1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	TOPEKA, KANSAS
3	1: 25
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )
5	
6	vs. ) Case No. ) 00-40104-01/02
7	WILLIAM L. PICKARD and ) CLYDE APPERSON, ) Defendants. )
8	Delendants. )
9	TRANSCRIPT OF VOLUME II OF THE TESTIMONY OF GORDON TODD SKINNER HAD DURING TRIAL
10	BEFORE HONORABLE RICHARD D. ROGERS
11	and a jury of 12 on
12	January 29, 2003
13	APPEARANCES:
14	For the Plaintiff: Mr. Gregory G. Hough Asst. U.S. Attorney
15	290 Federal Building 444 Quincy Street
16	Topeka, Kansas 66683
17	For the Defendant: Mr. William Rork (Pickard) Rork Law Office
18	1321 SW Topeka Blvd. Topeka, Kansas 66612
19	
20	For the Defendant: Mr. Mark Bennett (Apperson) Bennett, Hendrix & Moylan
21	5605 SW Barrington Court S Topeka, Kansas 66614
22	
23	Court Reporter: Kelli Stewart, CSR, RPR, CRR  Nora Lyon & Associates
24	1515 South Topeka Avenue Topeka, Kansas 66612
25	

1515 S.W. Topeka Blvd., Topeka, KS 66612

Phone: (785) 232-2545 FAX: (785) 232-2720

**COPY** 133

1	I N D E X.
2	Certificate 353
3	WITNESS
4	ON BEHALF OF THE GOVERNMENT: PAGE
5	GORDON TODD SKINNER Direct Examination by Mr. Hough (Cont) 134
6	E X H I B I T S
7	GOVERNMENT EX. NO.: OFFERED ADMITTED
8	800 134 135
9	801 134 135
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 (THEREUPON, the proceedings preceding 2 have not been ordered transcribed). 3 THE COURT: All right. The witness may retake the stand and we'll continue the 4 5 examination. 6 7 GORDON TODD SKINNER, 8 called as a witness on behalf of the 9 Government, having been previously sworn, and 10 testified as follows: 11 12 MR. HOUGH: Judge, yesterday the 13 witness referenced two exhibits, 800 and 801, which we would offer at this time. 14 15 MR. RORK: May I see it? Judge, I 16 have no objection to 801. I would ask that you 17 reserve ruling on 800 until you take up other 18 matters, because I-- it was just filed 19 yesterday. MR. BENNETT: Our position would be 20 21 the same, Your Honor. We don't have any 22 objection to the one. We would ask that you reserve the ruling on the other one. 23 24 MR. HOUGH: Judge, if you would like 25 to look at Exhibit 800, it's the order you

1	signed.
2	MR. RORK: Well, Judge, we understand
3	what it is, but we want an opportunity to
4	examine it and then ask you about it, because
5	the Government didn't give it to us until after
6	lunch and they had it in the morning.
7	MR. HOUGH: Judge, they've had it at
8	least 24 hours.
9	MR. RORK: Judge, it's been in their
10	box over there in that cart in their custody.
11	THE COURT: Well, do you need it
12	for in evidence right now?
13	MR. HOUGH: Well, no more so than for
14	a housekeeping matter so I don't forget about
15	it later, Judge.
16	THE COURT: All right. Well, I will
17	admit 800. And 801 is the one you want to look
18	at; is that right?
19	MR. RORK: 800 is the one we need to
20	look at, Judge. 801 we have no objection to.
21	THE COURT: All right. I will admit
22	801 and we'll take the other under advisement
23	and and we will look at it later and see.
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION
25	BY MR. HOUGH:

(Continued) 1 2 Q. Mr. Skinner, yesterday you talked about kilo 3 quantities of LSD coming out of the lab and 4 going to the Denver area where it was then picked up by Petaluma Al. I would like to 5 start there. 6 7 By Petaluma Al's couriers. Α. Okay. 8 Q. MR. RORK: Well, Your Honor, I would 9 10 like to-- if the witness identify when he's talking about the lab, now that he's identified 11 12 what he's indicated was different locations, to 13 make a foundation as to which one he's talking about. 14 15 MR. HOUGH: That would be appropriate 16 cross-examination, Judge. THE COURT: Yes, overruled. 17 ahead. 18 (BY MR. HOUGH) So with that point of 19 Q. 20 reference, can you tell us, is a kilogram of 21 LSD, is that a lot of LSD? 22 Α. Yes. MR. BENNETT: Well, I object to the 23 24 "A lot of LSD," Judge, I don't know what form.

25

that means.

THE COURT: Well, he will tell us.

Overruled, go ahead.

- Q. (BY MR. HOUGH) And what is a dosage unit of LSD?
- A. It varies between 50 micrograms and 100 micrograms.
- Q. Can you move the-- so you don't have to lean forward, move that microphone there where you're comfortable with it so you can sit back and relax. So it varies between how much? I'm sorry.
- A. 50 micrograms and 100 micrograms.
  - Q. And how is it administered, based upon your knowledge?
  - A. It goes to often liquid vials and it used to go to blotter paper. And there's probably still people that put it in the form of blotter paper.

To go back and answer the question you asked me before, one kilogram of LSD at a 100-microgram dose would be approximately 10 million doses. And I could go through the explanation of how I got to that.

Q. Tell us.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Okay. A gram would be-- first of all, LSD is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Phone:

(785) 232-2545

effective at 100 micrograms, and a gram has one million micrograms. And so you would go one million micrograms times 1,000 grams that represents a kilogram, which is a billion, then you divide that by 100 micrograms, take two zeros off of the billion, and that's 10 million But I can give you a physical doses. description. This is a bottle of aspirin, I brought this not for this example but because I'm taking it because -- because of a slight This weighs approximately a gram. cold. if it was ground up and everything, this would be about 10,000 doses of LSD in the pure crystalline form. And what would then a dosage unit sell for?

- At the wholesale level to the largest customers Α. in the world, approximately 29.75 cents per dosage.
- Ο. And what would it sell for then on the street at the retail level, if you know?
- Well, I-- I've heard as-- figures as high as Α. ten--

MR. BENNETT: Well, now, Judge, I'm going to object to what he's heard. knows, he can testify to it, but otherwise,

FAX:

(785) 232-2720

1		it's hearsay.
2		MR. HOUGH: Judge, if it's
3		information he learned during the course of
4		conspiracy, it's admissible.
5		MR. RORK: Well, he hasn't identified
6		that was when he learned it.
7		THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead and
8		give your testimony.
9	Α.	A figure as high as \$10 per dose.
10	Q.	(BY MR. HOUGH) So when a kilogram was
11		manufactured at this lab and it was then
12		given
13	Α.	Fronted out to Petaluma Al.
14	Q.	Fronted out to Petaluma Al, what was the
15		understanding of what that was worth and what
16	Α.	\$2,975,000 approximately.
17	Q.	Okay. And how would that money get back to
18		you, Mr. Apperson, Mr. Pickard?
19	A.	Oh, it would come in many forms.
20		MR. RORK: Well, Judge, I object.
21		That assumes a fact not in foundation. He
22		hasn't established there's been any money or
23		that it's come back.
24		THE COURT: That's what we're trying
25		to find out. Overruled.

1 When it was fronted out and then the money was Α. 2 repaid on the front, the -- where we loaned it 3 out until we were repaid, money would come back 4 in the form of 20s, 50s, \$100 U.S. bills, 1,000 5 Guilder bills and 1,000 Canadian dollar bills. 6 As the flow began to increase, we got to where 7 we refused to take 20s and then we got to where 8 we refused to take 50s. And we had very strict rules because of counterfeiting that was 10 flowing in that we wouldn't take unbanded 11 bills, because it was just too much work for us 12 to work through to make sure we didn't pass off counterfeit bills, which did happen and we had 13 encounters with the Secret Service as a result 14 15 of this. So we became very careful in the form 16 of the money we took and we enjoyed concentrated currency, referring to 1,000 17 Guilder notes and particularly 1,000 Canadian 18 19 dollar notes. You indicated you enjoyed them? 20 Q. 21 Yes, because they were concentrated currency, Α. 22 meaning we didn't have to carry around 23 suitcases full of money and -- for example, 20s 24 would just be unbearable. I mean, you know, to

25

deal with.

We-- we absolutely got to where we

refused 20s. 1 2 You indicated that --And by the way, \$10 bills never-- we don't even 3 Α. know where they went. 4 5 What do you mean? Q. 6 They never even-- no one even approached to try Α. 7 to give us \$10 bills. You told us how the LSD went to Petaluma Al 8 Ο. through couriers. You indicated that was 9 fronted. Can you describe for us what it means 10 11 to front that? It was given to him on credit because the 12 Α. amount was so enormous, and then he would have 13 a certain amount of time to pay that back. 14 15 And how was the pay-back made? Q. 16 In increments as money flowed in to him and as Α. his smurfs and all of the network underneath 17 there had to concentrate their currency, and 18 19 concentrating their currency means converting 20 1s, 5s and 10s to 20s, 50s, 100s. 21 The story of the Guilder is a different 22 situation, and I am not quite for sure of the 23 Canadian 1,000-- Canadian dollar notes, I can't explain how, that's always been a mystery to 24

25

me.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And how did the money get from Petaluma Al back to you, Mr. Apperson, Mr. Pickard, to anyone else?
- Well, usually we would -- okay, I know that we--Α. Leonard and myself, Leonard by himself, occasionally employees of ours would go to pick it up and there were usually two pickup spots. One was the Buckhouse Road Inn, I believe is right on the other side of the San Francisco Golden Gate Bridge. The second place was in Lyon's Restaurant in Petaluma. The reason we made a change was I became suspicious of the fact we went back to the same pickup space for the money. And also, the traffic on 101 was getting to be too dense and it was too much of a problem for Petaluma Al to drive down. we were waiting around hours for the money or something like this. And it would -- it would be in boxes, paper sacks, just the most low key kind of item that it could be in so that it would draw the least amount of suspicion.
- Q. And then how did the money get distributed after that drop to the-- the other people involved?
- A. Depends on what the pressures were on that day.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

For example, there's times that we would pick up \$1.2 million and by the end of the day, believe it or not, we would be virtually broke. \$50,000 would be all that was left over. we would -- we would try to pay off anyone that was owed money immediately, and these would be people like the ET man, "C", and then where we were -- or where Leonard was trying to get money off-shore through Stefan Wathne, and we would try and make these drop-offs of money. And if there was a surplus of money, then it would go to storage rental units. The surplus of money would also go to -- maybe if there was a tremendous surplus, he would say, Here, this is 100,000 to go to this credit account for you and such and such. And then, of course, we just had runaround money that we always drew on. And where was that kept? Q.

- A. The runaround money? Oh, usually in the back trunk of one of the vehicles-- all of our vehicles and houses, hotel rooms. And we had a few problems with money being found in hotel rooms and such like that.
- Q. What you've just described, did that occur and

go on during the entire time the lab was up and operable in Santa Fe?

- A. I believe so, yes, is the answer to that. Yes.
- Q. And can you tell us what you saw and were told about how this lab was set up, who set it up, who did the cook, who was present, how it worked, the day-to-day how it worked in Santa Fe.
- A. Well, in Santa Fe--

MR. RORK: Your Honor, if he does describe how, who, and what was told, I would ask he identify who was the what that told him something.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.

- Q. (BY MR. HOUGH) Go ahead.
- A. Okay. Pickard, William Pickard told me that

  "C" would only come in, in normal situations,

  just to set up and tear down or to clean up,

  because Leonard did not like cleaning up the

  trash or just cleaning the lab up. Clyde took

  on more and more responsibilities of physically

  cleaning the lab up to keep it to where it

  flowed for Leonard so he had less and less

  physical work to do other than production.

Later, Clyde would tell me what his

functions were, which basically coincided, although he said he was doing more clean-up and more just, you know, washing the laundry, throwing the clothes away, anything he could to keep this-- I mean, throwing trivial trash away, to getting rid of rodents or whatever that was necessary. But the actual-- and the-- in the early part-- or the normal part of the Santa Fe operation, mainly Leonard did-- was the only one there.

O. And how often --

- A. When that tear-down occurred, it went to both of them working literally 24 hours a day, not for the tear-down but to get that production out to handle the stall until we got the next facility-- "we" being Leonard, Clyde and myself got the facility in Kansas running. They wanted to anticipate that. And so they wanted to build up a surplus of LSD to feed into the market while that was being dealt with.
- Q. And how much LSD was made in the lab there how often?
- A. I cannot answer that.
  - Q. Why not?
- 25 A. I wasn't there to-- I can only-- I can only

give just rough estimates.

Q. Based upon what?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Based upon cash flow coming in from Petaluma Α. Al. Had there been other people that were being sold to, which I don't know, there could have been greater volume than was told to me. And I just don't have a good grip, because Leonard was giving tremendous disinformation to Alfred because Alfred was wanting to drain as much money off of Leonard as possible. Disinformation meaning much lower production than what was really going on. He didn't want even "C" to know what kind of production because he was spending so much money on things that would upset Clyde while Clyde was waiting for money to be paid. So I assume that he could have been also misleading me, but I think that my estimate -- my estimation --

MR. BENNETT: Well, now, Judge, I'm going to object to the estimate, it's speculation. He said he doesn't know.

MR. HOUGH: Judge, he said that he can tell us based upon the money coming and going. And we're laying the foundation for him to do just that.

1 THE COURT: Yes, overruled. 2 ahead. 3 (BY MR. HOUGH) Continue, please. Ο. 4 So just from what cash flow I could see coming Α. 5 in and adding up from what Alfred said --6 MR. RORK: And, Judge, I would ask 7 that he identify what the amount of cash flow 8 was. Just saying cash flow means nothing. 9 MR. HOUGH: Judge, the objection is 10 premature. 11 THE COURT: Yes. Overruled, go 12 ahead. 13 Α. Okay. The cash flow coming in specifically 14 being-- like we would pick up \$1.2 million and two weeks later \$1.4 million and a week later 15 16 \$300,000. And so we would add-- I would add this up in my head and we would keep kind of 17 18 running ledgers. So when you ask about Santa 19 Fe, it's easier for me in the last year of the 20 conspiracy of the Santa Fe operation for me to give you only what went through Petaluma Al. 21 Do that. 22 0. Give you an idea? 23 Α. Ο. Yes. 24 25 A minimum of \$30 million. Α.

- Q. And of that \$30 million, how much did you get?
- A. That's-- I would have to do-- it would be easier for me to tell you the whole conspiracy than--
- Q. Describe it.
  - A. Okay. Do you mean the whole conspiracy?
- Q. Yes.

A. Well, I-- I got-- about \$1.2 million of that was easy to identify as an established amount that went to me. And then there was fractional amounts that came in that went right back out to Leonard's bills. That would be in the current Leonard expense bill account. And then there would be fractional amounts where we just owed a bill or something and, you know, I would pay it and he would throw me a \$10,000 bundle or a \$5,000 bundle.

By the way, to describe in the bundles of money, we had very, very strict rules on how money was to be bundled. If it had one band around it, it stood for \$10,000. If it had two bands around it, that was a \$5,000 bundle.

This was a universal standard. And if this was not adhered to, there was tremendous problems because it created accounting problems, because

1 when we would be paid bills, we would be always 2 stuck in traffic and we would be stopping and 3 we would just -- he would -- Leonard would go 4 into let's say Wathne's and just whip out a 5 bunch of 10,000 or \$5,000 bundles, and then we 6 would be in a hurry to make it over to the ET 7 man's hotel, which would be the Westin at the 8 airport, and it would be whipped out there. 9 And if that got messed up, it created 10 incredible accounting errors. 11 Ο. (BY MR. HOUGH) And was it scheduled so that 12 those events, such as payments you've just 13 described, occurred on the same day or the same 14 close-in-time period? 15 MR. BENNETT: Judge, I'm going to 16 object. It's leading and suggestive. This witness can testify without Mr. Hough 17 testifying and then asking him, "Is that the 18 19 way it happened?" THE COURT: Well, try to make your 20 21 questions less leading. 22 I can go --Α. (BY MR. HOUGH) Do you recall the question? 23 Q. Yes, I can handle it. 24 Α.

Can you describe it?

25

Q.

1	A.	Yes. The problem was that nothing worked
2		according to schedule or plan. So in, in
3		essence, it was all trying to be organized that
4		way, but in reality, you know, Wathne would
5		come in and he would be there for just hours to
6		just a night, and that would be the pressure.
7		And we would be talking, you know, on the phone
8		trying to talk to the Compton Inn in San
9		Francisco and making sure he was there. He was
10		the pressure person, because the ET guy would
11		sit for however long it took to get his money,
12		he just didn't care. He would get very uptight
13		if it went one or two weeks. But the pressure
14		point was usually Wathne was Wathne. And
15		Wathne was continually complaining about the
16		fact that he wanted
17		MR. RORK: Your Honor, excuse me. I
18		object to anything he's talking about this
19		individual. Is he going to be here subject to
20		cross examination and asked questions?
21		MR. HOUGH: The money launderer in
22		the conspiracy, Judge, would be a
23		co-conspirator statement.
24		MR. RORK: Well, Judge, he might be
25		the President of the United States, but he's

1 not named in the Indictment, he's not named as 2 a Government witness. And if he's not going to 3 be here to testify, we can't cross examine him 4 in front of the jury. 5 MR. BENNETT: Additionally, Your 6 Honor, these Defendants aren't charged with 7 conspiracy to launder money. It's not part of the conspiracy that's alleged. 8 9 MR. HOUGH: Judge, part of any large 10 drug trafficking conspiracy includes laundering money in the manner in which the witness has 11 previously described to the jury. These are 12 13 appropriate co-conspirator statements. 14 THE COURT: I will overrule and you 15 may proceed. 16 (BY MR. HOUGH) Continue. 0. 17 All right. Can I ask specifically where we're Α. 18 going on this question? Let's do this; you-- you've described kind of 19 Q. 20 how the money went and the distribution of the -- the funds then. After bills were paid, 21 22 how much went to Mr. Pickard, how much went to 23 Mr. Apperson after each delivery of income? Well--24 Α. 25 MR. RORK: Judge, I would ask that he

1 identify the deliveries, when was this, was it 2 in 1930, was it 1998? Those are the things 3 that we need to have him testify to. 4 THE COURT: Try to tie it down more. 5 Ο. (BY MR. HOUGH) Did you understand the 6 question? 7 Α. Yes, I understood the question. 8 Q. Was it a percentage cut or was--9 Α. No. 10 -- it otherwise determined? Q. 11 Α. If there was an absolute amount of money left, 12 we would go to a storage unit and drop it off in there and just leave it. And we would draw 13 14 from that money as necessary. How was that determined? 15 0. 16 Α. Just if there was a serious bill or, you know, we had to be careful about what we did with 17 money as far as not just going reckless 18 19 spending money, because that was a common 20 problem. 21 As far as income for day-to-day expenditures, 0. 22 how was it determined who got what? Basically Clyde did not have much to do with 23 Α. 24 that. Pickard would take whatever was

necessary for whatever the hotel bills were,

1 and these were expensive hotel bills, they 2 would start off around \$500 a night. And often 3 we would have two or three hotel rooms, and two of them would not be occupied and one would be 4 5 occupied. 6 Q. Why? 7 Α. To kind of play a shell game. And maybe 8

- A. To kind of play a shell game. And maybe clothes and briefcases were in one and one was where someone was staying so that they could be visited, and another place could have been just something where a laptop computer and work was being done at.
- Q. During the period of time you were in this conspiracy with Mr. Pickard, did you know him to actually own a residence anywhere?
- A. Not that I know about.
- Q. And to your knowledge, then, where would he stay, where was home?
- A. Well--

MR. RORK: Well, Your Honor, I object. The fact that somebody didn't own a house is irrelevant to these proceedings. I mean, I object to the nature and the form of the question and its relevance.

THE COURT: Overruled.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 1 Α. Basically he lived in hotel's and floated from hotel to hotel. After Natasha-- he became 2 3 heavily involved with Natasha, he would stay at her apartment, of which he had a great deal of 4 5 difficulty because it wasn't small and wasn't used to the standards that he liked. 6 7 Ο. (BY MR. HOUGH) And who was paying the bills for him staying in these motel rooms? 8 Well, sometimes I would be paying for it, 9 Α. 10 sometimes he would be paying for it. But as--11 as the numbers got larger and larger, we needed to fly to where-- someone that could show that 12 they had that kind of income coming in. 13 often like if he ran a \$5,000 bill up at the 14 15 Ritz, I paid it. If a \$10,000 bill at the Mandarin was ran up, I paid it. 16 17 Why you? O. 18 Because I had more of a history of -- of being Α. able to cover this, because I had a legitimate 19 20 business behind me. 21
  - Q. Gardner Springs?

23

24

- Α. Correct, Gardner Industries a/k/a as a subset Gardner Spring, Inc.
  - Okay. Now, did you personally ever distribute 0. the LSD?

A. Never.

- Q. And during the period of time that the lab was up and operable in Santa Fe-- let's, for instance, say the last year as you described it, the cook process to manufacture the LSD, how long did that take?
- A. Approximately ten--

MR. RORK: Well, Your Honor, I would ask-- excuse me, I would ask that when he says the cook process, how long did that take, I would ask that the Government establish a foundation, what's his knowledge based on, was it personal knowledge, something that was told to him. And if so, by whom. It's lack of foundation.

MR. HOUGH: Judge, the foundation started yesterday about 1:30.

MR. RORK: Well, Judge, it may have started yesterday at 1:30, but he's asking him a question now and he's trying to infer things.

THE COURT: I will overrule the objection. You may go ahead.

- Q. (BY MR. HOUGH) Continue.
- A. Okay. I can give you both empirical data and also data from Leonard and also data from

Clyde.

- Q. Do that, please.
- A. Leonard said typically if things went right, humidity was controlled and such and such -- in Santa Fe things ran easier, and I will later explain that. There's a humidity problem here in Kansas. In Santa Fe, approximately ten days, could take 12 days. Let's say there was a failure or he had something to do and he made a mistake, it would extend it out. That-- that was from his knowledge. But Clyde usually would say somewhere between 10 and 14 days, it depended on-- it also depended on what kind of rotations they were doing, because he would be doing multiple processes to get production up and doing multiple steps in there.

Now, empirically, there would be times when I would come into Santa Fe and I would actually stay, rent a large block of rooms, and I would see him come and go during the night and I would know what length of time it took.

And there were times when the process was shortened because tremendous amounts of work was going into this, and times that it was lengthened because he just didn't have the

- energy to put it out. "He" being William
  Leonard Pickard.
  - Q. And were cooks going on 24 hours a day seven days a week during the whole period or how did it work?
  - A. The-- there had to be-- there was long gaps where the lab would sit. And he had surveillance equipment, from his mouth, I did not see the surveillance equipment installed in the Santa Fe house. Also, I did not enter the Santa Fe lab very far into it, but I did see the surveillance equipment that was later transported to Kansas, so I--
  - Q. Describe that.
    - A. The -- the -- the surveillance equipment?
- 16 | Q. Yes.

A. One was a plant that had a-- a little videotape in it. Another was a picture on the wall. And there was another like a-- a boom box or something, and I could be wrong about that.

But the two-- the plant and the picture on the wall were two definite video surveillance items. These would be triggered by motion or by some mechanism and they were very-- Leonard was very concerned about someone entering the

- lab while he was gone. Of course, that --1 2 because that meant everything was over with, 3 you know. So he wanted to know. He also was 4 very concerned about sneak and peak warrants. 5 Okay. To your knowledge, then, while the lab Q. was in Santa Fe-- and again, the period of time 6 7 we're talking about is what? 8 Α. We're talking-- well, '98, '99. 9 Q. To your knowledge, then, how many trips to meet up with Petaluma Al were made? 10 11 You're -- you're talking about cash? Α. 12 Q. Or his people. You're talking about for the LSD--13 Α. 14 LSD. Ο. 15 -- to go to his people. I can't tell you that. Α. I mean, I just can't. 16 17 Was there any type of an average; once a week, Q. 18 once a month, anything like that? I would say something on -- on the order of once 19 A. every one month one week, but I could be wrong 20
- Q. So five weeks?

know--

21

22

- 24 A. Yeah, something like that.
- Q. And your expectation every five weeks was-- how

about that. I mean, I'm giving you some-- you

much LSD went out?

A. I assume one kilogram. This is based upon a visual operation-- a visual observation of a room of where I was called in the middle of the night because a group of people had actually--accidently gotten dosed at a hotel room. It was I believe hotel room 172, the casitas of the Hilton Santa Fe, but it could have been--it was either 171, 172 or 173. These were three specific casitas, I believe it was the center casita. And I had a call to my hotel and Leonard said, "I need you over here immediately. "I -- it was in the middle of the night, I put my clothes on, I came over.

And this very elaborate box was-- had been opened up and there had been exposure. There were brown vials and there was approximately-- well, looked-- assuming each of these vials had what I was told, there would have been one kilogram of LSD in this box. This box fit in a specific part of what I believe was a red Cadillac or some sort of a classic car. There was a hiding compartment. And one of the drivers was dying of lung cancer was what I was told, he looked like a--

1		MR. BENNETT: Well, Judge, I would
2		like to know who's providing this information.
3		He says he was told this, he was told that.
4		THE COURT: Okay.
5		MR. HOUGH: Judge, he indicated
6		MR. BENNETT: We're entitled to know
7		by who.
8		MR. HOUGH: He indicated that this is
9		a conversation, Mr. Pickard being called into
10		the room. I can ask him to clarify.
11	Q.	(BY MR. HOUGH) At the room who was present?
12	A.	Selene, a girlfriend of Leonard's, Miles
13		Cleaver, Leonard Pickard. And then when I
14		entered, myself.
15	Q.	Okay. And who had told you that one of the men
16		had lung cancer?
17	Α.	This was not in that room that that occurred.
18		I the lung cancer story had been going on for
19		some time, because he was getting worse and
20		worse. And he would stay at Aroncho or it's
21		a downtown hotel in Santa Fe. And one time
22		when he came in to drive the load out, he was
23		so bad that they had to fly another person in
24		to
25	Q.	"They" who?

1 Α. Petaluma Al had to fly someone out to help make 2 sure that he could physically make it back with 3 the load, because his health was declining that fast. 4 5 Okay. Q. I actually met the man. 6 Α. 7 And the dose then -- the dose situation that Q. 8 Leonard called you to the casitas about, what's the rest of that incident? 9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Well, it-- it was not determined to me how, quote, heavily dosed they were. Leonard seemed to be quite a bit off of baseline. I could not tell Selene's situation, nor could I tell Miles Cleaver's situation, but I could tell that there had been exposure. I think that-- I think that I gave valium to everyone. And this was not--

MR. RORK: Well, Judge, I object to what he thinks. He can testify to what he did, and I object to what he thinks as speculation.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

MR. HOUGH: Judge, he's testifying to what he did in response to this situation to the best of his memory. There's nothing inappropriate about that.

1 THE COURT: Correct. Overruled. 2 (BY MR. HOUGH) Continue. Q. 3 Α. I-- I don't know if I gave the valium to all 4 three of them, I just can't remember. 5 Q. And why give valium to someone dosed by LSD? It helps bring the effect down, softens the 6 Α. 7 And Selene was terrified of LSD impact. 8 exposure and trips, because she had a very bad 9 experience as a younger -- when she was younger 10 with LSD. 11 The use of L-- or use of valium for this 12 purpose, is this something that you had 13 discussed with Mr. Pickard or Mr. Apperson 14 ever? 15 Α. Yes, we-- we had a standard protocol. Who? Which one of the two? 16 Ο. Both. 17 Α. 18 Ο. Okay. Tell us about--But the standard protocol was -- for Leonard was 19 20 depranil if it was available, valium, and then if -- for some reason, hydrocodone. And that 21 22 would keep the edge off and allow-- you had to balance it out to where you didn't get to where 23 you were too down. And the depranil was an 24 25 accident that he actually made the initial

discovery and then we found out that liquid depranil was more effective than any of the other forms. We had a specific brand of depranil that was more effective, and it did seem to block the effects. Because back when I was trying to talk about how potent this is, this stuff will move right through a latex glove.

Q. LSD will?

A. Yes, the founder-- the man who first synthesized what is called LSD-25, which is just the 25th ergot compound or molecule that was derived from ergot. It is not the name of an analog or anything, it is just-- it was my-- it was Albert Hofmann's, 25th down on the list item, hydrogene (spelled phonetically) was one. There's-- oxytocin was another. And these would all have a number at the end of them. So it's not some specific form of LSD, LSD is just one molecule there. There are other analogs.

But I'm going to go back to your question. It is so potent that it can-- that he got exposed accidentally in the lab and that is how we came-- or we almost would have never known LSD existed had he not gone back and done

a second experiment.

Q. Hofmann?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Hofmann.
  - Q. Okay. Now, the dose situation in Santa Fe that you just described, did Mr. Pickard or Mr.

    Apperson communicate to you how that occurred?
    - A. Apperson was not there.
      - Q. Okay. Did Mr. Pickard communicate to you how it occurred?
      - Α. Something like this; he had taken Selene to the laboratory, he was dosed heavily enough that he was telling me this because he knew that I would be very upset about that. He said he blindfolded her when he took her there. don't know why he took her there. But he brought the kilogram back in the black case. And when the kilogram was brought back, he was just showing it to someone, I don't know why, he was probably a little looser than his-- than a normal tight mind would be and he was maybe showing off. And when the case was opened, there was enough residual LSD on the brown vials that it went in the air and it dosed the people.
      - Q. Did you personally during the course of your

1 involvement in the conspiracy use LSD? 2 Α. Yes. Other drugs that you used? 3 Ο. 4 I would like to start with the one I don't use. Α. 5 I never used, to the best of my knowledge, any 6 form of tobacco product. I never used methamphetamine, to the best of my knowledge. 7 8 Never used cocaine, to the best of my 9 knowledge. I have not used street drugs in 10 general, I avoided most street drugs. 11 used PCP. The reason I'm doing the "nots" 12 because I've done so many unusual analogs that 13 that list gets to be long. So if we want to 14 start that, I will start it. 15 Q. Are they primarily hallucinogens? 16 MR. RORK: Judge, I would ask that he continue with his answer. He was cut off. 17 18 was going through what he didn't do and then he was going to start what he did do, and I 19 would ask that he be allowed to do it. 20 21 MR. HOUGH: The witness asked me a 22 question and I responded with, "Were they 23 hallucinogens?" THE COURT: Overruled, go ahead. 24 25 Are they primarily ο. (BY MR. HOUGH)

1 hallucinogens? 2 Α. Yes. 3 And why use so many hallucinogens? Ο. MR. RORK: Before-- that's-- before 4 5 the foundation of why use so many, I would ask that he be allowed to continue his answer and 6 identify what those are. 7 MR. HOUGH: Well, Judge, he has 8 9 indicated that there were many, many. 10 I can go ahead and start a laundry list. Α. 11 Ο. (BY MR. HOUGH) Tell us why you used so many 12 hallucinogens. MR. RORK: Well, excuse me, Judge. 13 I 14 would ask that you rule on my objection. Can 15 he tell his answer, what they all were. 16 THE COURT: I will overrule your 17 objection. Go ahead. 18 Q. (BY MR. HOUGH) Tell us why use so many 19 hallucinogens, sir. Well, first of all, my first use was around 19, 20 Α. 21 on the cusp of 20. And I had been interested 22 in what I call entheogen, I do not like the 23 word hallucinogens or psychedelics. And it is 24 now common to call them entheogens. I studied 25 them because I was interested in brain

1		chemistry, but I did not touch them.
2	Q.	(BY MR. HOUGH) Subsequently then, you got into
3		the use of
4		MR. RORK: Judge, again, he's cutting
5		him off with his answer, I would ask that he be
6		allowed to finish.
7		MR. HOUGH: Judge, that's
8		THE COURT: I thought he was through.
9		MR. HOUGH: He was.
10		MR. RORK: No, Judge, he was
11		explaining what he was using and when and then
12		he was cut off as he wanted to continue his
13		answer.
14		MR. HOUGH: Judge, I would ask that
15		counsel be admonished not to interrupt the
16		examination.
17		MR. RORK: Well, Judge, again, I ask
18		that counsel remember that this is a trial,
19		there are rules and they need to be brought to
20		your attention or they're not. If they're not,
21		then as I'm in error.
22		THE COURT: Well, we need to get
23		testimony in, please. You ask it so it will go
24		in and and you give a person a chance give
25		him a chance to put his case in. Go ahead.

- Q. (BY MR. HOUGH) So at age 19, 20, you began using hallucinogens, antigens, whatever you--
- 3 A. Entheogen.
- Q. Entheogens, whatever you want to call them.
- 5 A. Mescaline was the first one that I used.
- Q. Okay. And over what period of time have you used them?
- 8 A. Since 19 I've used them.
  - Q. Okay. When was the last time that you did?
- 10 | A. I'm not for sure.
- Q. Okay. Are you under the influence of any drugs now as you testify?
- 13 A. Yes, dextromethorphan.
- 14 | O. What is that?
- 15 A. It's in Day-Quil.
- 16 Q. So you're taking Day-Quil?
- 17 A. Also aspirin.
- 18 | Q. Okay.

- A. And unfortunately caffeine, which was-- now slipped into baking soda.
- Q. Okay. You're not under the influence of any controlled substances during your testimony
- 23 here?
- 24 A. No, no.
- Q. Were you under the influence of any controlled

substances during the period of time that you
were working with the DEA in this
investigation?

A. No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And just--
  - A. Wait, wait, wait. That's not true.

    Schedule III diazepam, which they are fully aware of.
  - Q. Okay. And when did you use that and for what purpose?
  - A. Diazepam, which is valium, I use for-- I was taking something called Diflucan and Diflucan at the levels I was taking would cause me to shake slightly, so I would take the valium to knock off that shaking.
  - Q. Were these prescribed by a doctor?
- A. Yes, uh-huh.
  - Q. Okay. Now, during the course of your use of drugs, your recreational use of drugs, give us an idea of the drugs you took and why.
  - A. The-- first, we'll go through the list, so-mescaline, not very many times. Peyote which-it's the main entheogen or active constituent
    of peyote, other than everything that makes you
    sick in there, would mescaline. Peyote is

1 quite harsh. I have done Ayahuasca, I've done 2 pharmahuascs. Those are combination things that have monoamine oxide inhibitors in them. 3 4 Well, those are Ts, aren't they? Q. 5 A. And dimethyltryptamine Ts. Yeah. 6 pharmawaska would be pure form and the 7 crystalline of both the -- (reporter 8 interruption). Crystalline of the 9 beta-carbolines and dimethyltryptamine. 10 Ο. And are those in the "T" form controlled 11 substances? 12 Α. It's a-- a federal judge has made this an 13 ambiguous thing as of August of last year. 14 I can't tell you, they should be -- under the 15 reading of the laws, they should be controlled 16 substances. But under the Religious Freedom 17 Restoration Act of 1993, a judge has -- a 18 federal judge has ruled that the UDVs 19 sacraments may have to be given back to them by Customs when a seizure occurred. 20 21 classically, they would be considered Schedule 22 I items. Okay. Other drugs that -- that you used during 23 Q. the period of time? 24

Yes, salvia divinorum, legal. And then its

25

Α.

1 active constituent, a diterpene called 2 salvinorin A, which is interesting because it's 3 a naturally-occurring compound that is almost 4 microgram as -- as potent as LSD. There are some other fractions on down that may be more 5 6 potent than LSD, short-acting. 5-methoxy and 7 dimethyltryptamine, which is the common story of people licking the toad, which is not what 8 9 you do, that is dangerous, causes foaming of But the Sonoran Desert Toad has 10 the mouth. 11 this excretion that if you excrete it onto a 12 glass slide, you can scrape off this material and you'll get the main constituent being 13 14 5-methoxy and dimethyltryptamine. 15 Going on, other sources would be phalaris arundinacea, which is called Canary Reed Grass 16 or Reed Canary Grass, which is all over the 17 place along phalaris aquatica, it grows in 18 ditches all over the world. And that yields --19 20 (reporter interruption). 21 THE COURT: Can you slow down. 22 Α. 5-methoxy-nn-dimethyltryptamine. I apologize. 23 MR. RORK: Your Honor, may he continue? 24 25 Α. Okay. In an experiment with amanita muscaria,

different variations, flotarida (spelled phonetically and such, fly ageric is another name of this mushroom, I went through an elaborate process and consumed ibotenic acid, which would have been the active constituent. I rarely did this. I was nervous about the research, because of the decarboxilation of ibotenic acid that converts to muscimol, which is an active constituent of fly ageric or amanita muscaria, which is potentially the Soma that is mentioned in the Rig Veda, which is one of the oldest known sacramental plant usages on the planet. I will continue to go.

Q. Is that -- yeah.

A. I have used 5-fluoro-alpha-methyltryptamine -6-fluoro-alpha-methyltryptamine. I've used
reserpine accidentally, that was a mistake.
Racemethorphan which I may not-- the cane was
extracted from Indian snake root. Yes, I
smoked marijuana six times, I dislike it
intensely. Kiamin (spelled phonetically), a
disassociative anesthesia, which hits PCP-1 or
if-- the nomenclature PCP-A receptor site.
Then many analogs of the tryptamine family I've
experimented with. And this could be a-- a

- long session if we went on this.
- Q. (BY MR. HOUGH) Why take those at all?
  - A. Well, early on I wanted to see what my guinea pig operations were in the-- you know, from my early high school days to age 19, I decided to go and take the step of actually experimenting. I was a little nervous with messing with the neurocomplex of the mind, so I slowly, I gathered up data and--
  - Q. How?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Oh, all of my high school friends just lined up to take anything that I had. And it was-- they were volunteering, so it wasn't a problem. It really helped me get through the things I had no interest in taking.
- Q. And your knowledge of chemical composition of things, do you have a chemistry degree? Where does this information come from, where did you--
- A. Accumulated it from reading and-- and experimentation and such, although I did get advanced-- some sort of honors in chemistry in high school.
- Q. Do you have any formal education after high school?

- A. Yes. In Germany I went to school in Heidelberg and mainly it was concentrated around finance, international finance, international banking and these kind of things.
  - Q. And--

- A. Specifically I took some classes in the math involved with foreign currency fluctuations and the math involved in how to do the Black Scholls model.
- Q. And that's the extent of your post-high school schooling; is that correct?
- A. Yeah.
  - Q. Formal education.
    - A. I also took-- I also took some classes in DIN Standard, which is a German standard of manufacturing, kind of like mill specs. And it is where the United States has taken QS-9002 standards from, would be the DIN Standard.
    - Q. During any of your conversations with either Mr. Pickard or Mr. Apperson, did you discuss your personal drug use?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. With whom?
- A. With-- with Leonard extensively and with Clyde occasionally.

- Q. What was the purpose of those discussions and what was said?
- A. Well, Leonard was interested in comparing notes of what he had done and what I had done. And he was interested in what kind of effects and what kind of things had happened in-- you know, in those-- in his interest.
- Q. Had he indicated his personal usage of some or all of those items as well?
- A. No. He indicated what he had used would be mescaline, LSD. I was supposed to bring-- the first time I was supposed to meet him, I did not show up because of a snowstorm and I was responsible for bringing phalaris arundinacea to something called-- someplace called New Buffalo Resort, or something like that in-- around Taos, New Mexico, and-- but I never made it. But he actually did end up-- they did end up doing some form of pharmahuascs or ayahuasca.

MR. RORK: Judge, I might add he said, "The first time I was supposed to meet him," I would ask that he establish a foundation for what, for when, and the purpose thereof.

1		• MR. HOUGH: Judge, the witness is
2		describing this and will continue to describe
3		it, if we can keep from interrupting him.
4		MR. RORK: Well, Judge, it's not
5		interruption. He's been all over the world and
6		he's already been interrupted, not finishing
7		his drug use. And now we're into another
8		subject, I would like to get a foundation laid.
9		THE COURT: Well, go ahead and ask
10		the questions the way you think they need to be
11		asked.
12	Q.	(BY MR. HOUGH) You indicated that this was
13		or somewhere near Taos?
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	Okay. What time frame?
16	A.	I can't tell you. I mean
17	Q.	Give us a year.
18	A.	197, 196.
19	Q.	Okay.
20	A.	Probably '96.
21	Q.	And
22	A.	And I didn't know that he was going to be
23		there, it just turned out he was there.
24	Q.	Right. During
25	Α.	Alfred Alfred Savinelli invited him.

- Q. And during your discussions with Mr. Pickard on other occasions, did he indicate personal use of controlled substance, other than what you've just described?
- A. Yes, MD--.

- Q. When and where?
  - A. Well, in the '70s and '60s he was talking about he had tried just about everything that came out of the labs that he could get his hands on and anything that Sasha had designed he had tried, if it was available to him.
  - Q. And in your conversations with Mr. Apperson, did he, too, indicate personal drug use?
  - A. It would have been years since he had done anything other than let's say valium or something to keep the effects from being in a lab. Other than like, for example, he said he thought that he had some MDMA buried somewhere and—but he had not used any psychedelics for years.
  - Q. You mentioned mescaline. How would you liken the effects of mescaline versus LSD?
  - A. Boy, you're asking some hard questions.

    Mescaline is a difficult-- in my--
  - Q. The personal effects, how would you liken the

effects?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- I'm trying -- I'll try and explain. Mescaline --Α. in order to be an effective dose for me, I have to get it to a level to where it causes a shaking in my body, because I'm what you would call rather hardheaded, I hate that phrase, but it means I have a high dose tolerance. And the -- the mescaline works in the L-Dopa channel, which is different than LSD. two completely different parts of the brain they're working on. Both of them are, quote, dirty drugs, meaning they have multiple receptor sites and they are not predictable in the cascading through the mind or through the brain and the neurocomplex of how they work. So I'm trying to answer it. So in--
- Q. Do you--
  - A. Years ago-- years ago I would have preferred mescaline over LSD. But let's say starting ten years ago or something, I-- and these are not my preference entheogens.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. But LSD is easier on my system than mescaline is at this point. I mean, it has been for years.

1 Ο. What is the effect of either drug that makes it 2 something you would want to do? 3 Α. Well, for -- I seem to have an idiosyncratic 4 response to entheogens or atypical response to entheogens, that they are -- have -- maybe that's 5 6 arrogant, so I've got to be careful. They are 7 very spiritual and very sacramental things. 8 do not use these -- I think you put 9 recreational, and I take offense to that, 10 unfortunately, because I do not use these 11 things recreationally. These are sacraments to 12 me.

MR. RORK: Your Honor, I would ask that the-- again, continued responding what the effects are for them.

MR. HOUGH: Judge, he's finished his answer.

THE COURT: All right.

- Q. (BY MR. HOUGH) The-- earlier in your testimony you talked about the brown vials.
- A. Yes.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. I will show you what's been caused to be marked Government's Exhibit 249 that's been admitted into evidence in this case and identified as brown vials that came out of the lab that was

seized in this case. I'm wondering if those
appear similar to the brown vials that you
indicated that you had seen?

A. Those are the brown vials. They have little

- A. Those are the brown vials. They have little rubber stoppers and a little aluminum clamp that goes down on them. And these are exactly the same style of brown vials that were in the black case that was in the room 172, I believe, in the Hilton in Santa Fe, the downtown Hilton in Santa Fe.
- Q. During the course of your association with Mr.

  Pickard and Mr. Apperson when LSD was

  manufactured, were these brown vials something
  that were common place?
- A. These were considered the standard. And in the LSD world, the higher-ups of all the distribution, this was the standard.
- Q. And you described at Santa Fe this security, if you will, surveillance equipment. Let me show you Government's Exhibit 23--
- A. But there was a time-- there was a change of those brown vials, so it wasn't during the whole conspiracy. We did change using those brown vials.
- Q. Tell us about that.

1	A.	I was told through a Joel Kramer
2	Q.	Who is he?
3	A.	He is a man that lives in Bolinas, California.
4	Q.	What was his involvement in this?
5		MR. RORK: Well, Judge, if Mr. Kramer
6		is going to be here and testify, this would be
7		relevant. If he's not, I object for the right
8		to confront witnesses, which is a
9		constitutional right.
10		MR. HOUGH: Judge, if we could
11		establish who he is in relationship to the
12		conspiracy, it will be very clear. That's why
13		I've asked the witness who he was.
14		MR. RORK: And I've asked if he's
15		going to be here, Judge. It may be clear who
16		he is, but if he's going (sic) to be here and
17		not subject to confrontation, that's not a
18		right.
19		MR. HOUGH: Judge, there is such a
20		thing as a co-conspirator statement Mr. Rork is
21		having a problem with in the course of this
22		case, but it is an exception to hearsay.
23		MR. RORK: Judge, I have a problem.
24		He's not indicted, he's not going to be here,
25		he's not on the Government's witness list.

1 They can name anybody a co-conspirator and get 2 anything they want. 3 THE COURT: Let's find out who he 4 was. 5 MR. BENNETT: Judge, before we do 6 that, could we approach the bench for just a 7 moment, please? 8 THE COURT: Yes, you may. (THEREUPON, the following. 9 10 proceedings were held at the bench and 11 outside of the hearing of the jury). 12 MR. BENNETT: Judge, to-- in order to avoid both of us getting up and making 13 14 objections on the -- a lot of these things, can 15 we just have a -- an agreement and understanding 16 that if one of us objects on the basis of 17 whatever, that -- that that objection is also being made by the -- the other party, the other 18 19 counsel? Otherwise, I'm going to need to get up and -- and make the same objection in every 20 21 instance, and that's going to slow things down. 22 Judge, I think that's MR. HOUGH: 23 appropriate. And I think that we would not 24 oppose the record reflecting counsel's 25 continuing objection to any co-conspirator

1 statements. And it's obvious that that is 2 what's going on here. 3 THE COURT: Yeah, yeah, I'm trying to find out. Yes. Yes, you can have that. 4 We'll-- we'll do that. We will put that on the 5 6 record. What I'm trying to find out, is this a 7 co-conspirator? Is that what--8 MR. HOUGH: That's my understanding, 9 and that's why I asked him who is he and if he-- if he's-- if the witness says that--10 11 MR. BENNETT: I would ask that he 12 be--MR. HOUGH: If he indicates he's not 13 14 a co-conspirator, then we move on. It's that 15 simple. But the record in order -- I mean, I 16 have to make a record so that if we have a 17 co-conspirator, he has to be allowed to say who 18 he was. MR. BENNETT: Well, that's the 19 20 problem, Judge, with -- with us and -- and with 21 whether or not we get up and object -- object or 22 not. Nobody has ever indicated to us since and it's not indicated in the Indictment who the 23 24 alleged co-conspirators are. And it's 25 impossible for us-- I mean, we run the risk if

we sit back there and we don't object and then it turns out that it's not a co-conspirator. I would like for-- to be provided with a list of who they are, co-conspirators, it would make things go a lot faster.

MR. HOUGH: Judge, the law has never required the Government to disclose the name of co-conspirators. We think it's inappropriate here as it is in--

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. RORK: Well, Judge, the only other thing I would like to indicate is that the continuous comments or dialogue of Mr. Hough. There's an objection that has to be made and then just to say, "Well, he knows this is co-conspirator, it's admissible," for you to then sustain the objection and-- overrule it gives added weight to his extra things in his comments. He should state the legal objection, period.

MR. HOUGH: Judge, if the record will reflect Mr. Rork's ongoing objection to co-conspirator statements, that may be appropriate in the case. But when repeated objections are made that co-conspirator

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC. 1515 S.W. Topeka Blvd., Topeka, KS 66612 Phone: (785) 232-2545 FAX: (785) 232-2720

statements as hearsay, admittedly it wears thin.

MR. RORK: Then if he just says co-conspirator, and he said, "yeah," well, what, why, where, how, what time period, it's all controlled by the Government. And we object and you're telling me to do it on the cross examination when we should be allowed to have it-- (reporter interruption). When we should be allowed to have the answer and to make an objection.

MR. BENNETT: When Mr. Hough says, well, they could have a continuing objection to co-conspirators hearsay, we don't know whether they're co-conspirators or they aren't.

There's-- there's been nothing established that Mark Klieman or Stefan Wathne or any of these individuals are co-conspirators. That-- we're-- we're getting the cart before the horse.

MR. HOUGH: Judge, if-- we would challenge the record as to Wathne. During none of the-- (reporter interruption). Judge, the record is very clear that the witness has testified that Wathne laundered money. So the

bottom line here is that co-conspirator statements are admissible. If the witness testifies that Joel Kramer was involved within the conspiracy, then that is a co-conspirator statement and the objection should be overruled. If he testifies that Joel Kramer had nothing to do with the conspiracy, then I will move on. It's that simple.

MR. RORK: Well, Judge, and again we are here for conspiracy to distribute LSD.

Money laundering is a variance and we're not prepared for that. The Government brings up that you consider the probative value versus the constitutional and fair prejudice. And I came here to defend a LSD case, I didn't come here to defend a money laundering case. And whether he did money laundering, he hasn't said when, what time period, how much, who did he get it from, when did he get it from them, which LSD lab, and this is just general statements that are coming in, Judge. It could have been anytime.

MR. BENNETT: Well, then that's a point I tried to make earlier, Judge, it is a variance. They're not-- they're now trying to

1	put in testimony of a conspiracy to engage in
2	money laundering. I'm I'm just like Mr.
3	Rork, I didn't come here prepared to defend a
4	money laundering case and
5	MR. HOUGH: Judge, that is
6	disingenuous. There's money laundering
7	involved in every drug conspiracy, and both of
8	these men know that.
9	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to
10	overrule the objections. Go ahead and try to
11	find out who this fellow is and find out if
12	he's part of a conspiracy.
13	MR. RORK: He can identify what the
14	conspiracy is, maybe if it's this conspiracy we
15	are on trial for or a different one or a third
16	one or a fourth one, what conspiracy.
17	THE COURT: This conspiracy we're
18	talking about.
19	MR. HOUGH: Thank you, Judge.
20	(THEREUPON, the bench conference
21	was concluded and the following
22	proceedings were held within the
23	hearing of the jury).
24	THE COURT: Why don't you ask this
25	question and then I think we will take a break,

1		Mr. Hough.
2		MR. HOUGH: Thank you.
3	Q.	(BY MR. HOUGH) Joel Kramer, was he involved
4		within the conspiracy in some form or fashion?
5		Yes or no?
6	A.	Yes.
7	Q.	And what form or fashion?
8	A.	Specifically when we when Leonard had
9		promised Alfred Savinelli that he would
10		synthesize dimethyltryptamine, he did not do
11		it. I had to go and buy dimethyltryptamine
12		from Joel Kramer to fulfill that. That was one
13		incident.
14	Q.	That was part of the payment to Savinelli for
15		his role?
16	A.	Correct.
17		MR. RORK: Judge, again, I object to
18		the Government's leading and suggestive
19		questions. You said go on.
20		THE COURT: Well, let's
21		MR. HOUGH: Judge, we've now
22		established the issue that the Court was
23		concerned of a moment ago.
24		THE COURT: Yes. Yes, I think that
25		MR. HOUGH: Do you want to take the

break now? 1 2 THE COURT: Yes. Ladies and 3 gentlemen, let's take a 15-minute break at this 4 time. We'll call you back when we need you. 5 Mr. Bailiff. 6 (THEREUPON, a recess was had). 7 THE COURT: You may continue, Mr. 8 Hough. 9 0. (BY MR. HOUGH) Mr. Skinner, prior to the break you were relating to us a matter of how this 10 conspiracy changed from using the brown vials 11 as shown in Exhibit 249 to another medium. 12 13 Would you describe that for us, please? Α. Joel Kramer communicated to me over the 14 15 phone that he needed to meet with me 16 immediately, that he had a serious medical problem. And I-- I thought he was being 17 serious when he said medical problem, but it 18 19 turned out that it was code that there had been 20 a-- a legal bust in California of someone very 21 close to him. So I didn't put much emphasis on 22 it other than I kept trying to ask him, "Well, tell me the symptoms." And, you know, by the 23 24 time I was finished talking to him, I was

looking up stuff on Parkinson's and it was a

total miscommunication. His ability to talk

encoded stuff was not very good.

And so when he physically met with me.

And so when he physically met with me, I was in shock, because he told me my health problem was there has been a bust of-- of a man by the name of Mel Kelm, I believe it's spelled K-E-L-M, who was a supplier of MDMA and may have been involved in the distribution of high level wholesale of LSD.

I got a business card. And it turns out that Leonard went to one of the Friday night dinner events of Sasha's, actually thrown by Sasha's stepdaughter, Wendy, used to be at Wendy's real father's house, which is a very well-known event amongst the community of entheogen people, that he also got a business card and scanned it into his computer and it was-- there was a potential rumor that one of these glass vials--

MR. BENNETT: Well, Judge, I'm going to object to a potential rumor.

MR. HOUGH: Judge, to the extent that the members of the conspiracy reacted to it, it's relevant.

MR. BENNETT: Potential rumor, Your

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC. 1515 S.W. Topeka Blvd., Topeka, KS 66612 Phone: (785) 232-2545 FAX: (785) 232-2720

1 Honor, that --2 THE COURT: Well, it's something that he had heard? 3 4 MR. HOUGH: Yes. 5 THE COURT: All right. Overruled. 6 Go ahead. 7 That a brown vial had been found or was Α. missing, but immaterial, that he had been 8 9 some -- in the lineage of a distribution system 10 and he definitely had connections to Petaluma Al through some complicated mechanism, which is 11 12 not known to me and was not known to Leonard at 13 the time, or at least he didn't tell me. 14 Immediately upon hearing this, glass brown 15 vials were ceased as the packaging mechanism, which was told to me, I -- and assume that it 16 17 was the truth, since it seemed to be. Who told you that? 18 Q. 19 Α. Leonard. Okay. And when approximately did that occur in 20 Q. 21 relationship to the operation in Santa Fe? 22 No, it was beyond Santa Fe. Joel Kramer told Α. me this in November, I believe. 23 No. know is that --24 25 0. Was it before or after the lab was moved to

1 Kansas? 2 It was in Kansas. 3 Okay. So sometime after December of '99? Ο. Maybe -- maybe March of 2000. 4 5 You mentioned the entheogen community, 6 is there a community of individuals that imbibe 7 the substances that you've described previously 8 today in your testimony? 9 Yes. Α. 10 And to your knowledge, has Mr. Pickard been Q. 11 part of that community? Yes. 12 Α. And to your knowledge, has Mr. Apperson been 13 Q. part of that community? 14 I don't know. Early-- early years' usage, I 15 Α. 16 don't know. 17 You also mentioned this community. Q. member of this community, someone coming into 18 court and testifying as you're doing, is that 19 20 commonplace? That's virtually unheard of. We-- you know, 21 22 there are strict rules that we abide by. And, 23 in fact, one of the reasons that I delayed 24 coming to the Government was because I was 25 under rules that would have been difficult for

me to have come to the Government end. I was, you know, dealing with the Indictment problem, and I could not come in with an Indictment hanging over me.

Q. Why?

- A. That would be considered rolling, and we don't roll. Rolling means we get busted, we do not cooperate. And the higher up you go in the system, the less chance of cooperation.
- Q. And how is cooperation such as you're doing viewed in that community?
- A. In certain segments this is the death penalty.
- Q. And given your -- strike that. Can you tell us your status within that community, if any?
- A. I was always considered a-- and I hate to use this word, and I think it's arrogant, so I would like to find a softer word, but an expert in the tryptamine area, an expert in the combination of beta carbolines or monoamine oxide inhibitors with inactively-- inactive oral tryptamines, i.e., dimethyltryptamine and the derivatives thereof and also the research of new molecular type designs and seeing how they worked, safety and such. And-- and it was given within the circle of-- of the better

people that scientists that were in that my 1 2 data was fairly accurate and correct. 3 Is this community limited to the United States? Q. 4 No, it's a worldwide community. Α. 5 Approximately how many members, to your Q. knowledge? 6 7 I can't tell you. Α. 8 You don't know or you can't? Ο. 9 A. No, I mean, I don't know because, I mean, you 10 know, I don't know how you define someone is in 11 that community and not. If they took one hit 12 of LSD, are they in that community or are-- you 13 know, the ones that we all know each other that 14 write the books and everything, are we talking about that community? You know, I-- I don't 15 know at what level you're talking about, so--16 Does this community have like meetings and 17 Q. 18 gatherings and get-togethers? Certain parts of it have meetings. There are--19 20 there are formal, some are informal every two 21 years traditionally. There is a large meeting 22 every year. And it floats, sometimes it's in 23 Amsterdam, sometimes it's in San Francisco,

sometimes it's in Manous, and it's been--

What was the last one?

24

25

Ο.

A. I'm sorry, in Brazil. The-- the-- and then
every year I don't know about the last year or
two, because I'm-- you know, I've been
ex-communicated out of the community, so I
can't tell you anything about what's going on.
But the-- there's a-- there was a yearly
meeting that took place around Palengue,
Mexico, sometimes it would be in Hawaii.

Q. Did you attend some of-- some or all of the
meetings you've just described?

- A. Also there's a-- a yearly event in Telluride, which is a famous thing that does still go on.

  Yes, I attended the ones that I thought were appropriate, yet I kept a very, very back seat, I did not-- I was not highly visible at these
- Q. Why?

events.

- A. Because I did not want to be known to people I didn't know that well, because I was a relatively private individual. And if I would go to one of these meetings, often I would not even attend the meetings, I would send someone else to tell me what was done, and I would just sit back and gather the information.
- Q. To your knowledge, did Mr. Apperson or Mr.

1 Pickard attend these meetings?

- A. Mr. Pickard did. I have no knowledge of Mr.
  Apperson.
  - Q. Okay. And how routinely would Mr. Pickard attend the meetings?
    - A. Infrequently. I mean, he-- but, you know, he would make-- he made the big ethnobotany and the Palace of Fine Arts and he made some Telluride mushroom ventures. I was there with him. He may have went, I don't remember, but I-- I refused to go to any of the group things that we did-- I did an anonymous contribution but refused to actually go to the events.
- 14 Q. Why?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. Didn't want to be seen.
- 16 Q. Why?
  - A. Enough Government interference that I'm-- don't want to be around that. I just want to gather information and know what's going on and see where technology and what is happening within the community.
    - Q. Was that something that you understood was of interest or importance to Mr. Pickard?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Describe that for us.

Well, he had an academic side that he was 1 Α. 2 looking at, which was a -- a very interesting 3 strategy. He wanted to attend all sides, both law enforcement worldwide and both sides of the 4 5 event, and he wanted to gather as much information. And the strategy there was -- was 6 7 to pick up a new nonscheduled drug that would 8 not fall under the Drug Analog Act, which is really tough because of isomers and because of 9 the analogs are, you know, so broadly defined 10 that everything just about fits. You know, you 11 12 have to be pretty novel to come up with something. But, you know, it does happen. 13 And he wanted to be in on the front so 14 15 that, as he put it, we could sit back, tell 16 ex-chemical companies, pharmaceutical companies to make tons of this stuff before it's ever 17 scheduled, and then dump it into the market and 18 19 see what happens. This was not primary -- this 20 is -- this is not something that I was pro or 21 negative, it was just something I listened to. 22 I was just, you know, kind of on the fence.

Q. Did you and Mr. Pickard ever do any experimentations together with certain drugs?

A. Yes, uh-huh. One-- one thing that we were

23

24

1		interested in, because Sasha, Alexander T.
2		Shulgin, had written and had really talked
3		extensively about the significance of something
4		called 5-methoxy-N,N-DIIsopropyltrytamine,
5		which we later nicknamed Frank, and I can tell
6		you how it got that name. And it's since named
7		Foxy.
8	Q.	Club drug?
9	A.	Basically. And we experimented with it at
10		different levels of dose and
11	Q.	"We" being who?
12	Α.	Well, specifically Leonard, myself, my
13		girlfriend, and there there may have been
14		another person there that took it, I'm not for
15		sure, I just can't remember.
16	Q.	Okay.
17	A.	And there was other people around, and it was
18		I said I don't know who would buy this stuff,
19		and I think Leonard concurred.
20	Q.	Where and when did this occur?
21	Α.	It occurred at the base, I would have to really
22		focus in on the time.
23	Q.	Which base?
24	Α.	The Wamego missile base.
25	Q.	Okay. You talked about your attendance at some

of these conferences and Mr. Pickard's

attendance at some of these conferences. How

was that type of travel and expenditures

funded?

- A. Through-- through the proceeds of the drug money.
- Q. Okay.

- A. Now, you know, I've been going to them for years and they just came out of my normal income, legitimate income.
- Q. During your association with Mr. Pickard, did you know him to have legitimate income or was it all the drug proceeds from this conspiracy?
- A. I mean, that's a-- that's a difficult question, because, you know, I don't know, did he actually get the paychecks from UCLA. And since that was drug money that was just floating through, is that drug money that you're getting as you're taking a paycheck? I don't know. I mean, I-- I don't know of any substantial amounts of money, anything above \$5,000 or anything that Leonard got that wasn't drug money, but I could be wrong about that.
- Q. Okay. Earlier you talked about surveillance cameras that were at Santa Fe. I show you

1 Government's Exhibits 237, which is described 2 as a photograph that was seized out of the 3 Wamego site, and 238, which has been described 4 as the back of it showing a surveillance apparatus. Is that what you were talking about 5 6 that you saw at Santa Fe? 7 Α. That's one of them, yes, uh-huh. 8 And you described a plant earlier that had some Q. 9 type of a surveillance apparatus in it. Do you recall that? 10 11 Α. Yes, uh-huh. 12 I will show you Exhibit 239 that's been Q. admitted into this case and described as such a 13 14 type of a plant. Exhibit 240 shows the interior of it with that surveillance 15 16 apparatus. Is that what you were talking 17 about? 18 Α. Yes. So those items made the move from Santa Fe to 19 Q. Kansas? 20 21 Α. Yes. You talked about there being certain things 22 Q. 23 that you knew about, certain things you didn't know for sure, certain things Mr. Apperson knew 24

about, certain things you weren't sure whether

- he knew. Within the context of this
  conspiracy, was there some attempt at
  compartmentalization?
  - A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Can you describe for the jury what that is and why it was deemed important within the context of this conspiracy?
- A. First of all, a need-to-know basis was applied.

  Which means that if it wasn't-- it wasn't

  necessary information for someone to know, they
  shouldn't know. Number two, signature threat

  analysis was done within the organization,

  meaning to look at an area that was becoming
  troublesome; a change in the laws that were
  becoming troublesome, a change in some sort of
  event. And so signature threat analysis would
  be done.
- Q. Who did that?
- A. Basically myself, Clyde and Leonard.
- A. And-- and any other people that would send in-I mean, sometimes this was done on an Internet
  website, you know.
  - Q. Would it then be communicated among the three of you?
  - A. Yes, or between any two of us, you know, we

would talk about it.

4 .

- Q. And how often were those conversations?
- A. They were frequent.
- Q. And what types of specific matters do you recall being discussed?
  - A. Phone technology, drifting from analog phones to digital phones. We talked extensively about the echelon system, which is an NSA program.

    We talked extensively-- NSA for most people,

    National Security Agency. We talked about the echelon program under that. We talked about

    FINSEN a lot.
  - Q. FINSEN is what?
    - A. Well, it's an organization that has accumulated to track and look for money launderers and drug money and illegal game proceeds throughout the world. And it looks for suspicious currency transactions and it is kind of like a cooperative of-- many Government agencies feed into it. And the Treasury has very sophisticated devices, also, that they look at and so does the comptroller of the currency. And all of these things we talked about extensively. And Leonard would actually look at this. He kept up with the Senate laws as

they were being proposed. He even made-- told

me he was in the process of making proposals to

Treasury and the Senate subcommittee and stuff.

- Q. What would the purposes of his proposals be?
- A. There was a very complicated thing he was talking about with off-shore banks that would issue credit cards to any name that was given, and he was very interested in that business.
- Q. Why?

- A. Well, one thing is he felt that it was a great way to launder money. Number two, he thought it was just genuinely a good business because it was exploding fast, people wanted to be anonymous. And the third thing is he wanted a backdoor to have a tight relationship with—this is what he was telling me, with the Treasury Department to where he would feed a certain number of people that were doing illegal things to them and get brownie points with the Treasury Department.
- Q. Why would that be important?
- A. To maybe deal with problems if he got in trouble.
- Q. What kinds of problems?
- 25 A. Oh, maybe something where we would get caught

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with a bunch of cash or money laundering and To also go on to that, there was a time where-- see, he had told me that he was a-- a confidential informant for the DEA and he had told me that he had worked with different agencies. And there was a time where I was present in an airport and he actually called a guy named Louie Perry or Perry Louie, who I guess genuinely was a Customs agent of some sort. I don't know that the call ever went through, but I was right there when it was being done, and I could hear something. was calling in a man moving through with a Pakistani passport or something that was carrying heroin in there and there was going to be a bust and he was notifying of this.

- Q. Who was notifying whom?
- A. Pickard was notifying this Customs agent named Louie, I believe, and-- and I produced documents that had that name. I was able to prove this somewhat. And-- but what was really going on was this was a setup and this was-- he was not cooperating in the normal way. He was in the process of getting someone busted that didn't either know or had been paid off that

1 they were going to get busted so someone else 2 could get a brownie point to get them released. 3 This was his typical MO. 4 Q. So it was a setup, more misinformation; is that 5 what you're saying? 6 Yes. Or I was totally BS'd except for I let Α. 7 a -- I did read documents of faxes and found 8 that this was actually --MR. BENNETT: Well, now, Judge, I'm 9 10 going to object unless we've got the documents and the faxes. That's not -- the documents and 11 12 the faxes aren't part of any conspiracy. MR. HOUGH: Judge, if he read 13 documents and faxes that form the basis for 14 15 this testimony, he can certainly testify, as he 16 has, to having observed them. Judge, and I would like 17 MR. RORK: 18 for him to identify the time he read those, 19 would have been in October of 2000 when he was 20 cooperating with the Government and not before, 21 identify the time period. 22 MR. HOUGH: Judge, those would be 23 appropriate cross examination questions, not 24 direct examination. 25 THE COURT: Well, I'm going to

	}	
1		sustain the objection in this case.
2	Q.	(BY MR. HOUGH) When did you see the documents?
3	A.	Well, I actually had privy to the red book,
4		which had his Government contact numbers of all
5		important numbers
6		MR. BENNETT: Well, Judge
7	A.	all the way through.
8		MR. BENNETT: Same
9	Q.	(BY MR. HOUGH) "He" is who?
10	A.	William.
11		MR. BENNETT: Same objection.
12	A.	William Leonard
13		MR. BENNETT: It's not (reporter
14		interruption). It's not responsive to the
15		question and it's still hearsay. And the
16		documents aren't here, nobody knows what's in
17		them.
18		MR. HOUGH: Judge, the documents may
19		or may not exist now, but the witness can
20		testify as a foundational matter what he saw to
21		form the basis of conversations with Mr.
22		Pickard and/or Mr. Apperson, which is what this
23		testimony is.
24		MR. RORK: The question was when he
25		saw them, and he started going on to some red

book.

THE COURT: Well, go ahead and try to find out more about it.

- A. Okay.
- Q. (BY MR. HOUGH) So the documents that you're-you've just described in relationship to the
  incident of where you saw Mr. Pickard make the
  phone call, that occurred when?
- A. Oh, that occurred sometime in '99 at the latest, it could have been '98. And I'm just sorry I can't tell you.
- Q. During your --
- A. But I want to make something clear, I did not see all these documents in October of 2000. I was trusted with all the documents in the year 1999 and the transfer of the base-- of the lab. Therefore, I had access to the documents far before October of 2000. And the red book, which was-- he lost frequently and let me flip through, and I would look numbers up, had Who's Who of Government agencies in it.
- Q. And what was the purpose of him having that, to your knowledge?
- A. Well, he had one thing that he liked to do. He said one thing that he liked to do is get a

phone that was connected to him that was under his name and he would call Government numbers so he could say if anything ever happened here, I've been contacting the Government all over the place. Another thing he liked to do is he liked to have a laptop that he-- would be just for them in case cookies were dropped in, that he would communicate and send e-mails so that he could say, "Look, I've been working with the Government." But to the best of my knowledge, I don't know, I'm going to leave it at that, I don't know what happened.

Was it the type of a thing that you talked

- Q. Was it the type of a thing that you talked about with Mr. Pickard doing in a humorous fashion or what?
- A. No, it was very serious. He said that on-when-- when we were in the airport, he made the
  phone call on getting this man-- trying to get
  the man busted with the heroin. He said,
  "You're the only person in the world that knows
  what's going on here."
- Q. And again, why was it important to Mr. Pickard to be doing this?
- A. He was in the process of trying to get a friend out of jail, prison on that particular

1 instance.

- Q. Okay. And generally, him doing these types of things, such as just dialing the random

  Government numbers and sending the random

  e-mails to Government agencies, his stated

  purpose was what for doing those?
- A. Well, there was more than one. One was to have this long list of things that showed that he had been calling all these people and communicating with them.
- Q. And why would that be important?
- A. In case he got busted or had to have some clout on something. Then there was a second reason called the Feds Project.
- Q. Okay. And what was that?
- A. Well, this was an idea of where he wanted to throw a conference that was funded, had to be legitimately funded, to look at the trends of drug use and new drugs and what was going to be done to handle this. And he wanted to be-- to be able to host this conference, whether it was known that he was hosting it or not, he wanted to be able to handle that conference. "He" being William Leonard Pickard.
- Q. Why?

- 1 Because he felt that it would give our group, Α. 2 himself specifically, a leading edge in new 3 drugs. 4 Why was that important? Q. 5 Α. Because it would keep us ahead of the laws and it would also allow us to have more 6 7 intelligence coming in because ultimately we 8 were always in the process of gaining 9 intelligence on the U.S. Government's drug war. 10 And ultimately, then that would be to further Q. 11 the conspiracy? Of course. 12 Α. And being on the cutting edge, did you guys 13 Q. 14 have a lot of competition in the LSD market? 15 I don't think we had much competition in any Α. 16 market, so--Now, you talked about different roles earlier 17 Q. 18 in your testimony. Was there an echelon within the conspiracy, a pecking order, if you will? 19 20 Α. Do you mean a hierarchy?
- 21 Q. Yes.

23

24

25

A. The hierarchy is difficult within the situation, because, for example, if "C" said--Clyde Apperson said, "There isn't any way we are going to use this room," it was final, that

was his decision. If Leonard said there isn't 1 2 any way we are going to do a certain thing that 3 was within that area of expertise, I mean, it was just given. If I said, "There's no way 4 5 we're going to use this form of 6 communications," that was given. If -- if we --7 if-- and then if it came down to a group vote, and these weren't like we're doing little 8 9 private ballot votes, we would talk these 10 things out and -- and see what would happen as a result of that. 11 12 Okay. And within the context of the roles and Q. responsibilities of Mr. Pickard and Mr. 13 Apperson, would Mr. Apperson, for instance, 14 15 have supervised other individuals in getting 16 things done? MR. BENNETT: Judge, I'm going to 17 It's leading, it's suggestive. 18 19 ask him what Mr. Apperson did, not ask him to 20 agree with -- with the question. 21 MR. HOUGH: Judge, I asked him if Mr. 22 Apperson had a supervisory role and then I'm 23 going to ask him to explain how that's so. 24 There's nothing inappropriate about that.

THE COURT:

Well, overruled, go

ahead.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. There was theoretically, and I was shown a picture of at least one smurf, pictures flowed into me of most of the members of the organization of which I approved.
- O. From whom?
- A. From--
- Q. Flowed in to you from where?
  - Α. Anyone involved. I mean, even if they didn't know they were involved, all camera film was seized and went to me. My picture -- the reason it was never shown was because my picture was a security level that was never released until a great deal of effort was made for a year-and-a-half. And finally, they got it and put it all over the world. But I had photos of everyone, I had the -- not everyone, but I had the largest amount of photos that were coming And a picture of a man was shown to me in. that Clyde said is a smurf for him and a worker for him, and that man's name was Bill, I believe.
  - Q. Any idea how many of those type people Mr. Apperson supervised?
  - A. He had a lady who was involved with a limousine

1 thing that would drive money and maybe drug 2 loads, and I don't know about the drug loads, I 3 only heard references that it's potentially 4 going to be used. But money---5 From whom? Q. 6 Α. From both Clyde and from Leonard. But picking 7 up money, I'm for sure of that, that this lady 8 was used to pick up money. And --9 Ο. So at least two? 10 Α. Yes. 11 And in the tear-down and build-up of the labs, Q. 12 how many people did he have at his disposal that he was --13 Well, all of my employees, as long as they were 14 Α.

- A. Well, all of my employees, as long as they were not-- you know, they didn't know what they were doing until, you know-- and maybe Mike Hobbs may have had some idea something funny was going on. But the rest of the people just didn't have any clue. So any of my people.

  And I don't know if Clyde had access to Leonard's-- one of Leonard's employees named Miles Cleaver, I can't tell you.
- Q. So that would be five or more?
- 24 A. I can't-- yes.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Q. Okay. And the Bill that you referenced-- let

1		me show you Government's Exhibit 521. Do you
2		recognize the individual shown in that
3		photograph?
4	Α.	That was told to me and
5	Q.	By whom?
6	A.	I can't remember. It was either Clyde or
7		Pickard.
8	Q.	Okay.
9	Α.	I'm sorry about that.
10	Q.	And they told you it was who?
11	A.	Bill. And they said, "Let's get ID for him."
12	Q.	Okay. So you actually got ID for this man?
13	A.	No, no, I never generated ID for this
14		particular man.
15	Q.	Why not?
16	A.	I needed a different type of photo and it never
17		came in.
18	Q.	And what was the purpose of you getting ID for
19		the man shown in the
20		MR. RORK: Judge, if he's not going
21		to put the exhibit in, I ask that he remove it
22		and not just continually display the photo.
23		MR. HOUGH: We'll offer Exhibit 521.
24		MR. RORK: And we object, Judge, the
25		foundation on it. We don't know who it is,
1		

1 when he got it, where it was. 2 MR. HOUGH: The witness has just 3 identified it, Judge, and we would challenge 4 the record on that. 5 MR. BENNETT: We object --6 THE COURT: That will be admitted. 7 Q. (BY MR. HOUGH) What was the purpose of you 8 getting identification or being asked to get 9 identification for the man by Mr. Pickard and 10 Mr. Apperson? 11 Well-- well, Clyde said the reason we need--Α. 12 what I'm saying -- who gave and showed me this, 13 but Clyde talked to me about this, said this is 14 going to be a smurfer and he's going to go 15 around and in case he gets ID'd under some sort 16 of a trap of a currency problem, because the 17 rules were really changing on us for smurfing, 18 they wanted to have another ID for him. It was not uncommon. I was given pictures to produce 19 IDs for many of the members that I didn't even 20 21 know their real names of. 22 Q. And getting IDs for members within the 23 conspiracy then was one of your roles? Yes, that's true. 24 A.

And you never knew that man beyond the name of

25

Q.

Bill?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. I may have been told a last name, I just don't remember.
  - Q. You also in your testimony referenced an address book or red book. Let me show you Government's Exhibit 211. Take a moment and look at that, if you will.
- A. May I take it out of the package?
- Q. Please.
  - A. This is the red book.
    - Q. And that has been admitted into evidence and identified as an address book seized from Mr.

      Pickard's briefcase in this investigation after his arrest. Can you look through that exhibit and tell us, if you will, entries in the red book that would be names associated with the conspiracy and identify them?
    - A. Do you mean the whole book do you want me to go through?
    - Q. If you could, please. And likewise--
- A. Okay.
  - Q. -- if you see names and phone numbers of
    Government people, consistent with your prior
    testimony, that Mr. Pickard would call to
    create a ruse, identify them as well.

MR. RORK: Judge, number one, that's a compound question. Number two, it misstates the evidence. He's talked about some guy named Peter or Louie, one person, and Mr. Hough said if you see the names of individuals you've said were in there. He's talking about one person. There were other names in there, Judge, and I don't know if they're going to be here and be subject to cross examination.

THE COURT: Well, sustained. Let's keep it to one person at a time.

- Q. (BY MR. HOUGH) All right. Describe for us, then, if you will, first off the numbers and names associated, to your knowledge, with members of the conspiracy.
- A. Alfred, which refers to Alfred Savinelli has his 800 numbers and all of his phone numbers, personal phone numbers and his business phone numbers. Yeah, here we've got Customs, Perry Louie's phone number, and this would be the man that he contacted. It talks about his supervisor number and name, and this would be the man that was called on this heroin setup situation.
- Q. And that again was a setup?

- A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Okay.

1.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. It could have been as involved as just being a scam on me, just to put a show on, I don't know. My name is in here with a phone number.

  John Halprin's name is in here.
- Q. And John Halprin--
  - A. Doctor John Halprin was involved in money laundering for Leonard. Bob Jesse was not a part of the conspiracy, but he received illegal funds in my presence and knew they were illegal funds.
  - Q. For what purpose?
  - A. To further his operation that he was-- he had some sort of an operation trying to work on legalizing some sort of entheogen.
  - Q. And who gave the money to him in your presence?
  - A. Actually, technically, one time it may have been me because I had been given a white sack with 100 and something thousand dollars in it and I was told--
  - Q. By who?
  - A. By Leonard at the Ritz Carlton, and I was told,

    "Oh, wait, we've got to pull 30,000 out for

    Bob." And I pulled 30,000. And I may have

1 handed it to Leonard, but I pulled it out of 2 the white sack. And I -- and I believe it was 3 30,000 that day. 4 MR. RORK: Judge, could I just ask if he would identify like a time period, a year. 5 6 Yeah, I -- I can try. March or April of '98, I Α. 7 think. I'm doing my best. If I had more 8 records, I could get it down to the day. 9 Jessica Guin wasn't part of the conspiracy, but 10 she was someone--11 MR. RORK: Judge, again, I would ask 12 him-- the question was a part of the conspiracy of who's in here. And now he's getting into 13 other factors that aren't involved in here or 14 15 even relevant. MR. HOUGH: Well, Judge --16 THE WITNESS: This person was 17 18 involved in the potential murder -- using her as a potential murderer of the person in Oregon or 19 Washington. 20 21 MR. RORK: And, Judge --22 MR. HOUGH: It's obviously relevant. 23 MR. RORK: Judge, is that murder in Washington or Oregon involving something that 24 25 the Government was involved in? Something that

1 somebody else was involved in? I don't know. 2 Again, we're getting outside of the scope of 3 this matter. 4 MR. HOUGH: Judge, that's consistent 5 with the witness' testimony yesterday about why 6 he came in to begin with. 7 THE COURT: Overruled. When you 8 complete this, let's take our noon break, but 9 go ahead and try to complete it. 10 MR. HOUGH: Yes, sir. 11 Α. Laura and Elliott Haas. Laura ends up being 12 married or something with Alfred, and they were somewhat involved. Taos, New Mexico. 13 Elliott, I have no knowledge was involved with anything. 14 15 I can't read their --16 Q. (BY MR. HOUGH) Are the entries in there--17 Α. Mike Bauer. And Mike Bauer would have served what role 18 Q. 19 within the --20 Multiple roles. Interesting situation. I Α. think that he was sent to check me out 21 extensively, and hitched a ride from Taos to 22 23 Tulsa, and then he ended up going to Wamego and became almost a permanent resident. But he did 24 25 research for Leonard. And early on I told

1 Mike, "Get out of this thing, this is a giant 2 LSD conspiracy and I don't want you getting 3 hurt." When did that occur? 4 Q. 5 '97, I don't know. Oh, by the way, they told A. 6 him that I was psychotic. 7 "They" being who? Q. 8 Halprin and Leonard said that I suffered from Α. 9 many psychoses and that I was totally nuts and 10 that there was no such thing as this, and all 11 the money that Leonard had came from an 12 inheritance from his father and mother. Ο. And--13 14 He believed them, believe it or not. Α. Bauer did? 15 Q. 16 Α. Yep. 17 And based upon your knowledge of Mr. Pickard, Q. your conversations with Mr. Pickard and your 18 19 association with Mr. Pickard, did you know that 20 story to be true or untrue? 21 Which story? Α. 22 Q. About the inheritance being the sole support. 23 I mean, he said he got 100,000 or Α. No. 24 something and he had gone through it years ago,

probably the first month he had it, you know,

so I don't know enough. It's not me that's 1 2 beeping, by the way. MR. HOUGH: Judge, why don't we take 3 the break for lunch now. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and 6 gentlemen, let's take our noon break. Let's 7 recess until 1:30 and we'll come back and hear further evidence at that time. Mr. Bailiff. 8 9 (THEREUPON, a recess was had; 10 WHEREUPON, the following proceedings 11 were held outside of the presence of the 12 jury). THE COURT: Mr. Bennett, you had 13 something you wanted to talk about? 14 Well, Judge, I 15 MR. BENNETT: Yes. 16 wanted to -- when you recessed for lunch, I had to go make a phone call and I went to make it 17 and I learned later that a request had been 18 19 made to -- by the Government to remove one of the exhibits from the courtroom over the noon 20 21 hour. And I didn't have -- I didn't get an 22 opportunity to object to it, and I wanted the record to show that I do object -- did object to 23 24 it or am objecting to it. And I don't think 25 that's proper or appropriate. I understand

that the Court was aware of it and-- and-- and allowed it and-- and all I'm-- then what I want to do is ask for the same opportunity, if-- if it need be, because I've understood all along that I had to look at the exhibits in the presence of the court clerk and-- and I-- I've come up early in order to do that or stayed late in order to do it. And I would ask that the-- the-- I have whatever opportunity that the Government is having to look at these outside the presence of this courtroom.

MR. HOUGH: Judge, if I may. For the record, what happened, the phone book or address book Mr. Skinner had been testifying about immediately prior to the lunch break was released to the custody of the DEA agent, who then during the lunch hour and still in his custody allowed Mr. Skinner to look at it so that we could come back now and move much quicker through it than the snail's pace that we were going immediately prior to lunch. And we certainly have no objection to counsel viewing items in the same manner, them being released out of evidence to a case agent who is responsible for the integrity of the evidence,

1 as was this case, and defense counsel and their 2 clients viewing it under the same circumstances 3 that Mr. Skinner viewed this during the lunch 4 We don't object to that. hour. 5 THE COURT: Well, the Court was 6 informed we were doing this to speed up the 7 trial. MR. HOUGH: Yes, sir. 8 9 THE COURT: And that's the reason. And in most cases, there's no problem with 10 11 things like this at all. But in this case, 12 there apparently are problems. So yes, Mr. Bennett, you'll be given every privilege that 13 14 we give the other side. And you've made it clear to me that you don't think you're getting 15 it, but if you'll just ask for it, why, I will 16 17 be happy to --MR. BENNETT: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: -- give you all the 19 20 privileges you want. 21 MR. BENNETT: Thank you. 22 MR. RORK: And, Judge, if I could 23 just state briefly so we-- while we're off of 24 the presence of the jury, if the Government 25 continues to ask about other matters and other

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

conspiracies, I would ask that we be allowed to approach the bench and under K-O-T-T-E-A-K-O-S, the Kotteakos ruling on multiple conspiracies, that we make sure the record here shows that the individual he talks about knew about it, knew about the entire scope of the proceeding, and that it wasn't just limited to some other conspiracy. Because mere presence, mere association, mere knowledge, all of those things don't mean that they then joined in this conspiracy. And Mr. Hough interrelates, "Well, this relates to the conspiracy," but you need to make a finding that the other events he's talking about, that they-- those people adopted the entire objectives of the conspiracy that we're here on. And that's my only problem in the future. I don't know if he intends to go into it more, but if he does I think we need to approach the bench and then have a fact-finding to show-- you make the finding that whatever other conspiracy he's talking about, that you're satisfied that that part has been adopted by the main objectives of this one. THE COURT: Well, Mr. Hough. MR. HOUGH: Judge, if I may. The

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fundamental rule of conspiracy, a conspirator does not have to know the entire picture. Additionally, in a large drug trafficking-well, in any drug trafficking conspiracy, money laundering, laundering the proceeds of it, particularly when it's large scale, is part and parcel to that conspiracy. And that's been the testimony here. So Mr. Skinner has done a good job under questioning of establishing an individual's role and what is essentially an unspoken conspiracy so that the record clearly indicates that what has happened so far is appropriate under the law. Mr. Rork's request is entirely overbroad and misreads the case that he has cited, which certainly doesn't stand for the proposition that any player in a conspiracy must know all of the ultimate objectives before you can testify about their role or their co-conspirators' statements. THE COURT: Yes. My instructions And so far I believe this will cover this. gentleman has testified that he -- that this was part of this conspiracy. I think you asked him That -- that will -that. MR. RORK: We'll get it on cross.

1 THE COURT: I will take the 2 admonitions that I'm getting from the attorneys in hand and look at them and watch them and --3 and I'm sure you'll continue to give me 4 5 additional ones, so--6 MR. HOUGH: Judge, if I give you any 7 admonitions, please take them with a grain of salt, I don't want to be admonishing you on 8 9 anything. THE COURT: All right. Let's bring 10 11 in the jury. 12 (THEREUPON, the following. 13 proceedings were held in the presence of the jury). 14 15 THE COURT: All right. You may all 16 be seated. And, Mr. Hough, you may continue 17 with your examination. (BY MR. HOUGH) Mr. Skinner, over the lunch 18 Q. hour in the presence of Agent Nichols, did you 19 20 look further at the address book of which you 21 were testifying prior to lunch? 22 Α. Yes. 23 And did you during that time tab items that you Q. 24 thought were relative to the line of 25 questioning that I had given you immediately

1 prior to the lunch recess? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Can you tell us and continue with those entries Q. into that address book, please? 4 Mark Klieman, he was immediately over William 5 Α. 6 Leonard Pickard at UCLA. There had been a 7 grant or some form of funding that was drug money that started in the form of a thousand 8 9 Guilder Dutch notes that were sent to someone 10 in Virginia. 11 How do you know this? Q. 12 Through Pickard and through Halprin's Α. 13 statements. And that one of the problems was 14 this money was then going to be donated back to 15 UCLA in some way, I do not know the mechanism, 16 and there was a substantial fall in the value of the Dutch Guilder during this time and --17 What time are we talking? 18 Ο. 19 '99, last six months of '99, something like Α.

- A. '99, last six months of '99, something like that. And there was a 40 percent fee for this duty. Who got the money, I don't know. And it's-- the stories are only from Pickard and from Halprin. I do know that there was a-- I saw some sort of letter--
- Q. From whom?

20

21

22

23

24

- A. From UCLA telling--
- 2 Q. To who?

12

13

- A. William Leonard Pickard that he had not filled out his pay things in completion or something
- 5 | like that.
- 6 Q. Okay.
- 7 A. And also--
- 8 | Q. Was he--
- 9 A. -- some of Mark Klieman's medical bills were
  10 paid for with money orders that were fragmental
  11 amounts with-- by Pickard.
  - Q. Your understanding based upon your discussions with Mr. Pickard, was there any legitimate funding--
- 15 A. No.
- 16 | Q. -- nondrug proceedings--
- 17 A. No.
- 18 | O. -- to the UCLA deal?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. Was there any legitimate work done by Mr.
- 21 Pickard based upon your conversations with Mr.
- Pickard as a result of this association with
- 23 UCLA?
- 24 A. By his own statements, yes, there was
- 25 legitimate work done.

- Q. Okay. And continue, then, to the next name.

  Well, strike that. Did you ever see any of-
  of the fruits that he claimed was legitimate

  work, or was it just his claim?
  - A. I actually saw the fruits, large posters done with studies on them.
- 7 O. Studies of what?
  - A. I would have to really go back to remember. It was some sort of a drug study, and I can't remember what it was.
- 11 | Q. Okay.

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

- A. But they were large posters and he did a-- and
  I think he flew down to Mexico to give a
  presentation or something.
- Q. And who funded the trip to Mexico?
- A. Leonard did, not UCLA.
- Q. Okay. And would that have been with money that
  you were aware of from the conspiracy or
  another source?
- 20 A. I can't answer that.
- 21 | Q. Okay.
- 22 A. Natasha Vorobee, that is his I assume wife.
- 23 | O. His is-- his who?
- 24 A. William Leonard Pickard.
- 25 Q. Okay.

1 Another name for her is Natasha Kruglova. Α. 2 Q. And during -- within the context of the conspiracy, how, if at all, was she involved, 3 to your knowledge? 4 5 She came to Kansas, she was the one that was Α. 6 not arrested but the money was seized from 7 and--At the Kansas City airport? 8 Q. 9 Kansas City airport. And she was around when Α. Leonard would buy casino checks off of me for 10 11 cash. And what was the purpose of doing that? 12 Q. She needed some tuition paid for. 13 Α. 14 Why buy casino checks off of you for cash? Q. 15 Electronic money instead of it being cash. Α. Why was that something --16 Q. 17 It gets underneath the cash reporting, shows a Α. 18 legitimate source of the money. 19 Q. Okay. 20 Stefan Wathne. Α. 21 And describe what you know about him within the Q. 22 context of the conspiracy between yourself, Mr. 23 Apperson and Mr. Pickard. 24 Α. I've never once met Stefan Wathne, I've never,

to the best of my knowledge, ever seen a