of volume shape

The smallest volume element is shown in figure 2. The volume \( V_1 = H_1 L_1 t \) is fixed because the thickness \( t \) and the area \( A_1 = H_1 L_1 \) are fixed. The shape of the element is variable, and is represented by the aspect ratio \( H_1/L_1 \).

The volume \( V_1 \) is visited uniformly by the mass flow rate \( \dot{m}_1 = \dot{m}'' V_1 \). At this first (elemental) level, only one tube (diameter \( D_1 \) in figure 3) is used to collect the \( \dot{m}_1 \) stream and lead it to one point on the boundary: in figure 2, that point is the origin (0,0). Symmetry and the requirement that \( \Delta P \) be minimum suggest that the tube should be placed along the x axis. The mass flow rate through this tube is \( \dot{m}(r) \), with \( \dot{m}(0) = \dot{m}_1 \) at the origin (0,0), and \( \dot{m}(L_1) = 0 \). Except for the point of origin, the surfaces of the elemental volume \( V_1 \) are impermeable. The thickness \( t \) is assumed to be sufficiently small, \( t < (H_1 L_1) \), such that the pressure field that drives the flow is essentially two-dimensional, \( P(x,y) \).
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The Darcy flow bathes every one of the infinity of points contained by $V_i$. In equation (3), $v$ and $K$ are the volume-averaged velocity and, respectively, the permeability in the $y$ direction. The fluid may flow in the $x$ direction only along the $x$ axis. This anisotropic model is clearly a simplification, which later will restrict our geometric constructions to drawing only 90° angles between successive assemblies. At this early stage in the analysis, however, this is a reasonable modeling approximation, especially if $K$ is small.

The pressure field $P(x, y)$ can be determined by eliminating $v$ between equation (3) and the local mass continuity condition

$$\frac{\partial \dot{m}}{\partial y} = \frac{\dot{m}''}{\rho}$$

and applying the boundary conditions $\partial P/\partial y = 0$ at $y = H_1/2$ and $P = P(x, 0)$ at $y = 0$:

$$P(x, y) = \frac{\dot{m}''}{2K} (H_1 y - y^2) + P(x, 0)$$

Equation (5) holds only for $y > 0$: the corresponding expression for $y < 0$ is obtained by replacing $H_1$ with $-H_1$ in equation (5).

The function describing the pressure distribution along the $x$ axis can be determined after making similar assumptions about the fluid mechanics of the stream that eventually exits at $\dot{m}_i$ through the origin. Let us assume as in earlier studies (Thomson, 1942; Mac Donald, 1983) that this stream is a low Reynolds number (Hagen-Poiseuille) flow through a round tube of length $L_1$ and diameter $D_1$. First, we use the classical result for the mean velocity in the $x$ direction:

$$u = \left( \frac{D_1}{2} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} \right)_{y=0}$$

(6)

to estimate the local mass flow rate $\dot{m}(x)$, which points toward the origin,

$$\dot{m}(x) = \frac{\pi D_1^2}{128 \nu} \left( \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} \right)_{y=0}$$

(7)

Mass conservation requires that the mass generated in the infinitesimal volume slice $(H_1, dx)$ contribute to the $\dot{m}(x)$ stream,

$$\dot{m}(x) H_1 t \, dx = -d\dot{m}$$

(8)

Integrating equation (8) away from the impermeable plane $x = L$ (where $\dot{m} = 0$), and recalling that $\dot{m}_1 = \dot{m}'' H_1$, we obtain:

$$\dot{m}(x) = \dot{m}'' H_1 (L_1 - x) = \dot{m}_1 \left( 1 - \frac{x}{L_1} \right)$$

(9)

Finally, equations (7) and (9) yield the pressure distribution along the $x$ axis

$$P(x, 0) = P_0 + \frac{\dot{m}'' H_1}{\pi D_1} \left( L_1 - \frac{x^2}{2} \right)$$

(10)
This result can be combined with equation (5) to determine the pressure distribution over the rectangular domain \( H_1 \times L_1 \), where \( P(0,0) = P_1 \). The resulting expression shows that the maximum pressure occurs in the two farthest corners, \( P_{\text{max}} = P(L_1, \pm H/2) \), namely:

\[
\Delta P_1 = P_{\text{max}} - P_0 = \frac{m^a}{m^a + \nu} \left( \frac{H_1^2}{8K} + \frac{64H_1tL_1^2}{\pi D_1^2} \right)
\]

(11)

This result can be rearranged by using \( A_1 = H_1L_1 \), to show explicitly the tradeoff nature of the shape of \( A_1 \):

\[
\frac{\Delta P_1}{m^a + \nu} = \frac{H_1^2}{8K} + \frac{64tA_1^2}{\pi D_1^2H_1}
\]

(12)

We reach the important conclusion that \( A_1 \) can be optimized geometrically: \( \Delta P_1 \) has a minimum with respect to \( H_1 \), which is represented by:

\[
H_1 = \left( \frac{256}{\pi} t K \right)^{1/3} \frac{A_1^{2/3}}{D_1^{4/3}}
\]

(13)

The permeability \( K \) may be kept as a known constant and carried through the analysis, for example, as in the case of the permeability of soil in a river basin model. Since most of the optimization studies of volume-to-point flows have dealt with the respiratory and vascular systems (Thompson, 1942; MacDonald, 1983; Cohn, 1954; Weibel, 1963; Wilson, 1967; Horsfield and Cumming, 1968), it is useful if we say more about \( K \), to show that it is indeed known, ie, predictable.

The \( y \)-permeability of the \( V_1 \) element is due to a number of ‘pores’ of diameter \( D_0 \) and length \( L_0 \), which are aligned with \( y \) (fig 3). For example, in the lung the \( D_0 \) scale is dictated by the diffusion of mass during the breathing interval \( t_b \) (Bejan, 1997b):

\[
D_0 \sim (t_b \bar{D})^{1/2}
\]

(14)

where \( \bar{D} \) is the mass diffusivity of oxygen or carbon dioxide through the ‘solid’ (ie, blood saturated medium) that surrounds the pore. Substituting the appropriate orders of magnitude into equation (14), namely \( t_b \sim 1 \text{ s} \) and \( \bar{D} \sim 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \) (Bejan, 1968, p 580), we obtain \( D_0 \sim 10^{-2} \text{ mm} \). Averaged over time, the periodic (in \& out) flow through the pore sustains a quasi-steady mass concentration field in the solid material that surrounds the pore. This is in fact the reason why the pore exists, and why an amount of solid material is assigned to it. The solid material is to be contaminated by the species that are present in the pore. From the classical solutions of steady diffusion (Carslaw and Jaeger, 1959) we know that the pore geometry that maximizes the ratio of the contaminated solid material divided by the pore volume is the spherical configuration. In the present case (fig 2) the pores cannot be spherical inclusions because they must communicate at one end with the collecting tube \( (D_2) \) placed on the \( x \) axis. The only option then is for each pore to be shaped as a ‘finger,’ which means that the pore length \( L_0 \) is a small multiple of \( D_0 \):

\[
L_0 = \lambda D_0
\]

(15)

Another aspect of the classical solution for steady diffusion around an embedded sphere is that the scale of the thickness of the spherical annulus of contaminated solid material is dictated by the scale of the inclusion \( (D_0) \). This means that the finger-shaped pore and the surrounding contaminated solid occupy a finger shaped volume with a thickness \( t \) that is a small multiple of \( D_0 \):

\[
t = \delta D_0
\]

(16)

The precise values of the factors \( \lambda \) and \( \delta \) are not important, although exact estimates of these geometric features can be made by solving numerically the steady diffusion problem associated with the finger-shaped cavity. The important conclusion is that both \( \lambda \) and \( \delta \) are numbers of order 1, such that the ratio \( \lambda/\delta \) is a number comparable with 1. We return to this observation in equation (20).

Figure 3 summarizes the results obtained until now. The vertical permeability constant \( K \) of the material shown in figure 2 can be estimated as the equivalent permeability of a group of parallel tubes of diameter \( D_0 \) and spacing \( t \) (Bejan, 1995, p 570):

\[
K = \frac{\pi D_0^4}{128 t^2}
\]

(17)

This formula can be combined with equations (16) and (13) to obtain:

\[
H_1 = \left( \frac{2}{\lambda} \right)^{1/3} \frac{A_1^{2/3}}{D_0^{4/3}}
\]

(18)

Noting in figure 3 that \( H_1 = 2L_0 \), and recalling that \( L_1 = A_1/H_1 \), we arrive at:

\[
L_1 = \frac{8}{9} \lambda^{3/2} D_0
\]

(19)

The geometric implications of these results become clearer if we calculate the now optimized aspect ratio:

\[
\frac{H_1}{L_1} = \frac{9}{4} \left( \frac{\delta}{\lambda} \right)^{1/2} \sim 2
\]

(20)

where we used the earlier estimate \( \delta/\lambda \sim 1 \). In equation (20) we reach the important conclusion that the shape of the volume must be such that \( H_1/L_1 \) is practically constant and equal to 2. The number of tubes of size \( (D_0, L_0) \) contained in this first assembly is also closely represented by the number 2 (the smallest integer greater than 1):

\[
n_1 = \frac{2 L_1}{t} = \frac{16}{9} \left( \frac{\lambda}{\delta} \right)^{3/2} \sim 2
\]

(21)

In conclusion, the optimization of the rectangular shape of \( A_1 \) means that \( A_1 \) is an assembly that contains only two of the smallest elements. This optimal first assembly is illustrated in figure 4. It is important to keep in mind that the road travelled from figure 2 to figure 4 was one where the number \( n_1 \) was free to vary, and the conclusion that \( n_1 \)
must be the smallest even number available is theoretical. It was geometric optimization that led to the conclusion that two \( D_0 \) tubes must come together and form one tube of diameter \( D_1 \). In other words, reading the present geometric construction in the reverse, the fact that in figure 4 the \( D_1 \) tube bifurcates is of purely theoretical origin. This observation is amplified in § 7.

3.2. Optimization of Duct Volume Distribution

In figures 2 and 3 the \( D_1 \) tube ran from one end of the assembly to the other end (length \( L_1 \)) because the number of vertical \( D_0 \) tubes was arbitrary and assumed large. This is no longer the case when the first assembly is optimized: in figure 4 the length of the \( D_1 \) tube is \( L_1/2 \) because its function is to collect the \( m_1 \) stream from the center of the assembly, and lead it along the shortest path out of the assembly. In place of equation (12), the pressure drop formula can be written specifically for figure 4:

\[
\Delta P_1 = m_1 \frac{128 \nu L_1}{\pi D_1^1} + \frac{1}{2} m_1 \frac{128 \nu}{\pi D_0^2} L_0
\]

(22)

where the two terms account for the \( D_1 \) and \( D_0 \) portions of the flow path. The 1/2 factor in front of the second term accounts for the fact that one end of the \( D_0 \) tube is closed (for example, the in & out flow through this ‘sack’ is made possible by the periodic and partial swelling and contracting of \( D_0 \)). Equation (22) can be rewritten to show the geometric parameters of the flow resistance encountered by \( m_1 \):

\[
\frac{\pi}{64} \frac{\Delta P_1}{m_1 \nu} = \frac{L_1}{D_1^1} + \frac{L_0}{2D_0^2}
\]

(23)

When the total volume occupied by the ducts is constrained,

\[
V_{pl} = \frac{\pi}{4} D_1^2 \frac{L_1}{2} + 2 \frac{\pi}{4} D_0^2 L_0
\]

(24)

there is an optimal way of distributing this volume such that the flow resistance is minimized. This additional degree of freedom is represented by the diameter increase ratio \( D_1 / D_0 \). Noting that \( L_1 = L_0 \), the minimization of the expression (23) subject to the constraint (24) yields:

\[
\frac{D_1}{D_0} = 2^{1/2}
\]

(25)

\[
\Delta P_1 = \frac{48}{\pi} m_1 \nu \frac{L_0}{D_0^2}
\]

(26)

\[
V_{pl} = \frac{3}{4} \pi L_0 D_0^2
\]

(27)

In conclusion, the first assembly is optimized further if the collecting duct \( D_1 \) is \( 2^{1/2} \) times thicker than its tributaries.

It is worth mentioning that the first assembly (fig 4) can also be optimized with respect to the angle between the \( D_0 \) and \( D_1 \) ducts. This optimization opportunity has been studied extensively in the past, beginning with Murray (1926) and Thompson (1942). It is not included in the present analysis for the sake of simplicity: the present objective is to highlight the optimization with respect to volume shape (§ 3.1), which is new and solely responsible for the organization (assemblies) that emerge in the direction of larger scales.

4. THE SECOND CONSTRUCT

The optimization and construction of subsequent assemblies of larger size follows the same steps as the procedure used for the first assembly. These steps were presented in detail in § 3 and in a previous paper by Bejan (1997a). For conciseness, we focus on the results and the patterns that emerge in going from one assembly to the next, larger size.

The construction of the second assembly begins with taking a large and unspecified number \( n_2 \) of first assemblies, and arranging them on both sides of a new collecting tube of diameter \( D_2 \). We arrive in this way at figure 5, which is in principle the same as the generic model analysed earlier (fig 3). Consequently, the conclusion that the new assembly contains the smallest even number of assemblies of the preceding size applies here as well: the number \( n_2 \) has been used to draw figure 6.
We see that the shape-optimized second assembly contains two first assemblies, which form the larger stream \((m_2 = m_1 + m_3)\) right in the center of the second assembly. The \(m_2\) stream is guided out of the second assembly through a new duct of diameter \(D_2\) and length \(L_2/2 (= L_0/2)\).

In view of equation (25), the ratio \(D_2/D_0 = 2^{5/6}\) means that in going from the first to the second assembly the diameter of the collecting duct increases by a factor \(D_2/D_1 = 2^{1/3}\).

**5. THE THIRD ASSEMBLY**

The next shape optimization step leads to a third assembly that contains only two second assemblies, as shown in figure 7. The new stream \((m_3 = m_2 + m_4)\) is formed in the center of the cube of side \(H_2 (= 2 L_0)\), and is led to the outside through a new duct of diameter \(D_3\) and length \(L_3/2 (= L_0)\). The second step of the optimization method (the distribution of pore volume) consists in determining the overall fluid resistance function

\[
\Delta P_3 = \frac{m_3}{\pi D_3^4} \frac{128 \nu L_3}{2} + \Delta P_0
\]

and minimizing it subject to the pore volume constraint

\[
V_{p3} = \frac{\pi}{4} D_3^4 \frac{L_3}{2} + 2 V_{p2}
\]

where \(\Delta P_2\) and \(V_{p2}\) are given by equations (28) and (29). The results of this analysis are:

\[
D_3/D_0 = 2^{7/6}
\]

\[
\Delta P_3 = \frac{4}{\pi} (9^{1/3} + 2^{-1/3} + 3) m_3 \frac{L_0}{D_0}
\]

\[
V_{p3} = \frac{\pi}{4} (9^{1/3} + 2^{-1/3} + 3) L_0 D_0^2
\]

Equations (35) and (36) show that the new diameter increase factor \(D_3/D_2 = 2^{2/3}\) is the same as the preceding one, \(D_2/D_1\). In conclusion, beginning with the second assembly the diameter of each new collecting duct increases by a factor of \(2^{2/3}\).

**6. HIGHER ORDER CONSTRUCTS**

The assembly optimization and construction procedure can be repeated until the assembly size...
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Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assembly</th>
<th>$l_i/l_{i-1}$</th>
<th>$D_i/D_{i-1}$</th>
<th>$\Delta P_i/m_i \nu L_0$</th>
<th>$V_{ps}/D_i^3 L_0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1/2$</td>
<td>$2^{1/2}$</td>
<td>$\frac{16}{\pi}$ (3)</td>
<td>$\frac{\pi}{4}$ (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2^{1/3}$</td>
<td>$\frac{8}{\pi}$ (3 + $2^{-1/3}$)</td>
<td>$\frac{\pi}{2}$ (3 + $2^{-1/3}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$2^{1/3}$</td>
<td>$\frac{4}{\pi} (3 + 2^{-1/3} + 2^{1/3})$</td>
<td>$\frac{\pi}{3} (3 + 2^{-1/3} + 2^{1/3})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2^{1/3}$</td>
<td>$\frac{2}{\pi} (4 + 2^{-1/3} + 2^{1/3})$</td>
<td>$2 \pi (4 + 2^{-1/3} + 2^{1/3})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2^{1/3}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{\pi} (4 + 2 \times 2^{-1/3} + 2^{1/3})$</td>
<td>$4 \pi (4 + 2 \times 2^{-1/3} + 2^{1/3})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$2^{1/3}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2 \pi} (4 + 2 \times 2^{-1/3} + 2 \times 2^{1/3})$</td>
<td>$8 \pi (4 + 2 \times 2^{-1/3} + 2 \times 2^{1/3})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2^{1/3}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{4 \pi} (5 + 2 \times 2^{-1/3} + 2 \times 2^{1/3})$</td>
<td>$16 \pi (5 + 2 \times 2^{-1/3} + 2 \times 2^{1/3})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2^{1/3}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{8 \pi} (5 + 3 \times 2^{-1/3} + 2 \times 2^{1/3})$</td>
<td>$32 \pi (5 + 3 \times 2^{-1/3} + 2 \times 2^{1/3})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$2^{1/3}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{16 \pi} (5 + 3 \times 2^{-1/3} + 3 \times 2^{1/3})$</td>
<td>$64 \pi (5 + 3 \times 2^{-1/3} + 3 \times 2^{1/3})$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The main features of the first nine assemblies are summarized in Table I. The column $l_i/l_{i-1}$ represents the factor by which the length of the new collecting duct ($l_i$) increases relative to the preceding duct ($l_{i-1}$). The duct length ($l_i$) is to be distinguished from the horizontal dimension of the assembly ($L_i$); in Figure 7, for example, $l_3 = L_3/2$.

As noted already, the diameter increase factor $l_i/l_{i-1}$ exhibits a cyclical pattern for each sequence of three assembly sizes, provided $i \geq 2$. This cycle is more evident when $i \geq 4$, especially as we examine the evolution of the numerical coefficients obtained for $\Delta P_i$ and $V_{ps}$: each of the preceding (smaller) assembly sizes leaves its mark on the form of these coefficients. When the assembly order ($i$) is a multiple of 3, the numerical results in Table I can be extended with the formulas:

$$\frac{\Delta P_i}{m_i \nu L_0} = \frac{1}{2^{i-5} \pi} \left[ 2 + \frac{i}{3} (1 + 2^{-1/3} + 2^{1/3}) \right]$$

$$\frac{V_{ps}}{D_i^3 L_0} = 2^{-3} \pi \left[ 2 + \frac{i}{3} (1 + 2^{-1/3} + 2^{1/3}) \right]$$

($i = 3, 6, 9, \ldots$) (39)

Another property of these results is that the outer linear dimension of the assembly of order ($i + 3$) is the double of the outer dimension of the assembly of order $i$. This factor of 2 increase also applies to the diameters of the largest (collecting) ducts of the two assemblies. Figure 8 illustrates the doubling of the size, from the third assembly (a) to the sixth assembly (b).

It is important to note that the internal details do not double their sizes in going from assembly ($i$) to assembly ($i + 3$). In other words, assembly ($i + 3$) is not the same as magnifying by a factor of 2 every feature of assembly ($i$). The reason is that the fluid flow path constructed in this paper has a definite (finite and known) beginning: the smallest scale ($K_i$ or $D_{ps}$), and the optimized first assembly. It is the finite-size and geometry of this beginning that distinguish the present theoretical construction from the algorithms that are postulated and used in fractal geometry. In the latter, the algorithm can be repeated conceptually ad infinitum, all the way down to the scale of size zero (only there, at infinity, the structure would be a fractal). Because of the infinite series of steps, the fractals-generated image of a certain size can be obtained by simply magnifying an image of a smaller size.

7. THE IMPORTANCE OF THE DIRECTION OF TIME

In Figures 2 to 7 we have constructed the fluid flow path that minimizes the flow resistance between a finite-size volume and a spot on its boundary. The new aspect of this construction is that every single step was determined based on geometric optimization. From the shape of the elemental volume ($H_i/L_i$) to the collecting duct of the largest assembly ($D_{ps}$), we relied on the minimization of flow resistance subject to fixed volume and mass flow rate. This deterministic approach gave us the optimal shape of each assembly, the optimal number and orientation of constituents in each.
new assembly, and the optimal dimensions of each new duct. The construction began with the smallest element, in which the fluid flowed volumetrically (Darcy flow), and proceeded step-by-step toward assemblies of larger sizes.

To see what is new in this approach, note that one portion of the network pattern (namely, the portion formed by the higher-order assemblies, table I for \( i > 4 \)) is not new. It was proposed in physiology as a heuristic model for the circulatory system (Cohn, 1954), where it was known empirically that each tube is followed by two smaller tubes, i.e., each tube undergoes bifurcation. It was also known that the tube diameter must decrease by a constant factor \( (2^{-1/3}) \) during each bifurcation: this result had been derived based on flow resistance minimization (Thompson, 1942) and later, based on entropy generation minimization (Wilson, 1967); it was the only theory-based notion present in the algorithms used to reconstruct the pulmonary tree or other tree-shaped networks that appear in nature (trees, roots, leaves, river basins, deltas, lightning). The description of these geometric constructions was made popular through the advent of fractal geometry: in fact, a two-dimensional version of Cohn's (1954) branching fluid network appeared later in the books by Mandelbrot (1983) and Prigogine (1980), where it was presented heuristically as a 'model of the lung'.

In spite of these advances, the theory of branching fluid networks remained limited to one result: the constant factor for diameter reduction during branching [which, upon closer scrutiny, turns out to be empirical not theoretical; see equation (48)]. The construction steps that were left to be determined theoretically are the number of new (smaller) ducts formed during branching (why two branches, and not six?), the relation between the branch length and the length of the original (larger) duct, and the position of the smaller branches relative to larger branches, i.e., the manner in which the flow path fills the volume. Another extremely important aspect that awaited an explanation is why the theoretical diameter reduction factor \( (2^{-1/3}) \) fails to describe the sizes of the smallest ducts. In other words, why does the heuristic construction of an algorithm-based network break down at a sufficiently small scale (as in figures 2 and 3)?

The analysis of sections 2-6 provided theoretical answers to all these questions. To see why the previous investigations of the volume-to-point flow did not lead to a pure theory, let us rethink the problem by relying on the traditional approach. In past studies of branching fluid networks, the network was first seen and accepted, and then it was broken down repeatedly (e.g., through postulated bifurcation), beginning with the largest duct and proceeding toward smaller scales. In figure 9 we assume that the volume \( V \) is filled by an existing network of ducts. The network is seen as a sequence of \( n \) branching stages \( (j = 1, 2, \ldots, n) \), which proceeds in the direction of smaller scales. At
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Fig 9. The time-reversed approach: an assumed fluid network that branches in the direction of smaller scales.

Each branching stage, the unknown dimensions of each duct are \( D_j \) and \( L_j \). The total number of ducts of size \((D_j, L_j)\) is also unknown, and is labeled \( N_j \). The starting (zeroth) duct of the network is characterized by \( D_0, L_0 \) and \( N_0 = 1 \), where \( D_0 \) should not be confused with the smallest scale identified in the present analysis (fig 3 and 4). The directions in which the branches invade the volume are unknown. The number of branching stages \( n \) is also unknown.

The conservation of the total mass flow rate \( \dot{m}_0 \) at each branching stage \( j \) requires:

\[
\dot{m}_0 = \sum_{j=0}^{n} N_j \dot{m}_j \tag{40}
\]

where \( \dot{m}_j \) is the mass flow rate through one branch of the \( j \)-th order,

\[
\dot{m}_j = \frac{\pi}{128 \nu} \frac{\Delta P_j D_j^4}{L_j} \tag{41}
\]

and \( \Delta P_j \) is the pressure difference across the \( j \)-th branching stage. Combining equations (40) and (41), and summing over all the branching stages, we estimate the total pressure difference sustained by the network:

\[
\Delta P = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \Delta P_j = \frac{128}{\pi} \frac{\pi}{\nu} \sum_{j=0}^{n} N_j \frac{L_j}{D_j^4} \tag{42}
\]

We then minimize the flow resistance \( \Delta P/\dot{m}_0 \) subject to the duct volume constraint

\[
V_p = \sum_{j=0}^{n} V_{p,j} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} N_j L_j \frac{\pi}{4} D_j^2 \tag{43}
\]

This optimization problem is equivalent to finding the extremum of the function

\[
\Phi = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left( \frac{L_j}{N_j D_j^4} + \lambda N_j L_j D_j^2 \right) \tag{44}
\]

where \( \lambda \) is a Lagrange multiplier. The sequence of optimal tube diameters that minimizes \( \Phi \) is:

\[
D_{j, opt} = \left( \frac{2}{\lambda} \right)^{1/6} N_j^{-1/3} \quad (j = 0, 1, \ldots, n) \tag{45}
\]

which shows that the optimal diameters decrease as \( N_j^{-1/3} \) from one branching stage to the next. The value of the Lagrange multiplier can be determined by substituting the optimal distribution (45) into the duct volume constraint (43),

\[
\left( \frac{2}{\lambda} \right)^{1/6} = \left( \frac{4 \pi V_p}{n \sum_{j=0}^{n} N_j^{1/3} L_j} \right)^{1/2} \tag{46}
\]

In conclusion, equation (45) shows that if the number of ducts at each branching stage is known \((N_j)\), then we have an optimal sequence of duct diameters for minimum overall flow resistance. For illustration, consider Thompson's (1942) example of the respiratory and vascular systems, where observations showed that each tube is continued by two smaller tubes:

\[
N_j = 2^j \tag{47}
\]

According to equation (45), in this case the optimal diameters decrease as \( 2^{-j/3} \), and the ratio between two consecutive tube sizes is a constant:

\[
\frac{D_{j+1}}{D_j}_{opt} = 2^{-1/3} \approx 0.8 \tag{48}
\]

Equation (45) is a theoretical result, and, in it, the \( N_j \) and \( L_j \) values are left unspecified. Equation (48), on the other hand, is empirical because equation (47) is empirical: it rests on the direct and unexplained observation that in the lung and the vascularized tissue each large tube is continued by two smaller tubes.

Equation (45) is the most that the approach based on figure 9 can predict. The analysis of this section -- the breaking down of each duct into a more numerous generation of ducts -- showed very simply why this approach cannot advance theoretically beyond the ability to predict the tube diameters when the tube numbers are accepted empirically. The features of the fluid network can be anticipated in a purely deterministic manner only when the analysis proceeds from small building blocks toward larger building blocks. The present method of accessing a finite volume from one point invites us to rethink the language in which we describe naturally organized systems. Confluence yes, branching no. Coalescence yes, bifurcation no. Construction yes, fracturing no. Constructal yes, fractal no.

8. The Optimal-Access Law, and the Origin of Shape and Structure in Nature

The present method suggests that the three-dimensional tree networks that are so common in
nature are the fingerprint of the minimization of the flow resistance between a finite volume and one point. They are the result of optimizing the access between all the points of the volume (an infinite number of points) and the single point that serves as source or sink.

This deterministic approach has two very special features that have not been recognized in the past. One is the time direction (from small to large), which was discussed at length in §7. The other is the notion that the flow (the access) between the source point and a point inside the finite volume can occur via two regimes, not one. One regime is volumetric diffusion (disorganized molecular motion, eg, equation (31)) and the other is an ‘organized’ flow [streams, eg, equation (6)]. Each flow path is a combination of a portion with high resistance (diffusion) and a portion with low resistance (streams).

Access to the infinite number of points inside the finite-size volume is assured by placing the slowest (diffusion) regime in the smallest volume element. This is why the small-to-large direction is so important. After this initial step, the access optimization problem becomes one of allocating low-resistance path (duct volume) to finite volumes of increasingly larger sizes. Had there been only one flow regime in the finite volume (eg, diffusion), the volume-to-point access would have been described by the well known ‘radial’ flow (sink, source) solution.

These two features are evident in all the volume-to-point and area-to-point flows found in animate and inanimate systems in nature. There are most visible at the end of the pencil-and-paper analysis by which the time of travel between an area and one point is minimized (Bejan, 1996a). Tree networks of alleys, streets of avenues emerge naturally. They are the result of the same principle (time minimization) that in the past allowed us to rationalize the natural occurrence of straight rays of light, the equality of the incident and reflection angles (Heron of Alexandria), and the existence of an optimal angle of refraction (Fermat).

The discovery that tree networks are deterministic is very important to the design of telecommunication networks and computer architecture. In mathematics, the problem of connecting with the shortest line several points spread over a finite area is known as Steiner’s problem (Courant and Robbins, 1941). According to Bern and Graham’s review (1989), ‘the solution to this problem has eluded the fastest computers and the sharpest mathematical minds,’ and its solutions ‘defy analysis.’ Solutions have been found for connecting a moderate number of points in a plane. Worth noting is that as computers become more powerful this number will increase, but it will never be infinite to cover completely the given area. Furthermore, the opaque optimization performed by the computer will never be theory. The alternative to Steiner’s problem (Bejan, 1996a & 1997a) was the proposal to optimize access (ie, to minimize flow resistance, travel time, etc), when the flow has at least two regimes, one slow, and the others considerably faster.

The geometric structure formed by slow and fast flow regimes is a feature that unites all the volumeto-point access phenomena found in nature. In this paper, we discussed the flow of oxygen through a mammal: the slow flow is volumetric mass diffusion through the tissues, while the faster regime is mass convection through blood vessels and bronchial passages. The same is true in every turbulent flow, which is another form of natural organization: volumetric diffusion in the smallest volume elements is accompanied by faster and thinner currents known as eddies. Artificial constructs such as the internal architecture of computers require the same cooperation between slow and fast heat transfer, with the slow mode (volumetric thermal diffusion) placed at the smallest scale. The examples go on. This cooperation is most obvious in living groups, from bacterial colonies to the most advanced societies: every member has a place in the structure, in such a way that every member benefits. The urge to organize is an expression of selfish behavior.

In the fluid flow system analyzed in this paper we neglected some of the internal details (degrees of freedom) of the structure, eg, the angles between the collecting ducts and their tributaries. In a follow up study (Ledezma et al, 1997) of the heat flow problem (Bejan, 1997a), we demonstrated numerically that the finer details of the tree network play a negligible role indeed. The shapes of each of the building blocks are the important geometric optima that determine the optimal numbers of streams that merge into larger streams at each level of assembly. This is an important conclusion because it sheds light on the origin of the coexistence of order and disorder in natural structures. If the optimal access from a finite-size volume to one point is what counts, then the finer details of the path are not important: they may vary according to unknown, incidental factors that can be labeled ‘chance.’

The main point is that the larger picture, the optimal overall performance, structure, and working mechanisms, can be described in a purely deterministic fashion; that is, if the access-optimization principle is recognized as a law. This law can be stated as follows: For a finite-size system to persist in time (to live), it must evolve in such a way that it provides easier access (less resistance) to the imposed currents that flow through it (Bejan, 1997a). This statement has two parts. First, it recognizes the natural tendency of imposed global currents to construct paths (shapes, structures) for better access through constrained open systems. The second part accounts for the evolution of the structure, which occurs in an identifiable direction that can be aligned with time itself. Small size and shapeless flow (disorganization, diffusion) are followed in time by larger sizes and organized flows (organization, streams). Complexity continues to increase in time.

The importance of this theoretical development stretches beyond engineering, physics and biology. Consider the economic activity that covers a given
area. The economic activity is the optimization principle, and the structure that covers the area is its result. To see how the present constructal theory explains the origin of structure in economics and business, consider a stream of goods that proceeds from one point (producer, or factory) to every point of a finite-size territory (consumers). The flow may also proceed in the opposite direction (e.g., grain, carpets woven by individuals). The objective is to minimize the total cost associated with the given stream. The economics of scale principle tells us that the unit cost is lower when the goods move in the aggregate, i.e., when they are organized into thicker streams. The unit cost is also proportional to the distance traveled. Clearly, the unit cost plays the same role as the local thermal resistance in heat trees (Bejan, 1997a), or the inverse of the travel speed in street trees (Bejan, 1996a), or the local fluid-flow resistance in the present paper. The given territory is covered naturally by links of decreasing unit cost, starting from the highest unit cost which is allocated to the smallest area scale (the individual), and continuing with a sequence of intermediaries (distributors) who handle increasingly larger fractions of the given stream of goods.

Another by-product of the constructal theory is that it explains also why natural structures 'happen to look' like the images generated by assumed fractal algorithms that are truncated arbitrarily. An explanation for the physics principle behind the design of the algorithm had been missing. Constructal theory is about the single physics principle from which geometry (shape, structure, organization) can be deduced. Constructal theory is deterministic (predictive), in sharp contrast to fractal geometry, which is at best descriptive.

How important is the constructal theory of optimal access, i.e., this single geometric optimization principle that allows us to anticipate the tree architecture seen in so many natural systems? In contemporary physics a significant research volume is being devoted to the search for universal design principles that may explain organization in animate and inanimate systems. In this search, the tree network is recognized as the symbol of the challenge that physicists and biologists face (Kauffman, 1993, pp 13-14): 'Image a set of identical round-topped hills, each subjected to rain. Each hill will develop a particular pattern of rivulets which branch and converge to drain the hill. Thus the particular branching pattern will be unique to each hill, a consequence of particular contingencies in rock placement, wind direction, and other factors. The particular history of the evolving patterns of rivulets will be unique to each hill. But viewed from above, the statistical features of the branching patterns may be very similar. Therefore, we might hope to develop a theory of the statistical features of such branching patterns, if not of the particular pattern on one hill.'

The constructal approach described in this paper and in previous ones (Bejan, 1996a, 1997a, 1997b & 1998; Ledezma et al., 1997) is a deterministic answer to the challenge articulated so well by Kauffman. It introduces an engineering flavor in the current debate on natural organization, which until now has been carried out mainly in physics and biology. By training, engineers begin the design of a device by first understanding its purpose. The size of the device is always finite, never infinitesimal. The device must function (i.e., fulfill its purpose) subject to certain constraints. Finally, to analyze (describe) the device is not sufficient: to optimize it, to construct it, and to make it work is the ultimate objective. All these features, purpose, finite size, constraints, optimization, and construction, can be seen in the constructions reported in this paper and in previous ones (Bejan, 1996a, 1997a, 1997b & 1998, Ledezma et al., 1997). The resulting tree networks are entirely deterministic, and, consequently, they represent an alternative worthy of consideration in fields outside engineering. The progress made in this direction is reviewed in a new book (Bejan, 1998).
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