Howard / 101

total of about 50 states. Transitions occurred each season. The reward for a transition, under a given catalog mailing policy, was simply the marginal profit from the transition less the cost of the catalogs mailed. Finally, the transition probabilities were computed by special runs from the Addressograph system. In fact, it was the existence of this system that made the entire approach feasible.

The optimum policy, for both discounted present value and average reward criteria, was found by value iteration. This all took place in the days when computers still had vacuum tubes. And so the runs were fairly timeconsuming, but still economical. The optimum policy was different from the policy that had previously been used. The optimum policy was not the policy that maximized expected immediate return, but rather a policy that balanced this return with the effect on future state transitions. The net result was a predicted few percent increase in the profitability of the catalog operation, which, however, amounted to several million dollars per year.

The optimum policy was confirmed by applying it to the test index, a selected set of customers whose purchases were very carefully monitored. When the policy was later implemented on the full customer set, the results closely confirmed the model predictions.

The experience left me with the suspicion that there might be a way to go directly to the best policy without the need for value iteration. I worked on the problem for about six months under Dr. Kimball's supervision and was able to develop a policy iteration method (Howard 1960). I would like to have described the motivating problem at that time, but the proprietary nature of the work with Sears, Roebuck and Company made that impossible. Perhaps, the cause of application might now be further advanced if this work had been presented in terms of its original application rather than by means of artificial examples (Howard 1960, 1971). Of course, on a broader scale this story makes one painfully aware of how thinly our professional journals cover significant applications.

The Markov decision process and its extensions have now become principally the province of mathematicians. That is fitting because the process is a structure within which a host of interesting mathematical problems can be posed and answered. But I feel a sense of loss that this quite useful and general decision model has not seen a wider range of application.

APPENDIX

The following questions and answers were in the spirit of the discussions following the presentation:

Question 1. Concerning the sparsity of significant applications in the operations research literature, I feel that the main cause is the proprietary or classified nature of such applications and not the unwillingness of the journals to publish such work. Do you agree? Answer 1. I feel that there are many reasons why applied work is not more frequently published in journals:

- (a) Most editors are academics who can neither appreciate nor evaluate applications.
- (b) The best ideas will be proprietary, at least for some time.
- (c) There is little incentive for applied management scientists to publish their work in most firms.

Question 2. Would you speculate on why there have not been other significant applications of M.D.P.s? Is it because of the immense data requirements, or are there other reasons? Also, are you aware of any other significant applications?

Answer 2. The reasons for the lack of use of M.D.P.s are the same as the reasons for the lack of use of M.P.s. Speaking in generalities, the people who face the potential problems are not well-enough versed in the models to apply them. Conversely, the people who know the models seldom confront practical problems. I have discussed the general issue of application in a talk called "The Practicality Gap" (*Management Science*, **14** (7), March 1968, 503–507).

Finally, the only other significant application I know of M.D.P.s is concerned with metals futures and is also proprietary.

Question 3. Bellman's work on dynamic programming and iteration in policy space had already appeared in 1957. Were you aware of or motivated by any of his material?

Answer 3. I was not aware of Bellman's ideas on policy iteration; I was already writing my thesis in 1957. It is possible, however, that my adviser, Dr. Kimball, was aware of this work and that I may have been indirectly influenced.

Question 4. Are the Sears data and study still proprietary? If not, how can the results be obtained?

Answer 4. The Sears data have probably been buried in the archives of Sears and Arthur D. Little, Inc., for the last 20 years. Because I am not currently in touch with either organization, I cannot answer your question.

REFERENCES

Howard, R. 1960. *Dynamic Programming and Markov Processes*. Technology Press–Wiley, Cambridge, MA.

Howard, R. 1971. Dynamic Probabilistic Systems (two volumes). John F. Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York.

Originally published in Dynamic Programming & Its Applications. 1978. Martin L. Puterman, ed. Academic Press, Orlando, FL. Reprinted with permission.

ADDENDUM

Reflecting on this article after the passage of more than two decades, I can add a few remarks that might serve to bring it up to date. The explosion in data made available by the

102 / Howard

general digital recording of consumer behavior such as the automatic recording of point-of-sale information in retail stores and in online purchasing now permits the application of Markov decision processes to a wide variety of problems on a routine basis. A modern database can produce in instants the transition probabilities that were so laboriously derived from Addressograph plates more than 40 years ago. It is very gratifying to see how technological progress has enhanced the practicality of problem solution in this area.