Ь

	FILED
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTED TO THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS
2	2003 MAR ₽ :
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Plaintiff,) RALPHL DETRACH
4	BY FPUT
5	vs.) Case No.ATTOPEKA.KS.) 00-40104-01/02 WILLIAM L. PICKARD and)
6	CLYDE APPERSON)
7	Defendant.)
8	VOLUME II
9	TRANSCRIPT OF TESTIMONY OF CARL NICHOLS
10	UPON CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BENNETT HAD DURING THE JURY TRIAL BEFORE
11	HONORABLE RICHARD D. ROGERS
12	and a jury of 12 on
13	March 4, 2003
14	APPEARANCES:
15	For the Government: Mr. Gregory G. Hough Asst. U.S. Attorney
16	290 Federal Building 444 Quincy Street
17	Topeka, Kansas 66683
18	For the Defendant: Mr. William Rork (Pickard) Rork Law Office
19	1321 SW Topeka Blvd. Topeka, Kansas 66603
20	For the Defendant: Mr. Mark Bennett
21	(Apperson) Bennett, Hendrix & Moylan 5605 SW Barrington Ct. S.
22	Topeka, Kansas 66614
23	Court Reporter: Roxana S. Montgomery, CSR Nora Lyon & Associates
24	1515 South Topeka Avenue Topeka, Kansas 66612
25	
1	

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1515 S.W. Topeka Blvd., Topeka, KS 66612 Phone: (785) 232-2545

FAX: (785) 232-2720

1 CARL NICHOLS, called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiff, 2 3 was previously sworn, and testified upon 4 cross-examination by Mr. Bennett as follows: 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION (Contd.) 6 BY MR. BENNETT: 7 Agent Nichols, yesterday I asked you some questions with regards to your experience and 8 9 use of informants. Do you recall those 10 questions? 11 Generally. Α. Do you recall that subject matter? 12 Ο. 13 I recall that we did discuss that, yes. Α. All right. Based on your experience as an 14 Q. 15 agent, Agent Nichols, you've learned that when 16 an informant is in serious trouble or thinks 17 he's about to be in trouble, they will often do 18 anything they can to get out of trouble or stay out of jail. Would you agree? 19 20 They often do, yes. Α. Okay, and you would agree, would you not, that 21 Q. 22 informants are often cunning, devious, treacherous, and they lie? 23 24 Yeah, I would agree so. Α. 25 Okay, and you know from experience, do you not, Q.

1 that informants will often blame innocent 2 individuals in order to divert attention from 3 themselves or their own illegal activity or to 4 avoid prosecution? 5 Α. That's not been my experience with informants, 6 no. 7 You've never experienced that? Q.

A. I won't say I've never experienced that, but that has not been my general experience with

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. But you have experienced that, have you not?

informants.

- A. I can't recall any specific incident where I have personally experienced that. Basically, as information comes to me, I attempt to corroborate it, and I can't recall any incident where somebody has accused another person of doing something and it has been unfounded.
- Q. Excuse me. You're talking about your own personal experience, or are you talking about generally, that's never happened, to your knowledge?
- A. I'm talking my own person experience.
- Q. You know that it has happened with DEA informants in the past, do you not?
- A. I don't know whether I know it. I believe I've

heard. 1 2 Okay, that's good enough. If an informant lies 3 to you during an investigation, that's damaging to the investigation, is it not? 4 It could be. 5 Α. And as an agent, you don't like to be put in 6 Q. 7 the position of having to tell a jury or have a jury find out from some other source that an 8 9 informant who receives something in return for 10 information was not truthful with you, do you? I don't like telling a jury that, no, but if I 11 Α. 12 have to, I certainly will. 13 I understand, and you have done that in this Q. 14 case, haven't you? I believe I have, and I believe I will continue 15 Α. 16 to. 17 Right, but you don't like to have to do that, Q. because it is, in your opinion, damaging to the 18 19 case, is it not? 20 It certainly can be. Α. And that's because once an informant has lied 21 Q. 22 to you about something, and you know that he's

lied to you about something, you have questions

about everything else that he's told you, don't

23

24

25

you?

- A. Not necessarily. What it says to me is there may be some questions about what he has said to me, but what it says to me is I have to go and do additional work and further corroborate or eliminate that statement-- I'm sorry, I can't think of a word-- but discredit that statement--
- Q. Okay.

- A. -- the informant has said to me. So I have to do some additional work to verify or discredit.
- Q. Okay, and sometimes that additional work results in you verifying that he lied to you, doesn't it?
- A. Sometimes it does.
- Q. Okay, and that happened in this case, didn't it? You went out and did some more work with regards to these additional 26 cans of ET, and you had to do some more interrogation and do some further investigation, and when you got that all done, it confirmed that he lied to you, didn't it?
- A. I'm trying to remember the timing of things.

 Once we had received the 26 cans, and the further, the subsequent investigation of those, then, the 40 cans we had, I confirmed that

there was but one delivery of those cans. I did talk with Michael Hobbs, who corroborated Mr. Skinner's story, the story he had given to us after he delivered the second set of cans, the second cans, the last two cans. So he had, certainly, he had lied to me about his possession of the 26 cans. By the time he had given the 26 cans to me, I believe we had the story of what had happened.

- Q. Well, at the time that he gave you the last two cans-- he gave you 24 and then he gave you two, right?
- A. That's correct.

- Q. And at the time he gave you the last two, you continued to interrogate him at that time about whether or not he had now turned over everything to you, didn't you?
- A. I believe we did, yes.
- Q. All right, and as the result of that interrogation, or at the conclusion of that interrogation, you still were of the opinion that he had not provided you with all of the cans that he had, were you not?
- A. I don't recall my thoughts at that time. What I recall is we had 40 cans seized either

NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC.
1515 S.W. Topeka Blvd., Topeka, KS 66612

Phone: (785) 232-2545 FAX: (785) 232-2720

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

October 31st, November 18th, that time period, and the 26 cans Mr. Skinner brought to us. had four boxes, wooden boxes we found in the laboratory during the seizure. Those boxes contained at some point, it was my belief, ten cans, Pringles cans. Given the four boxes, I believe there were probably 40 cans. That's what Mr. Skinner had told us, there were four boxes. There certainly were questions in my Did he have additional precursor? he hiding stuff? And I certainly questioned him about that. Where, if there was anything, where was it hidden? I was sick and tired of this crap, and I didn't want to hear any more of it. So, yes, I asked him about this. Yes, I reprimanded him about this, but the subsequent investigation I did revealed to me that there was one delivery of cans that matched these cans.

Q. But you were still of the opinion after you conducted that interrogation on the 22nd of January, when he turned the two cans over to you, you were still of the opinion at that time, based on your interrogation, that he was holding out on you, weren't you?

- A. He didn't turn over two cans to me on January 22nd.
- Q. All right, maybe I got the wrong date, but at the time, whatever the date was that you received the two cans from him and continued to interrogate him, at that time you were still of the opinion, were you not, that he'd held something-- he was holding out on you.
- A. I was of the opinion that he could possibly-he could be holding something out from us.
- Q. All right.
- 12 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Now, Agent Nichols, yesterday I asked you about Exhibit 801, which was the confidential source agreement. Do you recall that?
 - A. I do recall you asking me that.
 - Q. Were there any other written agreements between the government and Mr. Skinner, other than Exhibit 801?
 - A. Well, there was his immunity agreement with the Department of Justice.
 - Q. And who would have been the parties to that, if you know?
 - A. The Chief of the Criminal Division at Main Justice, John Roth. He was the attorney who

authorized the immunity of Mr. Skinner. Tom

Haney, who was Mr. Skinner's attorney at the

time. Mr. Skinner was party to that, and I

believe those are the three people who were

primarily party to it. There were other people

who knew about it.

- Q. All right. Would that agreement have been entered into before the confidential source agreement or subsequent to the execution of the confidential source agreement?
- A. Well, I think the timing was this. Mr. Skinner came out to Sacramento, and he was to be interviewed by agents, by me and another agent.
- Q. That was on October 17th and 18th?
- A. On October 17th and 18th, and based on that interview, we were to relay back to Mr. Roth our findings and our beliefs on that, and at that point, Mr. Roth would, my understanding, make a decision whether Mr. Skinner should be granted immunity, and based on our phone calls back to Mr. Roth, Mr. Skinner was granted immunity. The paperwork may not have been signed immediately that day. From what I recall, there was a document Mr. Skinner may have signed, and then that was sent back over

to Mr. Haney, and he finalized it, but Mr. Skinner would not tell us specific details of the operation until he received his immunity agreement, and so that was at some point during the conversation midday of October 18th, and then when we talked with Mr. Roth and told him that we believed— at this point, we believed that he did have some verifiable, actionable, credible information, Mr. Roth gave a verbal approval for immunity.

- Q. But which came first, though, the written CS agreement or the written agreement signed by Mr. Roth, if you know?
- A. Well, I think-- I believe the CS agreement was signed on the 18th of October, and then the immunity agreement was signed maybe the 19th or may have been a later date.
- Q. Now, other than those two, were there any other written agreements entered into between Mr. Skinner and the government with regards to his serving in the confidential source or informant capacity?
- A. Anything that Mr. Skinner signed?
- Q. Yeah, or that Mr. Haney signed on behalf of Mr. Skinner.

- A. You know, I don't recall anything. I mean, there could be, but I really don't recall anything.
 - Q. You don't recall, that's fine. On October 17th and 18th, at the meeting in Sacramento, who all was present during that debriefing? I know you were and Mr. Skinner was.
- 8 A. Right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

12

13

- Q. Who else?
- A. Nancy Carter, who was an intelligence analyst.
- 11 Q. All right. Where does she office presently?
 - A. I believe she is in the Miami Field Division at the current time.
- 14 Q. All right, and who else, if anyone?
 - A. John, Jack Zajac, who was a special agent.
- 16 O. And where is he located now?
- 17 A. At the time, he was assigned to DEA

 18 headquarters in the dangerous drugs desk. He

 19 is now retired.
- Q. Who else, anyone?
- 21 A. Robert Dey, D-E-Y.
- 22 Q. And who is Mr. Dey?
- A. He was the ASAC, Assistant Special Agent in
 Charge of the Sacramento district office. He
 is now retired.

- Q. Anyone else?
- A. No, that's it.

- Q. Okay. Who was, if there was one person in charge of the debriefing or the interview, who would that have been? Would that have been you
- A. Well, initially, it would have been Mr. Dey.

 He was covering some of the bases in the first

 part of it, asking a few questions, and then at

 some point Mr. Skinner presented some evidence

 to me that was really an indication I knew he

 was knowledgeable about this organization, and

 at that point I took over the invest-- I took

 over the questioning.
- Q. All right. During that discussion on October

 17th and 18th in Sacramento, did anyone, you or
 anyone else that was there, suggest or tell Mr.

 Skinner to place that can of ergocristine or ET
 out where it could be seen during the
 walk-through?
- A. No.
- Q. Okay. At the time of the walk-through, did anyone indicate to Mr. Skinner that the can wasn't out and he needed to put the can out?
- A. No, not at all.

- Q. Okay. Now, I think you told me yesterday, but
 I apologize, I'm going to ask you again. On
 the walk-through, there was yourself, Agent
 McKibben you believe, and who else?
 - A. I believe Mr. McKibben was there. He may not have been.
- 7 Q. All right.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

- A. He may have arrived shortly after that.
- Q. That's what you indicated yesterday. Who else?
- 10 A. John, Jack Zajac and Arthur Hubbard.
- Q. Were they all present when the can was first seen by someone?
 - A. Yeah, we were all taking a tour. Mr. Skinner was leading the tour of the base.
 - Q. And who was leading the tour, Mr. Skinner?
- 16 A. Mr. Skinner was.
 - Q. Now, I know yesterday you testified that you went over to the can and stood over it and looked down into it. Is that right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And it had an opaque top on it?
- 22 A. Yes, it did.
- Q. When you say opaque top, I've got my idea what opaque is, but can you tell us what you mean when you use the term "opaque"?

- A. It's a plastic top. It's not clear, so you can't see through it clearly, but it's-- if you held it up to the light, you could certainly see light through it, you could certainly--
 - Q. You mean if you held the top up to the light?
 - A. If you held the lid, the plastic lid to the light, you could see through it.
 - Q. How were you able to determine the color through that opaque top?
 - A. I don't know. With my eyes. I mean, I looked at it. I could see there was a ring of powder.
 - Q. All right. Did you pick it up and open it?
- 13 | A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

18

19

20

21

- Q. Did anyone else?
- 15 A. Not to my knowledge, no.
- Q. Did you at any time smell of it or attempt to smell it?
 - A. Not likely, because I know that ergocristine-or, well, excuse me-- ergotamine tartrate
 causes convulsions, so I doubt I smelled it.
 - Q. Mr.-- or Agent Nichols, were you at the base when the trust documents were discussed?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And who was present when those-- when that occurred?

1 MR. HOUGH: Judge, we'll object to this as irrelevant. The Court has previously 2 3 determined that the consent, the warrants, the ownership issue is appropriate. That's a legal 4 5 question the Court's previously determined. Judge, could we 6 MR. BENNETT: 7 approach? 8 THE COURT: Yes, I would like to have 9 you approach. 10 (THEREUPON, the following proceedings were held at the bench and outside of the 11 12 hearing of the jury.) 13 THE COURT: Before we get into that, Mr. Bennett, I was about ready to get into that 14 15 can thing. We've gone over that can. Well, 16 you didn't object for being repetitious. We 17 have covered that can enough times. I couldn't 18 understand what you were doing, but I was just about--19 20 MR. BENNETT: Well, Judge, excuse me. THE COURT: Well, I know you've got 21 22 some purpose, but my purpose is to finish this 23 trial and not to just go over and over and over and over things. Now, what's your point on 24 25 this?

1 MR. BENNETT: Well, my point with 2 regard to this, Judge, is that I don't think 3 that the record has fully established what has 4 occurred with regard to these trust documents, 5 and all I wanted to do was to establish what was looked at, who looked at it, who was 6 7 present, and move on. MR. HOUGH: Well, Judge, we went 8 9 through all that in a pretrial hearing, if the Court will recall, and the Court ruled relative 10 11 to motions to suppress and dismiss by both defendants. The Court's considered that. That 12 is a legal issue the Court has determined. 13 14 It's res judicata, so it's irrelevant now for 15 purposes of the jury. THE COURT: Well, what does this man 16 17 know about the legal aspects of a trust 18 agreement, which most of them are phony anyway, 19 so what--MR. BENNETT: Well, Judge, I wasn't 20 21 going to ask him about the legal aspects. I 22 was just going to ask him about the circumstances surrounding it and what was 23 there, and if--24 MR. HOUGH: Well, Judge, I believe 25

1 that there was some questioning yesterday about the trust documents being there because of 2 debts, and so this would be cumulative. 3 MR. RORK: Well, Judge, I did ask 4 5 about some of the issues regarding the trust documents, and when Kendall was moved, and some 6 7 facts about who went to the bank, and some 8 other things but, I mean, that's what I asked 9 and that was some yesterday and some the other 10 day, but not specifically. 11 THE COURT: Well, I'm going to allow 12 you to go ahead, but I tell you, I'm frankly 13 amazed. But all right, let's go ahead. (THEREUPON, the bench conference was 14 15 concluded and the following proceedings were 16 held within hearing of the jury.) 17 (By Mr. Bennett) Who was present, Agent Q. 18 Nichols, at the time these trust documents were discussed? 19 20 Agent Hubbard was, Task Force Officer Sorrell, Α. I was, Agent Zajac, there were a number of 21 22 other people, agents from the Kansas City 23 office who were present. 24 Q. And you reviewed them, did you? I did. 25 Α.

- Q. All right. And in the course of reviewing them, were you able to determine or did you determine who had an interest, a beneficial interest in the trust?
- A. Without looking at the trust documents again, I mean, what I specifically recall is Graham Kendall was the trustee and that Graham Kendall was the property owner, the deed holder on the base. Bill Wynn's name was in the trust document. There were a couple of other names, but without looking at the document, I don't specifically remember.
- Q. Was either Mr. Pickard's or Mr. Apperson's name in the document?
- A. No, neither one.

- Q. With regards to the taped, both phone conversations and body pack, what I call body pack recordings, do you know what I'm talking about?
- A. A recorder.
- Q. Yes. With regards to those recordings, was there anything -- well, you heard them played here for the jury -- was there anything deleted from those recordings by you or anyone else, to your knowledge, between the time they were

- initially made and they were presented as evidence in this case?
- A. No.

- Q. Well, you say that somewhat hesitantly. I accept the answer, but I'd like you to go a little further with it.
 - A. Well, I say that hesitantly because I'm not sure that-- I believe that the tapes were played in entirety, or the tapes that we did play. Now, not all of the recordings were played because there were phone calls we made to a phone number Mr. Pickard gave to us.
 - Q. That weren't completed?
 - A. That weren't completed or were, I mean, they weren't wrong numbers. They weren't completed.
 - Q. I understand that. That's not really my question. My question was whether or not there had been anything either accidentally or purposely deleted from any of those tapes.
 - A. There is one tape, and I believe it's Exhibit N-19, where it is a one-sided conversation with Mr. Skinner.
 - Q. You've already testified about that, haven't you?
 - A. I don't believe I have.

Q. Okay, go ahead then.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That tape, when I gave Mr. Skinner the phone Α. and asked him to record that phone call, he either put the microphone in the wrong ear, put the phone up to the wrong ear, or the microphone fell out, but somehow it did not It did not record both sides of the work. conversation. When I listened to the tape-and this is my policy and the policy of my agency, that once a recording is made, we should go back, listen to the tape, verify what the informant said to us was on the tape, and then put a trailer on it to say, this recording was made at such-and-such a time, such-and-such a place. I did that, and when I did that, I inadvertently recorded over part of that conversation. I believe I recorded over part of that conversation to give that trailer. When I went back and reviewed it, I thought because it was a one-sided conversation, because there was a long pause, I thought the conversation had ended and so, therefore, I put the trailer in there. I later found out, after reviewing the tape, that I was mistaken, and I had put the trailer in the middle of the

conversation, not at the end.

- Q. Had you been privy to that conversation at the time it was occurring? I mean by that, were you able to overhear that conversation?
- A. Well, I was able to overhear Mr. Skinner's portion of the conversation. I was not able to overhear the other end of the conversation.
- Q. And what was taped over was the other end of the conversation, or part of Mr. Skinner's conversation?
- A. Part of Mr. Skinner's conversation. It's a one-sided conversation.
- Q. All right.

- A. It was a conversation I directed Mr. Skinner to have with Mr. Pickard or Mr. Apperson, whoever would answer that phone, to let them know that they had forgotten and not picked up the ET, that they did not look in the proper place to get it, and we directed them to look in the Quonset hut.
- Q. And that conversation that you're talking about was a conversation between Skinner and who, Pickard?
- A. Mr. Skinner told us it was between him and Mr. Pickard.

- Q. Okay. Now, there was also the tape that -- and the audio that didn't record at all on the trip to Tulsa. Right?
- A. There were two tapes that did not record, yes.
- Q. All right. Now, is it-- do I understand your testimony, then, correctly that other than the incident you just told us about and the Tulsa incident, nothing else has been deleted from any of those tapes?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. I want to go back to, I think, where we left off yesterday. You had testified in your direct examination about some Pac Bell or Pacific Bell records that were obtained pursuant to a subpoena. Am I correct, was it pursuant to a subpoena?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. All right.
- A. It was, it would have been.
- Q. And those Pac Bell records, at least some of them, pertain to Mr. Apperson and his phones.

 Is that correct?
- 23 A. Yes, they do.
- Q. And you didn't find anything in those Pac Bell records of any phone calls or conversations

- between Clyde Apperson and Mr. Pickard, or Mr.

 Savinelli, or Mr. Skinner, or Mr.-- or Petaluma

 Al, or James Miller, did you?
 - A. Well, they were subscriber records, so they were records of who subscribed to the telephone--
 - O. Well--
 - A. -- requested.
 - Q. Well, the subscriber records had all the phone conversations made from the subscriber's phone, didn't it?
- 12 A. No.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

- Q. I mean, not the conversation, but it had the phone numbers that were-- the long distance numbers that were called, didn't it?
 - A. No, absolutely not. That's not what we requested.
- Q. What did you request?
- A. We requested subscriber records.
- 20 Q. All right, and what--
- A. And I believe that's what is presented to the
 Court in the Pacific Bell records.
 - Q. All right. Tell us what you mean when you say subscriber records.
- 25 A. Subscriber record is the person who subscribes

- to the telephone. It is not toll records, which would be the telephone calls made from a telephone.
- Q. Did you obtain the toll records for Pac Bell?
- A. I did obtain toll records for some telephones from Pacific Bell. I don't recall if we obtained toll records for Mr. Apperson's telephone.
- Q. Let me ask the question this way. You didn't obtain any toll records from any phone company that revealed any phone calls from Mr.

 Pickard-- or from Mr. Apperson to Mr. Pickard, or to Alfred Savinelli, or to Skinner, or Petaluma Al, or James Miller, did you?
- A. There are some cell phone records, and I would have to review those records to be certain. I don't recall. There were cell phones we subpoenaed, we obtained records for.
- Q. Okay.

- A. That cell phone, yeah, I would really have to review my notes on that before I answer that accurately.
- Q. All right. Your answer, then, would be you don't recall at this time. Is that right?
- A. No. I do recall telephone records, and what I

believe I recall is that there were records subpoenaed from a cellular telephone that was in possession of Mr. Apperson, and it showed phone calls to another cellular telephone that was in possession of Mr. Pickard, but I need to review the records before I can accurately make that statement.

- Q. Well, can you-- we'll give you the opportunity to do that, but can you recall or do you recall that you found no records of any calls-- leave Pickard out of it for right now-- you found no calls between Mr. Apperson, Mr. Skinner, Mr. Savinelli, Petaluma Al, or James Miller, did you, to the best of your recollection?
- A. Not that I recall, no.
- Q. Okay. You also testified on direct examination about some records that were obtained from the Belagio Casino in Las Vegas and the Paris Casino in Las Vegas. Do you recall that testimony?
- A. Yes.

Q. And you didn't find any records at either of those-- from either of those entities indicating, number one, that Clyde Apperson had ever been there, did you?

Α. No.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 2 Okay, and you didn't find any records in either Ο. the Belagio or the Paris Casino records that indicated that Clyde Apperson had exchanged any Guilders for United States currency at either of those entities, did you?
 - No, I did not. Α.
 - Q. Okay. The Native Scent records that you obtained didn't show any contacts or orders being placed or picked up by Clyde Apperson from Native Scents, did they?
 - The records, no, they did not. Α.
 - Okay. And Mr. Savinelli told you he didn't Q. even know Clyde Apperson, didn't he?
 - That's my recollection. Α.
 - Okay. Now, this vehicle that -- this truck that Mr. Apperson was in at the time of his arrest, that was a Ryder truck, correct?
 - Yes, it was. Α.
 - All right. And you obtained the records for Q. that, did you not?
 - Yes, we did. Α.
- And it was rented in Clyde Apperson's true 23 Q. 24 name, was it not?
- 25 Α. It was.

- Q. And it gave his true address, did it not?
- 2 A. From what I recall, it did.
- Q. It gave his true driver's license number, did it not?
 - A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And the investigation revealed that there was no attempt made by Mr. Apperson to hide his identity in renting that truck. Would you agree?
 - A. Not in renting that truck, no. I would agree that he did not hide his identity in renting that truck.
 - Q. Okay, and the same thing is true with regards to the automobile. That was also rented in his name, was it not?
 - A. It was rented in his name.
- Q. And again, he gave his true address and his true driver's license number. Correct?
 - A. To the best of my recollection, yes.
 - Q. Okay. Now, I don't recall which storage locker it was, but the one that the \$170,000 in cash was found, do you recall which locker that was, the name of the company?
 - A. The name of the company was National Self-Storage in Roseville, California.

- Q. All right, and were you present in the course of that -- of the opening of that locker and the search of that locker?
- A. I was not.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. You have seen the reports and discussed it with the agents that were, have you not?
- A. Yes, I have.
 - Q. And there was nothing found in that locker that in any way linked it to Clyde Apperson, was there?
 - A. No.
 - Q. And in the course of this investigation, there were a number of storage facilities that you or other agents working on the investigation were involved in opening and searching or seizing items from, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And based on your overview and your participation in this investigation, there weren't any documents obtained from any of those lockers that indicated Clyde Apperson had any interest in any of them, were there?
 - A. I need to think about it a second or two.
 - Q. Okay, take as much time as you want.
- A. Not that I recall, no.

- Q. Okay. You also knew, you or someone involved in the investigation obtained gaming control records from the State of Nevada. Is that correct?
- A. That's correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. What was the purpose for obtaining the gaming control records?
 - A. Well, it's an additional resource of records for the casinos. It would be to see if there were additional casinos where Mr. Skinner and others went to game.
 - Q. Did you locate other casinos other than the Belagio and the Paris Casino where Mr. Skinner and others went to gamble?
 - A. I did.
- Q. Mirage?
 - A. The Mirage and the Horse Shoe. There may have been other casinos. I don't recall specifically.
 - Q. You didn't obtain or find in the records that you obtained from the Gaming Control Agency in the State of Nevada, you didn't find any records relating to Clyde Apperson, did you?
 - A. I don't believe so, no.
- Q. Okay. Then I believe you testified that you

- had obtained some records from May of 1999 from the Santa Fe Hilton. I believe it was Exhibit 746. Do you recall that?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

- Q. You didn't find any records in May of 1999 at the Santa Fe Hilton that would indicate that Clyde Apperson was present or staying there at that time, did you?
- A. I don't believe I did.
 - Q. And you also testified, I believe, on direct to some obtaining of some records, I think it was-- I think you called it Windom Peak records in Telluride?
 - A. It's the Peaks Hotel. It's run by Windom group--
 - Q. All right.
- 17 A. -- in Telluride.
- Q. Do you remember what time period you obtained those records for?
 - A. Well, I believe the subpoena was a request for, generally, stays by people who were-- we had identified were involved in the conspiracy.
 - Q. So did you have--
- 24 A. -- from--
- Q. Excuse me.

- A. From what I recall, the response back was during the period 1998, August of 1998. There may have been an additional response for another time, but I don't recall specifically.
- Q. All right, but you-- the records that you received didn't indicate that Clyde Apperson was at that location at any time during the period that you requested records for?
- A. The records do not show that.
- Q. Do not show that he was present?
- A. Do not show he was present, no.
 - Q. All right. Now, you found in the course of your investigation no airline records, no car rental records, or no hotel records indicating that Clyde Apperson was in either Aspen or Telluride during the time that there was allegedly an LSD lab in Aspen, did you?
- A. I don't recall finding anything, no.
 - Q. Now, in the course of your investigation, Agent Nichols, you sought out airline travel records of Mr. Apperson for a certain period of time, did you not?
 - A. We sought out airline and travel records. Yes, there probably was a time frame on it.
 - Q. Okay.

1	A.	I don't recall specifically what the subpoena
2		said.
3	Q.	Do you have something that you can look at so
4		you can tell us what period of time you
5		requested that those records be provided to
6		you?
7	A.	If I could look at the records, I might be able
8		to. The original or a copy of the original
9		subpoena might be in the records.
10		MR. BENNETT: Well, my problem is I
11		didn't write fast enough, and I don't have the
12		number down. Do you know what number that is,
13		counsel?
14		MR. HOUGH: I should be able to find
15		it. I'd do that in exchange for a Kleenex.
16		THE CLERK: Which exhibits are you
17		looking for?
18		MR. BENNETT: I'm going to give you a
19		whole box of Kleenex, so I expect a whole box
20		of records.
21		MR. HOUGH: 733.
22		MR. BENNETT: 743?
23	1	MR. HOUGH: 733 is the America West.
24		Southwest is 739, 753, and that's it.
25		MR. BENNETT: Thank you.

1 MR. BENNETT: 733, 39 and 53. 2 THE CLERK: Thirty-three? MR. BENNETT: Thirty-three-- 733, 3 4 739. 5 THE CLERK: Thirty-nine? Thirty-nine and 753. 6 MR. BENNETT: 7 Thank you. (By Mr. Bennett) Agent Nichols, I want to show 8 Q. you Government's Exhibit 733, which at least 9 10 the first page relates to America West Airlines; 739, which relates to Southwest 11 12 Airlines; and 753, which relates to United 13 Airlines. And could you look in those and see 14 if you can determine what the time period was 15 that you requested information or records for? 16 For the Southwest Airlines, Exhibit No. 739, Α. there is no subpoena or no copy of the subpoena 17 in here. So, no, I can't tell you specifically 18 19 what the subpoena said. What I generally 20 remember is the subpoena covered at least a 21 couple years' time from 2000 back. It may have 22 covered as many as five years' time. I don't recall specifically. 23 All right. Look at the others then. 24 Q. 25 Again, the same thing for America West Α.

- Airlines, Exhibit No. 733.
- Q. You think it was about the same time period, though?
 - A. Probably the same time period, and what the response letter says is that, "our computer records only go back two years." So--
 - Q. Which would --

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. Which indicates to me that the subpoena was for a much broader period than two years. For United Airlines, Exhibit No. 753, there is a copy of the face page of the grand jury subpoena, but there is not an attachment to it which would indicate the date range of this.
- Q. Well, based on your normal mode of operation, would you expect that the time period for all three airlines would have been the same or approximately the same?
- A. Approximately the same period of time. This actually has dates going back to 1994, but what I generally recall was it was requested for records 1995 forward.
- Q. All right, and when you say, "this," you're talking about Exhibit 753?
- A. For 753.
- Q. Now, once you received those records, did you

1 determine that Mr. Apperson had made a number 2 of trips to Albuquerque? 3 Α. Yes, I did. 4 Q. And you also determined in the course of your 5 investigation, did you not, that some of the 6 tickets that were purchased weren't actually 7 used? 8 I would have to look at the records again. 9 don't recall determining that. I'll look at 10 the America West first. (Pause.) It appears from the America West Airlines records, Exhibit 11 12 No. 733, that those tickets were used. 13 (Pause.) I'm sorry for taking so long, but the Southwest tickets are -- their printouts are a 14 15 little bit confusing. 16 MR. BENNETT: Judge, I know it's a 17 little early, but maybe we could kill two birds with one stone and take a recess now and let 18 19 him look through those, or--20 THE COURT: Yeah, that may save us some time. Ladies and gentlemen, let's take a 21 22 15-minute recess at this time, then we'll come 23 back for further questioning. 24 MR. BAILIFF: All rise. Court will 25 stand in recess for 15 minutes.

1 (THEREUPON, a recess was had.) 2 THE COURT: All right, I believe 3 we're all present. You may continue. 4 (By Mr. Bennett) Agent Nichols, have you now Q. 5 had sufficient time to look through those records? 6 7 Α. I believe I have had time. 8 I believe that the question that prompted the Q. 9 necessity of looking at the records was whether 10 or not you had determined that some of the 11 tickets purchased or some of the flights that 12 tickets were purchased for weren't used. Did 13 you -- were you able to determine, from 14 reviewing those documents, an answer? 15 Α. It appeared -- yes, I was. 16 Okay, and what did you determine? Q. 17 Well, it appears that a flight -- and this is in Α. 18 the Southwest Airlines record, and that is Exhibit No. 739-- that a flight on September 19 20 10th of 1999 from Albuquerque to San Francisco 21 was not used. 22 All right. Any others? Q. 23 I don't have anything marked on my notes. Α. 24 Q. All right. Do you recall, during the course of 25 the investigation and the seizure of items from

but I just don't recall anything.

- Mr. Apperson, whether or not there were any unused airline tickets that were recovered from his possessions?A. I don't recall anything. There may have been,
- Q. All right. Now, I indicated to you over the break that there was another question that I was going to ask you and gave you a heads-up on it so you could look at the records with regards to that as well. In the records that you have there, did you determine or were you able to determine what the pattern of travel to Albuquerque was as shown by those flights, that is, the periods of time or approximate periods of time that Mr. Apperson was in Albuquerque
- A. Well, there's some travel in 1999, there's some travel in 2000, and several of the trips are two-day, possibly three-day trips.

when he flew on the flights that he did fly on?

- Q. All right, and that was kind of the pattern that you picked up from looking at those records. Is that right?
- A. Generally the pattern, yes.
- Q. All right. Now, in the course of the investigation, I believe you indicated in

1 response to a question yesterday or the day 2 before that you found some phone records or 3 some phone numbers for a lady by the name of 4 Teresa Marquez. Do you recall that? 5 Α. What I recall is I found a computer generated letter with the name Teresa Marquez 6 7 and address on it in Albuquerque. 8

- Q. And that's the lady that you first indicated you thought lived in Santa Fe, but then when I showed you your report, you changed it to Albuquerque. Is that right?
- A. That's right.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Now, in the course of your investigation did you determine that Mr. Pickard-- or not-- strike that-- Mr. Apperson and Ms. Marquez had a relationship?
- A. No, I didn't determine that. Basically, when Mr. Skinner was testifying, and I was reviewing some of these records during his testimony, it struck me that, based on his testimony that Mr. Apperson had a girlfriend in the Santa Fe area, that Ms. Marquez might be that person--
- Q. All right.
- A. -- but I never determined that.
- Q. And-- excuse me-- did you determine in the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

course of your investigation that the occasions that Mr. Apperson flew to or from Albuquerque were days that -- or were flights that coincided with meetings or get-togethers that he would have with his girlfriend?

- I never talked to her, so I couldn't, no; and I didn't talk to your client, so I couldn't ascertain that.
- Okay. You wouldn't-- you don't know of any--Q. well, strike that. I'll withdraw it. When you say you didn't interview her, to your knowledge, did anyone interview her?
- Not to my knowledge. No one interviewed her. Α. As I said, it didn't really strike me until Mr. Skinner's testimony that this might have been the girlfriend Mr. Skinner was talking about that Mr. Apperson had.
- Now, some of the -- I've looked at All right. Q. some of the exhibits and some of the records, travel records, hotel records, car rental records that have been marked and admitted as exhibits in this case. Some of them that the 6th, 2000, aren't they?

relate to Mr. Apperson are for travel to Kansas City and Topeka after or subsequent to November NORA LYON & ASSOCIATES, INC. 1515 S.W. Topeka Blvd., Topeka, KS

- A. Well, there are travel records before and after, yes.
- Q. Right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- A. My assessment of those records would be it was travel for Mr. Apperson to attend court appearances.
 - Q. All right, and so the fact that they're marked as part-- or a part of some exhibit that's marked, you're not asserting or taking the position that the travel to Topeka or Kansas City or the car rentals or the hotels had to do with any illegal activity, are you, after November the 6th?
 - A. For the records that are after November 6th, no, I am not.
 - Q. Okay. Now, there was some testimony-- you gave some testimony, I believe, on direct examination about two Exhibits, 681 and 682, which were letters from Mr. Pickard to you. Do you recall that?
 - A. I do recall that.
- Q. Do you recall generally the content of those letters?
 - A. Yes, generally.
- 25 Q. All right. There was no reference in either of

1 those letters to you that indicated that --2 where Mr. Pickard indicated that Mr. Apperson 3 had any complicity in any conspiracy or manufacturing of LSD, did it? 4 There was no reference in those letters. 5 6 Now, you also testified with regards to Mr. 7 Pickard's reported income or lack of reported income. Do you recall that testimony? 8 9 I do. Α. 10 And I believe your testimony also dealt with Q. tax returns or the lack of tax returns by Mr. 11 12 Pickard. Is that correct? Generally, those were one and the same. 13 Α. 14 Did you make an identical investigation with Q. regards to Mr. Apperson and his tax returns and 15 16 his reported income? 17 We did request tax returns for Mr. Apperson, Α. 18 yeah. 19 All right. Now, in the investigation, what, if Q. 20 anything, did you determine with regards to Mr. 21 Apperson's employment for the years back prior 22 to November 6 of 2000? 23 Well, you know, I would specifically have to go Α. 24 to the records to make -- to lay that out, to

25

review those.

- Q. What records would you need to look at?
- A. Well, I would have to look at the tax records.

 If you're asking me about tax records, I would have to look at those.
 - Q. Well, my question just right now is: Did you make a determination as to whether or not he was employed?
 - A. Off and on, yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. What do you mean off and on?
- A. Well, generally, he was employed, and I can't remember the specific date, up until a specific date. And I can't remember if it was 1999 or 2000 where there's some-- up to that point, there are employment records, and at some point there are, from what I recall, no employment records.
- Q. All right. At some point you determined, though, did you not, that he went from being employed by a company or companies to selfemployment?
- A. What I recall from the search, the records, that he was employed, self-employed, and then went to other companies, but it could be vice versa. It could be that he started at a company, self-employed, and then went back to

- another company.
- Q. Do you recall the names of any of the employers?
 - A. Bliss Industry was one employer, Lobaugh,
 L-O-B-A-U-G-H, was another employer.
 - Q. All right.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. There may be others. I don't recall.
 - Q. And then at whatever point it was he was selfemployed, that was as in the name, business name of C. W. Enterprises?
- A. That's what I recall.
- Q. All right. Now, generally, what did you determine with regards to whether or not he filed tax returns for each year, filed them or didn't file them for each year that you were interested in?
- A. It was my understanding, in reviewing the records, that there were tax returns for the period of time we asked.
- Q. What period of time did you ask for the records for?
- A. I believe it was 1995 until, well, at that point the most current filing, which would have been 1999.
- Q. Did you subsequently go back and get the filing

1 for 2000? 2 Α. No, we did not. 3 Okay. And what is your recollection of the Ο. amount of reportable income that he set out in those tax returns? 5 6 Α. You know, I don't remember specific numbers, 7 but generally between he and his wife, over \$100,000, in the neighborhood of -- I'm hedging 8 a guess-- between \$120,000 and \$140,000. 9 10 Q. All right. And in the course of that 11 investigation into his taxes and his reportable 12 income, you didn't find any record or indication that the Internal Revenue Service 13 14 ever found that there had been any unreported 15 income, did you? 16 Not to the best of my knowledge, no. Α. 17 Okay. And you didn't find any indication in Q. the course of your investigation that Mr. 18 19 Apperson underreported any income, did you? 20 Well, I do. I believe he did. Α. My question is, Agent Nichols, you don't find 21 22 anything in the IRS records that you requested that indicated that he underreported any 23

I didn't believe that was your question, but--

income, did you?

24

25

Α.

1 Okay, maybe it wasn't, and I apologize if it Q. 2 wasn't. 3 Α. In the IRS records, I don't believe there is 4 any indication of underreporting. 5 Q. Okay. In your direct testimony, you testified 6 about some incidents which --7 MR. HOUGH: Excuse me. Your Honor, 8 may we approach? 9 THE COURT: Yes, you may. (THEREUPON, the following proceedings 10 11 were held at the bench and outside of the 12 hearing of the jury.) MR. HOUGH: Your Honor, I just 13 received the risk assessment that the Court had 14 15 ordered disclosed. For the record, I am 16 disclosing that to counsel now, and I would 17 provide the Court also with a copy, and the adverse information it mentioned is the New 18 19 Jersey matter, of which we're all aware, and we 20 would ask that the Court continue in its ruling 21 that that not be inquired into in any form or 22 fashion. THE COURT: All right, thank you, and 23 24 I will stay with that ruling. MR. BENNETT: Well, and I would --25

Judge, I haven't had a chance to read it, so I 1 don't know if I'm going to try and offer it, 2 but I understand that, and if I were to offer 3 it, I would offer it in a redacted form so that 4 5 that's not in there. MR. HOUGH: Can you make me a copy of 6 7 that? That's my last copy. MR. HALEY: 8 Okay. 9 MR. HOUGH: That's all I had, Judge. 10 THE COURT: Okay, thank you. (THEREUPON, the bench conference was 11 concluded and the following proceedings were 12 13 held within hearing of the jury.) 14 (By Mr. Bennett) Agent Nichols, in your Q. 15 testimony on direct examination, you testified 16 about some incidents in which Mr. Skinner stole 17 or availed himself, without authorization, of large amounts of money from Mr. Pickard. Do 18 you recall that testimony? 19 I do recall that. 20 Α. Okay. And there wasn't any evidence presented 21 Q. 22 or that you uncovered in the course of this 23 investigation that Mr. Skinner had stolen any money from Mr. Apperson, was there? 24 25 Α. Not that I recall, no.

- Q. And there wasn't any evidence, or you didn't develop any evidence in the investigation, that-- well strike, that. In the course of your testimony, there was an exhibit introduced that was Exhibit 527. Do you remember that?

 That's that little red address book.
- A. Ms. Kruglova's address book?
- Q. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 9 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And you have been through that address book on several occasions, have you not?
 - A. I have. I generated a report based on some of the information in that.
 - Q. And you didn't find Mr. Apperson's name in that book did you?
 - A. I would have to review the report or review the book, if I could do that.

MR. BENNETT: Could we have 527, please?

Q. (By Mr. Bennett) Agent Nichols, I'm going to hand you what's marked as Government Exhibit 527. While you're looking through that, I'm going to be looking at another document, but as soon as you're ready to give me an answer, you've completed your review, let me know, if

you would, please.

- A. (Pause.) I've completed.
- Q. Are you finished?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. I believe I am.
 - Q. All right. And the question, I believe, was:

 There's no reference in there to Mr. Apperson,
 is there?
 - Specifically, no; but indirectly, yes. And the Α. references I believe indirectly are February 11th of 2000, Ms. Kruglova has written in here about United Airline travel from San Francisco to Denver to Kansas City; and from my review of Mr. Apperson's records, there's also a reference here on February 18th from San Francisco to Kansas City. My review of Mr. Apperson's travel records was that he was in-had rented a vehicle in Kansas City, had flown to Kansas City during that period -- I don't recall the specific days, but somewhere around the-- this may be a little bit broad, but somewhere around the 10th of February until the 18th or 19th of February.
 - Q. So-- go ahead.
 - A. And during that time period, Mr. Guinan told us that Mr. Apperson was in-- Mr. Apperson and Mr.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Pickard were in Kansas, and they asked Mr. Guinan to exchange some Dutch Guilder for him, and he did that at the Kaw Valley Bank in Wamego. In addition, there's a reference in the back of the journal for an individual by the name of Bill with, in parenthesis, Anton, and closed parenthesis, and it appears that someone, maybe Ms. Kruglova, maybe Mr. Pickard, was supposed to meet Bill at 890 Walsh Street in-- well, what I later determined was that this was in the South Bay of the San Francisco Bay area. I don't recall the exact city, whether it was Menlo Park or Redwood City, or exactly where it was; but further investigation of that individual, that information, along with other information we got from the case, led me to a determination that Bill or Anton was, in fact, William Truitt Roberts, and when we did a search of Mr. Apperson's residence, there were receipts in his residence for a currency exchange on February 16th of 2000 for exchanging Dutch Guilder, 23,000 Dutch Guilder into about \$9,100 U.S. currency. That was done at the San Francisco airport, and the vehicle license plate for the parking receipt

was registered to William Truitt Roberts. 1 2 0. Well, is it your contention that -- well, go 3 ahead. I didn't mean to interrupt you. In addition, there's a reference June 23rd. 4 Α. appears in here it's across the page from June 5 6 23rd, maybe June 24th for it says, "See," 7 S-E-E, "Bill" and then in parenthesis, "Anton." So it's-- to answer what I believe is maybe 8 9 your next question is that, yes, do I believe 10 there are references to Mr. Apperson in this 11 book. All right, and that's speculation on your part 12 Ο. 13 as to what those entries that you have just 14 told us about refer to. Isn't it? Well, it's taking the information that I 15 Α. 16 obtained from witnesses and obtained by 17 subpoena and piecing the puzzle together. But it's speculation on your part, isn't it? 18 Q. 19 Well, part of it is speculation, but the Α. 20 records seized from Mr. Apperson's residence 21 clearly show there was a currency exchange on 22 February 16th of Dutch Guilder.

address, his phone number, his e-mail, anything

There's nothing in that book that specifies or

specifically refers to Clyde Apperson, his

23

24

25

Q.

- 1 like that, is there?
- 2 A. No, I don't believe there is.
 - Q. Now, I want to go next to the-- or go back and visit the missile site again, and you indicated on direct examination that you-- that there were some video, audio recording devices present at the base before you ever-- before the government ever became involved in this.

 Is that right?
 - A. There were items Mr. Skinner had installed, yes.
 - Q. All right, and some of those functioned, and some of them didn't?
 - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Is that right?
- A. At the time I was there, some functioned, some did not.
 - Q. Did you or anyone else on the government's behalf avail yourselves of those video or audio recording devices during any of the period of time that you were involved, either in control of the base, or back and forth to the base?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And what did you use those or avail yourselves of those facilities for?

1 Α. On November 6th, we had had several conversations -- I should -- we -- Mr. Skinner had 2 several conversations with Mr. Pickard and Mr. 3 Apperson concerning the return of the ET to Mr. 4 5 Pickard and Mr. Apperson. There was a 6 disagreement, a fight. Mr. Pickard at some point told Mr. Skinner he believed Mr. 7 Skinner -- or they believed Mr. Skinner was 8 9 under surveillance, that they had seen some 10 vehicles they might -- they believed or 11 suspected were law enforcement vehicles. I 12 mean, that's the context of an assumption or a 13 generalized view of it, about the conversation, 14 that Mr. Pickard and Mr. Apperson were 15 concerned about being discovered. Based on 16 some of those conversations, Mr. Skinner, along with Mr. -- Agent Hubbard, myself, Mr. McKibben, 17 went back over to the base, and we locked 18 19 ourselves inside the base, and we used the 20 video equipment to observe the goings on outside. 21 22 All right. And was that -- was the goings on outside recorded? 23 No, because the recorder did not work. 24 Α. 25 The recorder never worked. attempted to do it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Neither the video recorder or the audio recorder worked?
- Well, there was no audio recorder as far as I Α. The audio/video recording equipment put into the base was installed by DEA. It was in a room where Graham Kendall had resided. audio equipment, I believe -- or excuse me -- the video equipment I believe you're talking about was in a separate monitoring room. There was a separate video system, security system for the I don't believe it had an audio base. recording capability. It may have. I didn't see if it did. We used the video, the cameras, to observe what was happening on the base, and even at that, the video -- not all the video cameras worked, and they certainly did not We could not get them to record. record.
- Q. Were there any cameras that showed any of the interior of the base?
- A. Not to my knowledge, no.
- Q. Did you observe on any of those cameras at any time Mr. Apperson attempting to leave and anyone holding a knife to his throat to keep him from leaving?
- A. I have never heard anything like that. As far

as I know, never anything like that ever 1 2 happened. My understanding was Mr. Apperson 3 was there on the property voluntarily. Well, did you -- do you recall in one of the 4 Ο. recordings where Bret Nicholson talks about 5 going and getting his blade? 6 7 I do recall that. Α. Okay. And do you recall on the tape Mr. 8 9 Nicholson making some comment at some point in 10 time after he'd gotten the blade that now he 11 felt better because he had his blade? I don't recall that statement. 12 Α. All right. 13 Q. 14 It could be there. I just don't recall it. Α. Now, there was also a camera, as I understand 15 Q. it, installed outside that the government 16 17 installed up on a power pole in some type of a 18 transformer or what appeared to be a 19 transformer. Is that right? 20 Α. That's correct. 21 Were there any recordings made of anything that occurred using that camera? 22 23 I don't believe there were. Α. 24 And that camera was working, was it not? Q.

25

Α.

It was.

- Q. Why were there no recordings made of what was going on within the view of the camera?
- A. I don't know. I don't know.
- Q. Who was in charge of that camera?
 - A. Well, there was somebody sitting in a motor home where there was a monitor. I don't know if there was a recording device attached to that monitor so that that camera could be recorded, that signal could be recorded.
- Q. Well--

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. I just don't know, and I don't remember.
- Q. Was that camera placed there pursuant to the warrant that you obtained for video recording?
 - A. No, it was not.
 - Q. What authority was it placed where it was placed?
 - A. I believe we obtained a court order to do an installation camera, but it was not-- because it was on public property, there was not a warrant obtained. That's a separate warrant for the video/audio installation.
 - Q. All right. It was on a power pole that was off the premises, in effect, is that what you're saying?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. But you don't-- do you know who made that application for that warrant?
- A. I don't know. And I don't know-- I know within California the--
- Q. Well, I don't want to get into the California--
- 6 A. Well, but--

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. -- unless it has something to do with this case.
 - A. I believe it has something to do with my answer. I know in California the power company requires a court order to do an installation.

 I believed at the time, and I believe now, that there may have been a court order. I could be mistaken, and there may not be a court order required to install a camera on public property.
 - Q. I don't care if there was a court order or not, and that's not really what I'm getting at, but to your knowledge, there was no recording made?
 - A. To my knowledge, no.
 - Q. Was that camera equipped with an audio as well as a video capability?
 - A. I don't believe so. I believe it was just strictly video.
- Q. And other than that camera, was there-- were

there any other cameras installed at any place on the base?

- A. Well, there were cameras installed in what was Graham Kendall's room.
- Q. By the government, I'm talking about.
- A. By the government. There were cameras installed in what was Graham Kendall's room. There were microphones installed in what was Graham Kendall's room. There were no other cameras, recorders, microphones, to the best of my knowledge, installed anywhere else on the property.
- Q. To your knowledge, was there any occasion,
 during the time between the 4th and the 6th of
 November, where any of the individuals, Gordon
 Skinner, Clyde Apperson, or William Pickard
 were in Graham Kendall's room and their
 activities or conversations recorded that
 haven't been introduced into this case?
- A. I don't believe so.
- Q. Were any of the conversations that have been admitted as exhibits in this case, did they take place, any of the activities or the conversations take place in Graham Kendall's room or in close enough proximity that they

1 were recorded? I don't believe so. There could have been. 2 Α. I just don't believe so. 3 4 Well, you would be the logical person to know, Q. 5 because you were in charge of the case, if it had occurred, would you not? 6 7 Well, that's correct. Α. Okay, and you don't know of any? 8 Q. 9 Not that I know of, no. Α. 10 The camera that I believe there's been some 11 testimony -- I don't know if you testified about it -- but the camera that was located outside 12 13 the Lester building or on one end of the Lester 14 building, do you recall that? I recall the testimony about it. I don't Α. 15 16 recall a camera sitting there. There could have been. I don't recall one. If there was 17 18 one there, I don't recall it being functional. Would that have been one that was there before 19 Q. 20 the government got involved in this 21 investigation or one that was placed there by 22 the government? 23 It would have been one that was there prior to Α. 24 the government arriving, prior to the

government being involved in the investigation.

1 And when you say it wasn't -- you don't recall Q. it being functional, what do you mean? 2 mean it wasn't functional to the extent that it 3 could record something, or it wasn't functional 4 5 to the extent that you could observe something on it but it wouldn't be record, or what? 6 7 Well, first and foremost, I don't recall there Α. being a video camera there. And secondly, if 8 9 there was a video camera there, I don't believe 10 it sent any video signal that it was functional 11 in that fashion. 12 Q. You testified that you had reprimanded Mr. 13 Skinner for, number one, withholding the ET 14 and, number two, for placing the one can of ET 15 out where it could be observed during the walk-16 through. Do you recall that? There are separate incidents. 17 Α. 18 Ο. Yes. Several separate incidents, yes. 19 Α. 20 Were there more than those two incidents that Q. you reprimanded him for? 21 Reprimanded him on the walk-through on October 22 23 27th, reprimanded him again on October 31st 24 when he told us he had a surprise, that he

wanted to show us something, and in the Quonset

- hut there underneath the tile, there was a box of ergocristine.
- Q. Okay.

- A. And then reprimanded him again on January 22nd for not being truthful with me that he had possession of the ergocristine, reprimanded him again on February 21st when, in the presence of his attorney, he brought into a hotel outside of Kansas City two additional cans of ergocristine.
- Q. And with regards to at least one of those, I believe you testified on direct-- or on-- maybe it was on cross by Mr. Rork, that you told Mr. Skinner that you would report that indiscretion or activity to Mr. Hough. Did you do that?
- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Was Mr. Skinner disciplined in any way, to your knowledge, after you reported those activities?
- A. Not to my knowledge, no.
- Q. Okay. Now, I want-- you reminded me of something, and that's this October 31st incident. As I understand it, that's the day of the search warrant. Correct?
- A. That's the day of the search warrant.
- Q. And you and other agents searched the Lester

- building and other areas of the site, missile site, correct?
 - A. That's correct.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And at the point in time when Mr. Skinner came to you and said, "I've got a surprise for you," had the search been concluded?
- A. No, it had not.
 - Q. And had the Quonset hut been searched?
 - A. I don't know whether it had been thoroughly searched or whether people-- agents had walked through and looked at things. I can't answer that because I spent most of the day in a fully encapsulated suit inside the laboratory.
 - Q. Inside the Lester building?
 - A. Well, inside the Lester building processing the laboratory.
 - Q. Who searched the Quonset hut, if anyone, prior to Mr. Skinner saying, "I've got a surprise for you guys"?
 - A. I can't answer that. I don't know.
 - Q. Okay, and who did Mr. Skinner report to that he had a surprise for you?
 - A. I believe it was initially Arthur Hubbard, then
 Arthur approached me and told me, "You need to
 talk to Mr. Skinner."

- Q. And did you talk to him?
- 2 A. Yes, I did.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 3 Q. And what was that discussion?
- A. Well, he said he needed to show me something,
 that he had a surprise, and he walked me up to
 the Quonset hut, and underneath some tile in a
 large, wooden crate, was a smaller wooden box,
 and inside that box were ten cans of
 - Q. Who else was with you, if anyone, other than Mr. Skinner up to--
 - A. Well, I'm sorry. That day?

ergocristine.

- Q. Who else went with you up to the Quonset hut when Skinner said, "I have a surprise for you," and you say he walked you up there and pointed that out? Was there anyone else present?
- A. Yes. I believe Arthur Hubbard was. I believe Ralph Sorrell was. I don't know if there was anyone else present and with us at that point.
- Q. But at that point in time, the agents, in the course of searching the premises, had not located those cans. Is that correct?
- A. At that point we had not.
- MR. BENNETT: Judge, I believe that's all I have.

1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
2) ss: DISTRICT OF KANSAS)
3	CERTIFICATE
4	I, Roxana S. Montgomery, Certified
5	Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of
6	Kansas, do hereby certify that I was present at
7	and reported in machine shorthand the
8	proceedings had the 4th day of March, 2003, in
9	the above-mentioned court; that the foregoing
10	transcript is a true, correct, and complete
11	transcript of the requested proceedings.
12	I further certify that I am not attorney
13	for, nor employed by, nor related to any of the
14	parties or attorneys in this action, nor
15	financially interested in the action.
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
17	my hand and official seal at Topeka, Kansas,
18	this, day of, 2003.
19	Sugar & Montomeres
20	- notana xi money
21	Roxana S. Montgomery
22	Certified Shorthand Reporter
23	
24	
25	